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## collinear singularities (IR)

Ultraviolet singularities (UV)
and threshold singularities, integrable but numerically unstable
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## LTD / FDU

- Computations without altering the d=4 space-time dimensions ${ }^{1}$
- Singularities killed before integration:
- Unsubtracted summation over degenerate IR states at integrand level through a suitable momentum mapping
- UV through local counter-terms
- Virtual and real contributions are considered simultaneously: more efficient Monte Carlo implementation and fully differential
${ }^{1}$ Gnendiger et al., To d, or not to d: Recent developments and comparisons of regularization schemes, arXiv:1705.01827


## The loop-tree duality theorem

## Cauchy residue theorem

in the loop energy complex plane



Feynman Propagator +i0: positive frequencies are propagated forward in time, and negative backward
selects residues with definite positive energy and negative imaginary part (indeed in any coordinate system)

$$
G_{F}\left(q_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{i}^{2}-m_{i}^{2}+i 0}
$$

## The loop-tree duality theorem

One-loop integrals (or scattering amplitudes in any relativistic, local and unitary QFT) represented as a linear combination of $N$ single-cut phase-space integrals
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- $G_{D}\left(q_{i} ; q_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{j}^{2}-m_{j}^{2}-i 0 \eta k_{j i}}$ dual propagator, $k_{j i}=q_{j}-q_{i}$
- LTD realised by modifying the customary +i0 prescription of the Feynman propagators, it compensates for the absence of multiple-cut contributions that appear in the Feynman Tree Theorem
- Lorentz-covariant dual prescription with $\eta$ a future-like vector; from now $\eta^{\mu}=(1, \mathbf{0})$ only the sign matters


## LTD at two-loops and beyond

- Iterative application of LTD at higher orders

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\ell_{1}} \int_{\ell_{2}} G_{F}\left(\alpha_{1} \cup \alpha_{2} \cup \alpha_{3}\right) & =\int_{\ell_{1}} \int_{\ell_{2}}\left[G_{D}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) G_{D}\left(\alpha_{2} \cup \alpha_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+G_{D}\left(-\alpha_{1} \cup \alpha_{2}\right) G_{D}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)-G_{D}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) G_{F}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) G_{D}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$
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- With a number of cuts equal to the number of loops the loop amplitude opens to a tree-level like object
- However, the on-shell loop momenta still unconstrained
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- LTD: equivalent to integrate along the forward on-shell hyperboloids / light-cones (positive energy modes)
- The dual loop integrand becomes singular when subsets (>=2) of internal propagators go on-shell
- Cancellation of singularities among dual amplitudes at forward-forward intersections: dual +i0 prescription changes sign, proof of consistency
- Only backward (negative energy) with forward IR and threshold singularities remain: timelike separated propagators with lower energy causally connected

IR and threshold singularities are restricted to a compact region of the loop three-momentum

## Momentum mapping



- Motivated by the factorization properties of QCD: assuming $q_{i}^{\mu}$ on-shell, and close to collinear with $p_{i}^{\mu}$, we define the momentum mapping

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{r}^{\prime \mu}=q_{i}^{\mu}, & q_{i, 0}<p_{i, 0} \\
p_{i}^{\prime \mu}=p_{i}^{\mu}-q_{i}^{\mu}+\alpha_{i} p_{j}^{\mu}, & \alpha_{i}=\frac{\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 p_{j} \cdot\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)}, \\
p_{j}^{\prime \mu}=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) p_{j}^{\mu}, & p_{k}^{\prime \mu}=p_{k}^{\mu}, \quad k \neq i, j
\end{array}
$$

- All the primed momenta (real process) on-shell and momentum conservation
- $p_{i}^{\mu}$ is the emitter, $p_{j}^{\mu}$ the spectator needed to absorb momentum recoil


## Massive particles

Sborlini, Driencourt-Mangin,GR, arXiv:1608.01584

- Rewrite emitter and spectator in terms of two massless momenta

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{i}^{\mu}=\beta_{+} \hat{p}_{i}^{\mu}+\beta_{-} \hat{p}_{j}^{\mu} \\
& p_{j}^{\mu}=\left(1-\beta_{+}\right) \hat{p}_{i}^{\mu}+\left(1-\beta_{-}\right) \hat{p}_{j}^{\mu} \quad \hat{p}_{i}^{\mu}+\hat{p}_{j}^{\mu}=p_{i}^{\mu}+p_{j}^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$
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- Quasi-collinear configurations are conveniently mapped such that the massless limit is smooth


## UV renormalisation: local subtraction

- Expand propagators and numerators around a UV propagator [Weinzierl et al.]

$$
G_{F}\left(q_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{q_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}-\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}+i 0}+\ldots \quad q_{\mathrm{UV}}=\ell+k_{\mathrm{UV}}
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- and adjust subleading terms to subtract only the pole ( $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme), or to define any other renormalisation scheme. For the scalar two point function
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- Dual representation needs to deal with multiple poles [Bierenbaum et al.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{UV}}^{\mathrm{cnt}}=\int_{\ell} \frac{\tilde{\delta}\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}}\right)}{2\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{2}} \\
& q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}=\sqrt{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}+\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}-i 0}
\end{aligned}
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- Dual representation needs to deal with multiple poles [Bierenbaum et al.]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\mathrm{UV}}^{\mathrm{nt}}=\int_{\ell} \frac{\tilde{\delta}\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}}\right)}{2\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{2}} \\
& q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}=\sqrt{\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}+\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}-i 0}
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- Integration on the UV on-shell hyperboloid: loop three-momentum unconstrained, but loop contributions suppressed for loop energies larger than $\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}$
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- smooth massless limit
- and subtract the UV

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta Z_{2}^{\mathrm{UV}}\left(p_{1}\right) & =-(d-2) g_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} C_{F} \int_{\ell}\left(G_{F}\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}}\right)\right)^{2}\left(1+\frac{q_{\mathrm{UV}} \cdot p_{2}}{p_{1} \cdot p_{2}}\right) \\
& \times\left(1-G_{F}\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}}\right)\left(2 q_{\mathrm{UV}} \cdot p_{1}+\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## LTD unsubtraction: multi-leg

Sborlini, Driencourt-Mangin, Hernández-Pinto, GR, arXiv:1604.06699

- The dual representation of the renormalised loop cross-section: one single integral in the loop three-momentum
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\int_{m} d \sigma_{\mathrm{V}}^{(1, R)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{m} \int_{\ell} 2 \operatorname{Re}\left\langle\mathcal{M}_{N}^{(0)} \mid \mathcal{M}_{N}^{(1, R)}\left(\tilde{\delta}\left(q_{i}\right)\right\rangle\right\rangle \mathcal{O}_{N}\left(\left\{p_{j}\right\}\right)
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- A partition of the real phase-space

$$
\sum \mathcal{R}_{i}\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right)=\sum \prod_{j k \neq i r} \theta\left(y_{j k}^{\prime}-y_{i r}^{\prime}\right)=1
$$

- The real contribution mapped to the Born kinematics + loop three-momentum

$$
\int_{m+1} d \sigma_{\mathrm{R}}^{(1)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{m+1}\left|\mathcal{M}_{N+1}^{(0)}\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \mathcal{R}_{i}\left(q_{i}, p_{i}\right) \mathcal{O}_{N+1}\left(\left\{p_{j}^{\prime}\right\}\right)
$$

- with

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{r}^{\prime \mu}=q_{i}^{\mu}, & \\
p_{i}^{\prime \mu}=p_{i}^{\mu}-q_{i}^{\mu}+\alpha_{i} p_{j}^{\mu}, & \alpha_{i}=\frac{\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 p_{j} \cdot\left(q_{i}-p_{i}\right)}, \\
p_{j}^{\prime \mu}=\left(1-\alpha_{i}\right) p_{j}^{\mu}, & p_{k}^{\prime \mu}=p_{k}^{\mu}, \quad k \neq i, j
\end{array}
$$

## Benchmark application: $A^{*} \rightarrow q \bar{q}(g)$

Sborlini, Driencourt-Mangin,GR, arXiv:1608.01584


G. Rodrigo


- Excellent agreement with analytic DREG
- Efficient numerical implementation
- Smooth massless limit
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## Higgs boson interactions to $g g$ and $\gamma \gamma$

- Golden channels for production and decay of the Higgs boson
- One-loop corrections are UV and IR finite due to the absence of a direct interaction at tree-level in the SM
- However, DREG or another regularisation/ renormalisation scheme still required for their correct evaluation
$H g g \quad$ [Wilczek, 1977; Georgi, Glashow, Machacek, Nanopoulos, 1978; Rizzo, 1980]
$H \gamma \gamma$ [Ellis, Gaillard, Nanopoulos, 1976; loffe, Khoze, 1978; Shifman, Vainshtein, Voloshin, Zakharov, 1979]

Summary Report of the Regularization Scheme Workstop/ Thinkstart, 13-16 Sep 2016, Zurich

## Universality of dual amplitude

Driencourt-Mangin,GR, Sborlini, arXiv:1702.07581

- Universality and compactness of the dual representation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathcal{A}_{1}^{(1, f)}=g_{f} \int_{\ell} \tilde{\delta}(\ell)\left[\left(\frac{\ell_{0}^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}}+\frac{\ell_{0}^{(+)}}{q_{2,0}^{(+)}}+\frac{2\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{12}\right)^{2}}{s_{12}^{2}-\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{12}-i 0\right)^{2}}\right) \frac{s_{12} M_{f}^{2}}{\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{1}\right)\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{2}\right)} c_{1}^{(f)}\right. \\
\left.+\frac{2 s_{12}^{2}}{s_{12}^{2}-\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{12}-i 0\right)^{2}} c_{23}^{(f)}\right], \quad q_{i, 0}^{(+)}=\sqrt{\boldsymbol{q}_{i}^{2}+M_{f}^{2}}, \quad f=\phi, t, W \\
c_{23}^{(f)}=\frac{d-4}{d-2}\left(2,-4,2(d-1)+\frac{s_{12}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right) \\
c_{1}^{(f)}=\left(\frac{4}{d-2},-\frac{8}{d-2}+\frac{s_{12}}{M_{t}^{2}}, \frac{4(d-1)}{d-2}+\frac{2(5-2 d)}{d-2} \frac{s_{12}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right)
\end{array}
$$
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- Naïve power counting: unintegrated $W$ amplitude much more singular in the UV than quark and scalar amplitudes
- Local renormalization:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathrm{UV}}^{(1, f)}=-g_{f} \int_{\ell} \frac{s_{12}}{4\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{3}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{2}} \frac{3 \mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}}{d-4}\right) c_{23}^{(f)}=0 \\
q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}=\sqrt{\ell^{2}+\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}}
\end{gathered}
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- Naïve power counting: unintegrated $W$ amplitude much more singular in the UV than quark and scalar amplitudes
- Local renormalization: smooth four dimensional limit

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{1, \mathrm{UV}}^{(1, f)}=-g_{f} \int_{\ell} \frac{s_{12}}{4\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{3}}\left(1+\frac{1}{\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{2}} \frac{3 \mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}}{d-4}\right) c_{23}^{(f)}=0 \\
& q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}=\sqrt{\ell^{2}+\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}} \quad\left|\mathcal{A}_{1, R}^{(1, f)}\right|_{d=4}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{(1, f)}-\mathcal{A}_{1, \mathrm{UV}}^{(1, f)}\right)_{d=4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Dual amplitude in four space-time dimensions
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- The dual amplitude in four space-time dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\mathcal{A}_{1, R}^{(1, f)}\right|_{d=4} & =g_{f} s_{12} \int_{\ell}\left[\frac{1}{2 \ell_{0}^{(+)}}\left(\frac{\ell_{0}^{(+)}}{q_{1,0}^{(+)}}+\frac{\ell_{0}^{(+)}}{q_{2,0}^{(+)}}+\frac{2\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{12}\right)^{2}}{s_{12}^{2}-\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{12}-\imath 0\right)^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \frac{M_{f}^{2}}{\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{1}\right)\left(2 \ell \cdot p_{2}\right)} c_{1}^{(f)}+\frac{3 \mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2}}{4\left(q_{\mathrm{UV}, 0}^{(+)}\right)^{5}} \hat{c}_{23}^{(f)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

integration measure and coefficients at $d=4$

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}^{(f)}=\left(2,-4+\frac{s_{12}}{M_{t}^{2}}, 6-\frac{3 s_{12}}{M_{W}^{2}}\right) \\
\hat{c}_{23}^{(f)}=\frac{c_{23}^{(f)}}{d-4}=\left(1,-2,3+\frac{s_{12}}{2 M_{W}^{2}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
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- Dyson prescription would fail for $W$
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- Integration domain is an Euclidean space (loop three-momentum)
- Asymptotic expansions (heavy or light internal mass) more direct at integrand level than Minkowsky
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- Each term of the integrand expansion less UV singular than the previous one
- Circumvent expansion by regions [Smirnov, Beneke]
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Outlook: fully differential multi-leg at NNLO (and beyond)

