

Low lying vector mesons - Aspects of π - a_1 mixing

(Work supported by FCT grant SFRH/BD/110315/2015)

J. Morais*, B. Hiller*, A. A. Osipov[†]

*Centro de Física da Universidade de Coimbra, [†]Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Matter To The Deepest - XLIII International Conference of Theoretical
Physics, Chorzów
5th September 2019

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.
- ▶ **Approach:** Write all multi-quark terms compatible with **chiral symmetry** and **discrete QCD symmetries**.

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.
- ▶ **Approach:** Write all multi-quark terms compatible with **chiral symmetry** and **discrete QCD symmetries**.
- ▶ Non-renormalizable \Rightarrow Explicit dependence on **regularization scale** Λ .

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.
- ▶ **Approach:** Write all multi-quark terms compatible with **chiral symmetry** and **discrete QCD symmetries**.
- ▶ Non-renormalizable \Rightarrow Explicit dependence on **regularization scale** Λ .
- ▶ **Light mesons** are the relevant degrees of freedom at low energy \Rightarrow Functional **bosonization** of the Lagrangian.

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.
- ▶ **Approach:** Write all multi-quark terms compatible with **chiral symmetry** and **discrete QCD symmetries**.
- ▶ Non-renormalizable \Rightarrow Explicit dependence on **regularization scale** Λ .
- ▶ **Light mesons** are the relevant degrees of freedom at low energy \Rightarrow Functional **bosonization** of the Lagrangian.
- ▶ Two relevant χ **SB mechanisms**: **dynamic** (from VEV of scalar mode) and **explicit** (from quark current masses, origin external to QCD).

- **Effective Model of low energy QCD**

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(1), Eur. Phys. J. A (2013) 49: 14]

[Osipov,Hiller,Blin 2013(2), Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 054032]

- ▶ **Core idea:** Chiral symmetry and its breaking plays a major role in low energy QCD phenomenology.
 - ▶ **Approach:** Write all multi-quark terms compatible with **chiral symmetry** and **discrete QCD symmetries**.
 - ▶ Non-renormalizable \Rightarrow Explicit dependence on **regularization scale** Λ .
 - ▶ **Light mesons** are the relevant degrees of freedom at low energy \Rightarrow Functional **bosonization** of the Lagrangian.
 - ▶ Two relevant χ **SB mechanisms**: **dynamic** (from VEV of scalar mode) and **explicit** (from quark current masses, origin external to QCD).
- Multi-quark effective interactions \Rightarrow Effective Lagrangian for light mesons.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model I

- Scalar and pseudoscalar bilinears: $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\gamma_5 q$.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model I

- Scalar and pseudoscalar bilinears: $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\gamma_5 q$.
- **$U(3)$ -valued field:** $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(s_a - ip_a)\lambda_a$ (convenient for its transformation properties).

Introduction - Spin 0 Model I

- Scalar and pseudoscalar bilinears: $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\gamma_5 q$.
- **$U(3)$ -valued field:** $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(s_a - ip_a)\lambda_a$ (convenient for its transformation properties).
- **Explicit chiral symmetry breaking ($E\chi SB$)** \Rightarrow Introduce **external source** χ (assumed to transform as Σ) \Rightarrow Generalize effective terms by suitable replacements $\Sigma \rightarrow \chi$.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model I

- Scalar and pseudoscalar bilinears: $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\gamma_5 q$.
- $U(3)$ -valued field: $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(s_a - ip_a)\lambda_a$ (convenient for its transformation properties).
- **Explicit chiral symmetry breaking (E χ SB)** \Rightarrow Introduce **external source** χ (assumed to transform as Σ) \Rightarrow Generalize effective terms by suitable replacements $\Sigma \rightarrow \chi$.
- General (non-derivative) term in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_i \sim \frac{\bar{g}_i}{\Lambda^\gamma} \Sigma^\alpha \chi^\beta$$

Introduction - Spin 0 Model I

- Scalar and pseudoscalar bilinears: $s_a = \bar{q}\lambda_a q$, $p_a = \bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a\gamma_5 q$.
- $U(3)$ -valued field: $\Sigma = \frac{1}{2}(s_a - ip_a)\lambda_a$ (convenient for its transformation properties).
- **Explicit chiral symmetry breaking (E χ SB)** \Rightarrow Introduce **external source** χ (assumed to transform as Σ) \Rightarrow Generalize effective terms by suitable replacements $\Sigma \rightarrow \chi$.
- General (non-derivative) term in the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_i \sim \frac{\bar{g}_i}{\Lambda^\gamma} \Sigma^\alpha \chi^\beta$$

- **Multiquark interactions:** 4q (NJL), 6q ('t Hooft), 8q (relevant at 4D)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{int} = & \frac{\bar{G}}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) + \frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\Lambda^5} \left(\det \Sigma + \det \Sigma^\dagger \right) \\ & + \frac{\bar{g}_1}{\Lambda^8} \left[\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \right]^2 + \frac{\bar{g}_2}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \end{aligned}$$

Introduction - Spin 0 Model II

- Possible **source-dependent** terms:

Introduction - Spin 0 Model II

- Possible **source-dependent** terms:

Introduction - Spin 0 Model II

- Possible **source-dependent** terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{\bar{\kappa}_1}{\Lambda} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \chi_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{\bar{\kappa}_2}{\Lambda^3} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \Sigma_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{\bar{g}_3}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{\bar{g}_4}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \frac{\bar{g}_5}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Introduction - Spin 0 Model II

- Possible **source-dependent** terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{\bar{\kappa}_1}{\Lambda} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \chi_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{\bar{\kappa}_2}{\Lambda^3} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \Sigma_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{\bar{g}_3}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{\bar{g}_4}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \frac{\bar{g}_5}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_6 = \frac{\bar{g}_6}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \chi^\dagger \chi + \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_7 = \frac{\bar{g}_7}{\Lambda^4} \left[\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.} \right]^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_8 = \frac{\bar{g}_8}{\Lambda^4} \left[\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) - \text{h.c.} \right]^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_9 = -\frac{\bar{g}_9}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{10} = -\frac{\bar{g}_{10}}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) \text{tr} \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

Introduction - Spin 0 Model II

- Possible **source-dependent** terms:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{\bar{\kappa}_1}{\Lambda} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \chi_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \frac{\bar{\kappa}_2}{\Lambda^3} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{lmn} \Sigma_{il} \Sigma_{jm} \chi_{kn} + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \frac{\bar{g}_3}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \frac{\bar{g}_4}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \right) \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_5 = \frac{\bar{g}_5}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_6 = \frac{\bar{g}_6}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma \chi^\dagger \chi + \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_7 = \frac{\bar{g}_7}{\Lambda^4} \left[\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.} \right]^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_8 = \frac{\bar{g}_8}{\Lambda^4} \left[\text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) - \text{h.c.} \right]^2$$

$$\mathcal{L}_9 = -\frac{\bar{g}_9}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \chi^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{10} = -\frac{\bar{g}_{10}}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} \left(\Sigma^\dagger \chi \right) \text{tr} \left(\chi^\dagger \chi \right) + \text{h.c.}$$

- Use $\chi \rightarrow m/2$ to make **Dirac mass term** $\mathcal{L}_0 = -\bar{q}mq$.

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Total Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) q + \mathcal{L}_{int}$$

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Total Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) q + \mathcal{L}_{int}$$

- All multi-quark terms (written in terms of s, p) are included in \mathcal{L}_{int} .

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Total Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) q + \mathcal{L}_{int}$$

- All multi-quark terms (written in terms of s, p) are included in \mathcal{L}_{int} .
- **Functional Integral:**

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}}$$

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Total Lagrangian:**

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) q + \mathcal{L}_{int}$$

- All multi-quark terms (written in terms of s, p) are included in \mathcal{L}_{int} .
- **Functional Integral:**

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}}$$

- **Multiply by a functional unit:**

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a \delta(s_a - \bar{q}\lambda_a q) \delta(p_a - i\bar{q}\lambda_a \gamma_5 q) \\ &= \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\sigma_a (s_a - \bar{q}\lambda_a q) + \phi_a (p_a - i\bar{q}\lambda_a \gamma_5 q)]} \end{aligned}$$

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Functional Integral:** (new)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + M_a - m_a) + p_a \phi_a]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma - M - i\gamma_5 \phi) q}$$

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Functional Integral:** (new)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + M_a - m_a) + p_a \phi_a]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma - M - i\gamma_5 \phi) q}$$

- s, p are auxiliary fields (they do not propagate) used to linearize multi-quark interactions \Rightarrow Integrated by expanding on the σ, ϕ fields in a SPA.

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Functional Integral:** (new)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + M_a - m_a) + p_a \phi_a]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma - M - i\gamma_5 \phi) q}$$

- s, p are auxiliary fields (they do not propagate) used to linearize multi-quark interactions \Rightarrow Integrated by expanding on the σ, ϕ fields in a SPA.
- σ, ϕ are the dynamical meson fields (kinetic terms come from the quark determinant).

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Functional Integral:** (new)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + M_a - m_a) + p_a \phi_a]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma - M - i\gamma_5 \phi)q}$$

- s, p are auxiliary fields (they do not propagate) used to linearize multi-quark interactions \Rightarrow Integrated by expanding on the σ, ϕ fields in a SPA.
- σ, ϕ are the dynamical meson fields (kinetic terms come from the quark determinant).
- Shifted $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + M$ to account for finite scalar mode VEV.

Introduction - Functional Integral

- **Functional Integral:** (new)

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + M_a - m_a) + p_a \phi_a]} \\ \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \sigma - M - i\gamma_5 \phi)q}$$

- s, p are auxiliary fields (they do not propagate) used to linearize multi-quark interactions \Rightarrow Integrated by expanding on the σ, ϕ fields in a SPA.
- σ, ϕ are the dynamical meson fields (kinetic terms come from the quark determinant).
- Shifted $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma + M$ to account for finite scalar mode VEV.
- Quark determinant computed through a HK expansion.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;
 - ▶ gives good predictions for **meson strong decays** and **pseudoscalar radiative decays**.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;
 - ▶ gives good predictions for **meson strong decays** and **pseudoscalar radiative decays**.
 - ▶ has been applied to study the QCD phase diagram and EoS [Moreira et al, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), 116003]

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;
 - ▶ gives good predictions for **meson strong decays** and **pseudoscalar radiative decays**.
 - ▶ has been applied to study the QCD phase diagram and EoS [Moreira et al, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), 116003]
- **Inclusion of spin 1 states:**
[Morais,Hiller,Osipov 2017, Phys. Rev. D 95, 074033 (2017)]

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;
 - ▶ gives good predictions for **meson strong decays** and **pseudoscalar radiative decays**.
 - ▶ has been applied to study the QCD phase diagram and EoS [Moreira et al, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), 116003]
- **Inclusion of spin 1 states:**
[Morais,Hiller,Osipov 2017, Phys. Rev. D 95, 074033 (2017)]
 - ▶ Study **decay processes of spin 1 mesons**.

Introduction - Spin 0 Model III

- The spin 0 model (tree level bosonized Lagrangian):
 - ▶ is fitted to reproduce the **low-lying meson spectra** with great accuracy;
 - ▶ gives good predictions for **meson strong decays** and **pseudoscalar radiative decays**.
 - ▶ has been applied to study the QCD phase diagram and EoS [Moreira et al, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015), 116003]
- **Inclusion of spin 1 states:**
[Morais,Hiller,Osipov 2017, Phys. Rev. D 95, 074033 (2017)]
 - ▶ Study **decay processes of spin 1 mesons**.
 - ▶ Vector mesons have important impact in the **phase diagram and the EoS**.

Extension to Spin 1 - Multiquark Terms (I)

- Vector and axial vector bilinears: $v_a^\mu = \bar{q} \lambda_a \gamma^\mu q$, $a_a^\mu = \bar{q} \lambda_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q$;
 $U(3)$ -valued fields: $R^\mu, L^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (v_a^\mu \pm a_a^\mu) \lambda_a$.

Extension to Spin 1 - Multiquark Terms (I)

- Vector and axial vector bilinears: $v_a^\mu = \bar{q} \lambda_a \gamma^\mu q$, $a_a^\mu = \bar{q} \lambda_a \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 q$;
 $U(3)$ -valued fields: $R^\mu, L^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (v_a^\mu \pm a_a^\mu) \lambda_a$.
- **Source-independent** terms (vector):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_{int} = & \frac{\bar{w}_1}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu) + \frac{\bar{w}_2}{\Lambda^8} [\text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu)]^2 \\ & + \frac{\bar{w}_3}{\Lambda^8} [\text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu - L^\mu L_\mu)]^2 + \frac{\bar{w}_4}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr} (R^\mu R^\nu R_\mu R_\nu + L^\mu L^\nu L_\mu L_\nu) \\ & + \frac{\bar{w}_5}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu R^\nu R_\nu + L^\mu L_\mu L^\nu L_\nu) \end{aligned}$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Multiquark Terms (I)

- Vector and axial vector bilinears: $v_a^\mu = \bar{q}\lambda_a\gamma^\mu q$, $a_a^\mu = \bar{q}\lambda_a\gamma^\mu\gamma_5 q$;
 $U(3)$ -valued fields: $R^\mu, L^\mu = \frac{1}{2}(v_a^\mu \pm a_a^\mu)\lambda_a$.
- **Source-independent** terms (vector):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}'_{int} &= \frac{\bar{W}_1}{\Lambda^2} \text{tr}(R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu) + \frac{\bar{W}_2}{\Lambda^8} [\text{tr}(R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu)]^2 \\ &+ \frac{\bar{W}_3}{\Lambda^8} [\text{tr}(R^\mu R_\mu - L^\mu L_\mu)]^2 + \frac{\bar{W}_4}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr}(R^\mu R^\nu R_\mu R_\nu + L^\mu L^\nu L_\mu L_\nu) \\ &+ \frac{\bar{W}_5}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr}(R^\mu R_\mu R^\nu R_\nu + L^\mu L_\mu L^\nu L_\nu)\end{aligned}$$

- **Source-independent** terms (scalar-vector):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}''_{int} &= \frac{\bar{W}_6}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr}[R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu] \text{tr}[\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma] + \frac{\bar{W}_7}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr}[\Sigma^\dagger R^\mu \Sigma L_\mu] \\ &+ \frac{\bar{W}_8}{\Lambda^8} \text{tr}[\Sigma^\dagger \Sigma L^\mu L_\mu + \Sigma \Sigma^\dagger R^\mu R_\mu]\end{aligned}$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Multiquark Terms (II)

- **Source-dependent** terms:

$$\mathcal{L}'_1 = \frac{\bar{w}_9}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu) \text{tr} (\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \Sigma \chi^\dagger)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_2 = \frac{\bar{w}_{10}}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger R^\mu \Sigma L_\mu + \Sigma^\dagger R^\mu \chi L_\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_3 = \frac{\bar{w}_{11}}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left((\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma) L^\mu L_\mu + (\Sigma \chi^\dagger + \chi \Sigma^\dagger) R^\mu R_\mu \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_4 = \frac{\bar{w}_{12}}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger R^\mu \chi L_\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_5 = \frac{\bar{w}_{13}}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger \chi L^\mu L_\mu + \chi \chi^\dagger R^\mu R_\mu)$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Multiquark Terms (II)

- **Source-dependent** terms:

$$\mathcal{L}'_1 = \frac{\bar{w}_9}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} (R^\mu R_\mu + L^\mu L_\mu) \text{tr} (\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \Sigma \chi^\dagger)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_2 = \frac{\bar{w}_{10}}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger R^\mu \Sigma L_\mu + \Sigma^\dagger R^\mu \chi L_\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_3 = \frac{\bar{w}_{11}}{\Lambda^6} \text{tr} \left((\Sigma^\dagger \chi + \chi^\dagger \Sigma) L^\mu L_\mu + (\Sigma \chi^\dagger + \chi \Sigma^\dagger) R^\mu R_\mu \right)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_4 = \frac{\bar{w}_{12}}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger R^\mu \chi L_\mu)$$

$$\mathcal{L}'_5 = \frac{\bar{w}_{13}}{\Lambda^4} \text{tr} (\chi^\dagger \chi L^\mu L_\mu + \chi \chi^\dagger R^\mu R_\mu)$$

- **13 new parameters**, but not all will contribute to the vacuum properties of the model.

Extension to Spin 1 - Functional Bosonization

- **Functional Integral:**

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \mathcal{D}V_{a\mu} \mathcal{D}A_{a\mu} \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a \mathcal{D}v_a^\mu \mathcal{D}a_a^\mu \\ &\times e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + \Delta_a) + p_a \phi_a + v_a^\mu (V_{a\mu} + \eta_a \delta_{\mu 0}) + a_a^\mu A_{a\mu}]} \\ &\times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} \quad e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - M - \gamma^0 \eta - \sigma - i\gamma_5 \phi - \gamma^\mu V_\mu - \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 A_\mu) q} \end{aligned}$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Functional Bosonization

- **Functional Integral:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z = & \int \mathcal{D}\sigma_a \mathcal{D}\phi_a \mathcal{D}V_{a\mu} \mathcal{D}A_{a\mu} \int \mathcal{D}s_a \mathcal{D}p_a \mathcal{D}v_a^\mu \mathcal{D}a_a^\mu \\
 & \times e^{i \int d^4x [\mathcal{L}_{int} + s_a(\sigma_a + \Delta_a) + p_a \phi_a + v_a^\mu (V_{a\mu} + \eta_a \delta_{\mu 0}) + a_a^\mu A_{a\mu}]} \\
 & \times \int \mathcal{D}q \mathcal{D}\bar{q} e^{i \int d^4x \bar{q} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - M - \gamma^0 \eta - \sigma - i\gamma_5 \phi - \gamma^\mu V_\mu - \gamma^\mu \gamma_5 A_\mu) q}
 \end{aligned}$$

- For SPA, use the expansions

$$\begin{aligned}
 s_a^{st} &= h_a + h_{ab}^{(1)} \sigma_b + h_{abc}^{(1)} \sigma_b \sigma_c + h_{abc}^{(2)} \phi_b \phi_c + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (1)} V_{b\mu} V_{c\nu} \\
 &+ H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (2)} A_{b\mu} A_{c\nu} + \dots \\
 p_a^{st} &= h_{ab}^{(2)} \phi_b + h_{abc}^{(3)} \phi_b \sigma_c + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (3)} V_{b\mu} A_{c\nu} + \dots \\
 v_a^{\mu st} &= H_{ab}^{\mu\nu (1)} V_{b\nu} + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (4)} \sigma_b V_{c\nu} + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (5)} \phi_b A_{c\nu} + \dots \\
 a_a^{\mu st} &= H_{ab}^{\mu\nu (2)} A_{b\nu} + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (6)} \phi_b V_{c\nu} + H_{abc}^{\mu\nu (7)} \sigma_b A_{c\nu} + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

Extension to Spin 1 - $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ Mixing

- The **quadratic part of the bosonized Lagrangian** includes mixing terms of the form

$$\text{tr}_F(i [V^\mu, M] \partial_\mu \sigma - \{A^\mu, M\} \partial_\mu \phi).$$

Extension to Spin 1 - $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ Mixing

- The **quadratic part of the bosonized Lagrangian** includes mixing terms of the form

$$\text{tr}_F(i [V^\mu, M] \partial_\mu \sigma - \{A^\mu, M\} \partial_\mu \phi).$$

- Due to **8q interactions** and **ESB**, different diagonalization conditions emerge for different field components; we implement the **linear shifts**:

$$V_\mu \rightarrow V'_\mu + X_\mu,$$

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu + Y_\mu,$$

$$X_\mu = k \circ \Delta_M \circ \partial_\mu \sigma,$$

$$Y_\mu = k' \circ \Sigma_M \circ \partial_\mu \phi,$$

$$(\Delta_M)_{ij} = -i(M_i - M_j),$$

$$(\Sigma_M)_{ij} = M_i + M_j$$

Extension to Spin 1 - $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ Mixing

- The **quadratic part of the bosonized Lagrangian** includes mixing terms of the form

$$\text{tr}_F(i [V^\mu, M] \partial_\mu \sigma - \{A^\mu, M\} \partial_\mu \phi).$$

- Due to **8q interactions** and **ESB**, different diagonalization conditions emerge for different field components; we implement the **linear shifts**:

$$V_\mu \rightarrow V'_\mu + X_\mu,$$

$$A_\mu \rightarrow A'_\mu + Y_\mu,$$

$$X_\mu = k \circ \Delta_M \circ \partial_\mu \sigma,$$

$$Y_\mu = k' \circ \Sigma_M \circ \partial_\mu \phi,$$

$$(\Delta_M)_{ij} = -i(M_i - M_j),$$

$$(\Sigma_M)_{ij} = M_i + M_j$$

- k and k' are 3×3 coefficient matrices; \circ is the **Hadamard product**.

Extension to Spin 1 - $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ Mixing

- The **quadratic part of the bosonized Lagrangian** includes mixing terms of the form

$$\text{tr}_F(i [V^\mu, M] \partial_\mu \sigma - \{A^\mu, M\} \partial_\mu \phi).$$

- Due to **8q interactions** and **ESB**, different diagonalization conditions emerge for different field components; we implement the **linear shifts**:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu &\rightarrow V'_\mu + X_\mu, & A_\mu &\rightarrow A'_\mu + Y_\mu, \\ X_\mu &= k \circ \Delta_M \circ \partial_\mu \sigma, & Y_\mu &= k' \circ \Sigma_M \circ \partial_\mu \phi, \\ (\Delta_M)_{ij} &= -i(M_i - M_j), & (\Sigma_M)_{ij} &= M_i + M_j \end{aligned}$$

- k and k' are 3×3 coefficient matrices; \circ is the **Hadamard product**.
- Shifted fields V'_μ, A'_μ obey new chiral transformation laws which, nonetheless, preserve the chiral group structure.

Extension to Spin 1 - $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ Mixing

- The **quadratic part of the bosonized Lagrangian** includes mixing terms of the form

$$\text{tr}_F(i[V^\mu, M] \partial_\mu \sigma - \{A^\mu, M\} \partial_\mu \phi).$$

- Due to **8q interactions** and **ESB**, different diagonalization conditions emerge for different field components; we implement the **linear shifts**:

$$\begin{aligned} V_\mu &\rightarrow V'_\mu + X_\mu, & A_\mu &\rightarrow A'_\mu + Y_\mu, \\ X_\mu &= k \circ \Delta_M \circ \partial_\mu \sigma, & Y_\mu &= k' \circ \Sigma_M \circ \partial_\mu \phi, \\ (\Delta_M)_{ij} &= -i(M_i - M_j), & (\Sigma_M)_{ij} &= M_i + M_j \end{aligned}$$

- k and k' are 3×3 coefficient matrices; \circ is the **Hadamard product**.
- Shifted fields V'_μ, A'_μ obey new chiral transformation laws which, nonetheless, preserve the chiral group structure.
- Diagonalization requires

$$k = \left(2\varrho^2 H^{(1)} - \Delta_M^{\circ 2}\right)^{\circ -1}, \quad k' = \left(2\varrho^2 H^{(2)} + \Sigma_M^{\circ 2}\right)^{\circ -1},$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Field Rescaling and Spin 1 Masses

- The shifts provide new contributions to spin 0 kinetic terms \implies New (unsymmetric) field rescaling:

$$\sigma \rightarrow \varrho \left(1_H + k \circ \Delta_M^{\circ 2}\right)^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \sigma, \quad \phi \rightarrow \varrho \left(1_H - k' \circ \Sigma_M^{\circ 2}\right)^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \phi$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Field Rescaling and Spin 1 Masses

- The shifts provide new contributions to spin 0 kinetic terms \implies New (unsymmetric) field rescaling:

$$\sigma \rightarrow \varrho (1_H + k \circ \Delta_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \sigma, \quad \phi \rightarrow \varrho (1_H - k' \circ \Sigma_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \phi$$

- Spin 1 fields are rescaled trivially:

$$V_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho V_a^\mu, \quad A_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho A_a^\mu$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Field Rescaling and Spin 1 Masses

- The shifts provide new contributions to spin 0 kinetic terms \implies New (unsymmetric) field rescaling:

$$\sigma \rightarrow \varrho (1_H + k \circ \Delta_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \sigma, \quad \phi \rightarrow \varrho (1_H - k' \circ \Sigma_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \phi$$

- Spin 1 fields are rescaled trivially:

$$V_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho V_a^\mu, \quad A_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho A_a^\mu$$

- Vector** and **axial vector** meson masses (ideal mixing):

$$M_\rho^2 = M_\omega^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(1)}, \quad M_{a_1}^2 = M_{f_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(2)} + 6M_u^2$$

$$M_{K^*}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(1)} + (M_u - M_s)^2 \right], \quad M_{K_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(2)} + (M_u + M_s)^2 \right]$$

$$M_\varphi^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(1)}, \quad M_{f_1'}^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(2)} + 6M_s^2$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Field Rescaling and Spin 1 Masses

- The shifts provide new contributions to spin 0 kinetic terms \implies New (unsymmetric) field rescaling:

$$\sigma \rightarrow \varrho (1_H + k \circ \Delta_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \sigma, \quad \phi \rightarrow \varrho (1_H - k' \circ \Sigma_M^{\circ 2})^{\circ -\frac{1}{2}} \circ \phi$$

- Spin 1 fields are rescaled trivially:

$$V_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho V_a^\mu, \quad A_a^\mu \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \varrho A_a^\mu$$

- **Vector** and **axial vector** meson masses (ideal mixing):

$$M_\rho^2 = M_\omega^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(1)}, \quad M_{a_1}^2 = M_{f_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(2)} + 6M_u^2$$

$$M_{K^*}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(1)} + (M_u - M_s)^2 \right], \quad M_{K_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(2)} + (M_u + M_s)^2 \right]$$

$$M_\varphi^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(1)}, \quad M_{f_1'}^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(2)} + 6M_s^2$$

- **Isospin approximation** ($m_u = m_d \neq m_s$) \implies $\sigma_0 - \sigma_8$ and $\phi_0 - \phi_8$ (or $\sigma_{ns} - \sigma_s$ and $\phi_{ns} - \phi_s$) mixing \implies Introduce **mixing angles** θ_σ and θ_ϕ (or ψ_σ and ψ_ϕ).

Extension to Spin 1 - Scalar Meson Masses

- **Scalar** meson masses:

$$M_{a_0}^2 = \frac{2}{3} M_\rho^2 \frac{1}{H_{11}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - h_{11}^{(1)} \right) + 4M_u^2$$

$$M_{\kappa}^2 = \frac{2}{3} M_{K^*}^2 \frac{1}{H_{44}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_u - h_s}{M_u - M_s} - h_{44}^{(1)} \right)$$

$$M_{f_0}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 \psi_\sigma} \left[\frac{M_\omega^2}{3H_{uu}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - 2h_{uu}^{(1)} - 2h_{ud}^{(1)} \right) + 4M_u^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 - \cot^2 \psi_\sigma} \left[\frac{M_\varphi^2}{3H_{ss}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_s}{M_s} - 2h_{ss}^{(1)} \right) + 4M_s^2 \right]$$

$$M_\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \cot^2 \psi_\sigma} \left[\frac{M_\omega^2}{3H_{uu}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - 2h_{uu}^{(1)} - 2h_{ud}^{(1)} \right) + 4M_u^2 \right]$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 \psi_\sigma} \left[\frac{M_\varphi^2}{3H_{ss}^{(1)}} \left(\frac{h_s}{M_s} - 2h_{ss}^{(1)} \right) + 4M_s^2 \right]$$

$$M_\rho^2 = M_\omega^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(1)}$$

$$M_{K^*}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(1)} + (M_u - M_s)^2 \right]$$

$$M_\varphi^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(1)}$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Pseudoscalar Meson Masses

- **Pseudoscalar** meson masses:

$$M_\pi^2 = \frac{2}{3} M_{a_1}^2 \frac{1}{H_{11}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - h_{11}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$M_K^2 = \frac{2}{3} M_{K_1}^2 \frac{1}{H_{44}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_u + h_s}{M_u + M_s} - h_{44}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$M_\eta^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 \psi_\phi} \frac{M_{f_1}^2}{3H_{uu}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - 2h_{uu}^{(2)} - 2h_{ud}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 - \cot^2 \psi_\phi} \frac{M_{f_1'}^2}{3H_{ss}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_s}{M_s} - 2h_{ss}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$M_{\eta'}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \cot^2 \psi_\phi} \frac{M_{f_1}^2}{3H_{uu}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_u}{M_u} - 2h_{uu}^{(2)} - 2h_{ud}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{1 - \tan^2 \psi_\phi} \frac{M_{f_1'}^2}{3H_{ss}^{(2)}} \left(\frac{h_s}{M_s} - 2h_{ss}^{(2)} \right)$$

$$M_{a_1}^2 = M_{f_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \varrho^2 H_{11}^{(2)} + 6M_u^2$$

$$M_{K_1}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \left[\varrho^2 H_{44}^{(2)} + (M_u + M_s)^2 \right]$$

$$M_{f_1'}^2 = 3\varrho^2 H_{ss}^{(2)} + 6M_s^2$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Weak Decay Constants

- Using the **PCAC hypothesis**, we may compute the π and K weak decay constants:

$$f_{\pi} = \frac{M_u}{\sqrt{Q^2 + \frac{4M_u^2}{H_{11}^{(2)}}}} \equiv \frac{M_u}{g_{\pi}}$$

$$f_K = \frac{M_u + M_s}{2\sqrt{Q^2 + \frac{(M_u + M_s)^2}{H_{44}^{(2)}}}} \equiv \frac{M_u + M_s}{2g_K}.$$

Extension to Spin 1 - Weak Decay Constants

- Using the **PCAC hypothesis**, we may compute the π and K weak decay constants:

$$f_{\pi} = \frac{M_u}{\sqrt{Q^2 + \frac{4M_u^2}{H_{11}^{(2)}}}} \equiv \frac{M_u}{g_{\pi}}$$

$$f_K = \frac{M_u + M_s}{2\sqrt{Q^2 + \frac{(M_u + M_s)^2}{H_{44}^{(2)}}}} \equiv \frac{M_u + M_s}{2g_K}.$$

- These express the well-known **Goldberger-Treiman relations**.

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (I)

- Parameters w_7 , w_{10} and w_{12} appear in coefficients $H^{(1,2)}$ in the same form, but with opposite signs.

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (I)

- Parameters w_7 , w_{10} and w_{12} appear in coefficients $H^{(1,2)}$ in the same form, but with opposite signs.
- This leads to the relations:

$$w_7 h_u^2 + 2w_{10} m_u h_u + w_{12} m_u^2 = 3\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_{a_1}^2 - 6M_u^2} - \frac{1}{M_\rho^2} \right)$$

$$w_7 h_s^2 + 2w_{10} m_s h_s + w_{12} m_s^2 = 3\rho^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_{f_1}^2 - 6M_s^2} - \frac{1}{M_\varphi^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & w_7 h_u h_s + w_{10} (m_u h_s + m_s h_u) + w_{12} m_u m_s \\ &= 3\rho^2 \left[\frac{1}{M_{K_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^2} - \frac{1}{M_{K^*}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u - M_s)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (I)

- Parameters w_7 , w_{10} and w_{12} appear in coefficients $H^{(1,2)}$ in the same form, but with opposite signs.
- This leads to the relations:

$$w_7 h_u^2 + 2w_{10} m_u h_u + w_{12} m_u^2 = 3\varrho^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_{a_1}^2 - 6M_u^2} - \frac{1}{M_\rho^2} \right)$$

$$w_7 h_s^2 + 2w_{10} m_s h_s + w_{12} m_s^2 = 3\varrho^2 \left(\frac{1}{M_{f_1}^2 - 6M_s^2} - \frac{1}{M_\varphi^2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & w_7 h_u h_s + w_{10} (m_u h_s + m_s h_u) + w_{12} m_u m_s \\ &= 3\varrho^2 \left[\frac{1}{M_{K_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^2} - \frac{1}{M_{K^*}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u - M_s)^2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

- These three parameters are **tightly constrained** by the spin 1 spectra
 \Rightarrow Crucial for **vector - axial vector mass differences**.

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (II)

- The model predicts a relation between M_u and M_s depending solely on the spin-1 meson masses:

$$\frac{2}{M_{K^*}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u - M_s)^2} + \frac{2}{M_{K_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^2} = \frac{1}{M_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{M_\varphi^2} + \frac{1}{M_{a_1}^2 - 6M_u^2} + \frac{1}{M_{f_1}^2 - 6M_s^2}.$$

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (II)

- The model predicts a relation between M_u and M_s depending solely on the spin-1 meson masses:

$$\frac{2}{M_{K^*}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u - M_s)^2} + \frac{2}{M_{K_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^2} = \frac{1}{M_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{M_\varphi^2} + \frac{1}{M_{a_1}^2 - 6M_u^2} + \frac{1}{M_{f_1}^2 - 6M_s^2}.$$

- We may also write

$$M_{a_1}^2 = \frac{6M_u^4}{M_u^2 - \varrho^2 f_\pi^2}, \quad M_{K_1}^2 = \frac{\frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^4}{(M_u + M_s)^2 - 4\varrho^2 f_K^2},$$

Model Fitting - Analytic Results (II)

- The model predicts a relation between M_u and M_s depending solely on the spin-1 meson masses:

$$\frac{2}{M_{K^*}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u - M_s)^2} + \frac{2}{M_{K_1}^2 - \frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^2} = \frac{1}{M_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{M_\varphi^2} + \frac{1}{M_{a_1}^2 - 6M_u^2} + \frac{1}{M_{f_1}^2 - 6M_s^2}.$$

- We may also write

$$M_{a_1}^2 = \frac{6M_u^4}{M_u^2 - \varrho^2 f_\pi^2}, \quad M_{K_1}^2 = \frac{\frac{3}{2}(M_u + M_s)^4}{(M_u + M_s)^2 - 4\varrho^2 f_K^2},$$

- These 3 relations **completely determine** M_u , M_s and Λ from **empirical data**.

Model Fitting - Results

- Empirical Input:

M_π	M_K	M_η	$M_{\eta'}$	M_σ	M_κ	M_{a_0}	M_{f_0}	M_ρ	M_{K^*}
138	496	548	958	500	850	980	980	778	893
M_φ	M_{a_1}	M_{K_1}	M_{f_1}	m_u	m_s	f_π	f_K	θ_ϕ	
1019	1270	1274	1426	4	100	92	111	-15°	

Model Fitting - Results

- Empirical Input:

M_π	M_K	M_η	$M_{\eta'}$	M_σ	M_κ	M_{a_0}	M_{f_0}	M_ρ	M_{K^*}
138	496	548	958	500	850	980	980	778	893
M_φ	M_{a_1}	M_{K_1}	M_{f_1}	m_u	m_s	f_π	f_K	θ_ϕ	
1019	1270	1274	1426	4	100	92	111	-15°	

- Results of the Fit: (boldface = externally fixed)

G	κ	g_1	g_2	κ_2	g_3	g_4	g_5	g_6
2.54	-2.66	15.3	-35.2	0.143	-148	36.1	-21.9	-115
g_7	g_8	θ_σ	Λ	M_u	M_s	\mathbf{w}_1	\mathbf{w}_6	w_7
-32.6	-21.8	25.1°	1633	244	508	-10	0	-1903
w_8	\mathbf{w}_9	w_{10}	w_{11}	w_{12}	\mathbf{w}_{13}			
2505	0	-2540	1425	-1523	0			

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra.**

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .
- ▶ Results for spin 1 meson strong decay widths are too low.

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .
- ▶ Results for spin 1 meson strong decay widths are too low.

- **Future Prospects:**

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .
- ▶ Results for spin 1 meson strong decay widths are too low.

- **Future Prospects:**

- ▶ Study the **chiral transition** and the **EoS of strongly interacting matter**.

Closing Remarks

- **Highlights:**

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.

- **Downsides:**

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .
- ▶ Results for spin 1 meson strong decay widths are too low.

- **Future Prospects:**

- ▶ Study the **chiral transition** and the **EoS of strongly interacting matter**.
- ▶ Include e.m. interaction to study **radiative processes**.

Closing Remarks

● Highlights:

- ▶ The spin 1 extended model may be fitted to **reproduce the 4 low-lying meson spectra**.
- ▶ The parameters are **tightly constrained by symmetry requirements**.
- ▶ $V - \sigma$ and $A - \phi$ mixing result in subtle **relations between spin 1 and spin 0 masses**.




● Downsides:

- ▶ Large number of parameters.
- ▶ Parameter sets which are **degenerate** for the vacuum yield different results when going over to finite T or μ .
- ▶ Results for spin 1 meson strong decay widths are too low.

● Future Prospects:

- ▶ Study the **chiral transition** and the **EoS of strongly interacting matter**.
- ▶ Include e.m. interaction to study **radiative processes**.
- ▶ Include a new class of terms which involve products of $tr(v^\mu)$ and $tr(a^\mu)$
 \Rightarrow Complicates the $A - \phi$ mixing scheme and subsequent mass diagonalization, and introduces more parameters.

References

-  Morais, J., Hiller, B. and Osipov, A.A. (2017)
Masses of the lowest spin-0 and spin-1 meson nonets: explicit symmetry breaking effects.
Phys. Rev. D 95, 074033.
-  Osipov, A.A., Hiller, B. and Blin, A.H. (2013)
Light quark masses in multi-quark interactions.
Eur. Phys. J. A 49(1).
-  Osipov, A.A., Hiller, B. and Blin, A.H. (2013)
Effective multiquark interactions with explicit breaking of chiral symmetry.
Phys. Rev. D 88, 054032.