Operator forms for 3N scattering

Kacper Topolnicki Jacek Golak Roman Skibiński Henryk Witała Yuriy Volkotrub

3-8 IX 2017

WFAiS UJ



WHAT WE DO

2 PWD VS 3D

- "TRADITIONAL" APPROACH
- 3D APPROACH

3 GENERAL OPERATOR FORM

- ROTATIONS
- OTHER SYMMETRIES

4 3N SCATTERING

- MOTIVATION
- OPERATOR FORM FOR 3N SCATTERING

5 3N POTENTIAL

- MOTIVATION
- CONTINUOUS SYMMETRIES
- DISCRETE SYMMETRIES
- PROBLEMS
- APPROACH
- RESULTS

6 SUMMARY

K. Topolnicki

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- \blacksquare | nucleon \rangle =| momentum isospin spin \rangle
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev, ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems

- \blacksquare | nucleon \rangle =| momentum isospin spin \rangle
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev, ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

■ Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems

$\blacksquare \mid {\sf nucleon} \rangle = \mid {\sf momentum} \ {\sf isospin} \ {\sf spin} \rangle$

- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev, ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- $\blacksquare \mid \mathsf{nucleon} \rangle = \mid \mathsf{momentum} \ \mathsf{isospin} \ \mathsf{spin} \rangle$
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev,
 ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- $\blacksquare \mid \mathsf{nucleon} \rangle = \mid \mathsf{momentum} \ \mathsf{isospin} \ \mathsf{spin} \rangle$
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev,
 ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- $\blacksquare \mid {\sf nucleon} \rangle = \mid {\sf momentum} \ {\sf isospin} \ {\sf spin} \rangle$
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev,
 ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- | nucleon $\rangle = |$ momentum isospin spin \rangle
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev,
 ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

- Few (2,3) body (nucleon) systems
- $\blacksquare \mid \mathsf{nucleon} \rangle = \mid \mathsf{momentum} \ \mathsf{isospin} \ \mathsf{spin} \rangle$
- Small energies, non-relativistic QM (we hope to include relativistic corrections)
- Directly solve: Schrödinger, Lippmann-Schwinger, Fadeev,
 ... equations using a numerical approach
- Use effective (2,3) nuclear forces
- Use fenomenological potentials (e.g. AV18) or derived from ChEFT (Bonn, Bohum) - find parameters
- New calculation schemes

PARTIAL WAVES - EXAMPLE

- For the moment let's focus on the 2N system.
- Let's try to calculate the 2N transition operator.



- Each lives in a subspace with given orbital angular momentum *I*, spin *s* and total angular momentum *j* and different momentum magnitude states:

$$\langle |\boldsymbol{p}'|(l's')j'| \dots ||\boldsymbol{p}|(ls)j \rangle$$

Impose pairity, time reversal and rotational symmetry ...

- Each lives in a subspace with given orbital angular momentum *I*, spin *s* and total angular momentum *j* and different momentum magnitude states:

$$\langle | \boldsymbol{p}' | (l's')j' | \dots | | \boldsymbol{p} | (ls)j \rangle$$

Impose pairity, time reversal and rotational symmetry ...









PARTIAL WAVES - CALCULATION

Perform PWD on each operator of, eg., LSE t = V + V G₀t.
Solve the resulting linear equations.

PARTIAL WAVES - CALCULATION

Perform PWD on each operator of, eg., LSE $\check{t} = \check{V} + \check{V}\check{G}_0\check{t}$.

Solve the resulting linear equations.

PARTIAL WAVES - CALCULATION

Perform PWD on each operator of, eg., LSE č = V + V Ğ₀č.
Solve the resulting linear equations.

- +

- Battle tested.
- Small numerical workload.
- Implementation requires heavily oscilating functions.
- It is not always obvious how many partial waves need to be taken into account.
- This is more complicated for three or more particles and different coupling schemes.
- Convergence problems for higher energies.

■ +

Battle tested.

- Small numerical workload.
- Implementation requires heavily oscilating functions.
- It is not always obvious how many partial waves need to be taken into account.
- This is more complicated for three or more particles and different coupling schemes.
- Convergence problems for higher energies.

Battle tested.

+

- Small numerical workload.
- Implementation requires heavily oscilating functions.
- It is not always obvious how many partial waves need to be taken into account.
- This is more complicated for three or more particles and different coupling schemes.
- Convergence problems for higher energies.

Battle tested.

+

- Small numerical workload.
- Implementation requires heavily oscilating functions.
- It is not always obvious how many partial waves need to be taken into account.
- This is more complicated for three or more particles and different coupling schemes.
- Convergence problems for higher energies.

- +

- Battle tested.
- Small numerical workload.
- Implementation requires heavily oscilating functions.
- It is not always obvious how many partial waves need to be taken into account.
- This is more complicated for three or more particles and different coupling schemes.
- Convergence problems for higher energies.

- Lets take the 2N transition operator.
- Assume we are working in momentum space with $\mathbf{p}' = (p'_x, p'_y, p'_z)$ being the final and $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$ being the initial momentum of the two nucleons.

Lets take the 2N transition operator.

Assume we are working in momentum space with $\mathbf{p}' = (p'_x, p'_y, p'_z)$ being the final and $\mathbf{p} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$ being the initial momentum of the two nucleons.

- Lets take the 2N transition operator.
- Assume we are working in momentum space with p' = (p'_x, p'_y, p'_z) being the final and p = (p_x, p_y, p_z) being the initial momentum of the two nucleons.

- Lets take the 2N transition operator.
- Assume we are working in momentum space with p' = (p'_x, p'_y, p'_z) being the final and p = (p_x, p_y, p_z) being the initial momentum of the two nucleons.

- We would like to calculate the full transition operator. This is equivalent to calculating, for every p' and every p, the matrix element ⟨p' | t̃ | p⟩.
- This matrix element is an operator in spin space and has the form:

$$\left[\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \mid \check{t} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \rangle
ight] =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{11}(p'_{x}, p'_{y}, p'_{z}, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}) & t_{12}(\dots) & t_{13}(\dots) & t_{14}(\dots) \\ t_{21}(\dots) & t_{22}(\dots) & t_{23}(\dots) & t_{24}(\dots) \\ t_{31}(\dots) & t_{32}(\dots) & t_{33}(\dots) & t_{34}(\dots) \\ t_{41}(\dots) & t_{42}(\dots) & t_{43}(\dots) & t_{44}(\dots) \end{bmatrix}$$

- We would like to calculate the full transition operator. This is equivalent to calculating, for every p' and every p, the matrix element ⟨p' | t̃ | p⟩.
- This matrix element is an operator in spin space and has the form:

 $\left[\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \mid \check{t} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \rangle
ight] =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{11}(p'_{x}, p'_{y}, p'_{z}, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}) & t_{12}(\dots) & t_{13}(\dots) & t_{14}(\dots) \\ t_{21}(\dots) & t_{22}(\dots) & t_{23}(\dots) & t_{24}(\dots) \\ t_{31}(\dots) & t_{32}(\dots) & t_{33}(\dots) & t_{34}(\dots) \\ t_{41}(\dots) & t_{42}(\dots) & t_{43}(\dots) & t_{44}(\dots) \end{bmatrix}$$

- We would like to calculate the full transition operator. This is equivalent to calculating, for every p' and every p, the matrix element (p' | t | p).
- This matrix element is an operator in spin space and has the form:

$$\left[\langle {oldsymbol p}' \mid \check{t} \mid {oldsymbol p}
angle
ight] =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{11}(p'_{x}, p'_{y}, p'_{z}, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}) & t_{12}(\dots) & t_{13}(\dots) & t_{14}(\dots) \\ t_{21}(\dots) & t_{22}(\dots) & t_{23}(\dots) & t_{24}(\dots) \\ t_{31}(\dots) & t_{32}(\dots) & t_{33}(\dots) & t_{34}(\dots) \\ t_{41}(\dots) & t_{42}(\dots) & t_{43}(\dots) & t_{44}(\dots) \end{bmatrix}$$

- We would like to calculate the full transition operator. This is equivalent to calculating, for every p' and every p, the matrix element ⟨p' | t̃ | p⟩.
- This matrix element is an operator in spin space and has the form:

$$\left[\langle {oldsymbol p}' \mid \check{t} \mid {oldsymbol p}
angle
ight] =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} t_{11}(p'_{x}, p'_{y}, p'_{z}, p_{x}, p_{y}, p_{z}) & t_{12}(\dots) & t_{13}(\dots) & t_{14}(\dots) \\ t_{21}(\dots) & t_{22}(\dots) & t_{23}(\dots) & t_{24}(\dots) \\ t_{31}(\dots) & t_{32}(\dots) & t_{33}(\dots) & t_{34}(\dots) \\ t_{41}(\dots) & t_{42}(\dots) & t_{43}(\dots) & t_{44}(\dots) \end{bmatrix}$$

- We need to calculate 16 functions of 6 real parameters that satisfy the LSE.
- If each argument is discretized over 32 points then $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ complex numbers to represent \check{t} .
- We know that the solution has to satisfy appropriate symmetries.
- Can we use this to simplify the problem?

- We need to calculate 16 functions of 6 real parameters that satisfy the LSE.
- If each argument is discretized over 32 points then $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ complex numbers to represent \check{t} .
- We know that the solution has to satisfy appropriate symmetries.
- Can we use this to simplify the problem?
3D - SIZE OF THE PROBLEM

- We need to calculate 16 functions of 6 real parameters that satisfy the LSE.
- If each argument is discretized over 32 points then $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ complex numbers to represent \check{t} .
- We know that the solution has to satisfy appropriate symmetries.
- Can we use this to simplify the problem?

3D - SIZE OF THE PROBLEM

- We need to calculate 16 functions of 6 real parameters that satisfy the LSE.
- If each argument is discretized over 32 points then $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ complex numbers to represent \check{t} .
- We know that the solution has to satisfy appropriate symmetries.
- Can we use this to simplify the problem?

3D - SIZE OF THE PROBLEM

- We need to calculate 16 functions of 6 real parameters that satisfy the LSE.
- If each argument is discretized over 32 points then $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ complex numbers to represent \check{t} .
- We know that the solution has to satisfy appropriate symmetries.
- Can we use this to simplify the problem?

- The general operator form of the two nucleon potential and transition operator is well known [Phys. Rev. 96 1654 (1954)].
- The matrix element in momentum space can be written as a linear combination of 6 scalar functions t_i and spin operators [w_i]:

$$\left[\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \mid \check{t} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \rangle\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i(|\boldsymbol{p}'|, |\boldsymbol{p}|, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \left[\check{w}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p})\right].$$

- The general operator form of the two nucleon potential and transition operator is well known [Phys. Rev. 96 1654 (1954)].
- The matrix element in momentum space can be written as a linear combination of 6 scalar functions t_i and spin operators [w_i]:

$$\left[\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \mid \check{t} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \rangle\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i(|\boldsymbol{p}'|, |\boldsymbol{p}|, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \left[\check{w}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p})\right].$$

- The general operator form of the two nucleon potential and transition operator is well known [Phys. Rev. 96 1654 (1954)].
- The matrix element in momentum space can be written as a linear combination of 6 scalar functions t_i and spin operators [w_i]:

$$\left[\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \mid \check{t} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \rangle\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{6} t_i(|\boldsymbol{p}'|, |\boldsymbol{p}|, \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}' \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}) \left[\check{w}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p})\right].$$

- Instead of calculating 16 functions of 6 real variables we now only need to calculate 6 functions of 3 variables.
- From $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ to $6 \times 32^3 \approx 16.4 \times 10^4$ complex numbers for \check{t} .
- Couple orders of magnitude less numerical work!

- Instead of calculating 16 functions of 6 real variables we now only need to calculate 6 functions of 3 variables.
- From $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ to $6 \times 32^3 \approx 16.4 \times 10^4$ complex numbers for \check{t} .
- Couple orders of magnitude less numerical work!

- Instead of calculating 16 functions of 6 real variables we now only need to calculate 6 functions of 3 variables.
- From $16 \times 32^6 \approx 17.2 \times 10^9$ to $6 \times 32^3 \approx 16.4 \times 10^4$ complex numbers for \check{t} .
- Couple orders of magnitude less numerical work!

• +

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

- +

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

- +

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

• +

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

-+

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

+

- More precision at higher energies.
- Calculations can be easily modified to use different potentials.
- Operator fomrms (operators and states) significantly reduce numerical workload.
- We are running out of operator forms!
- Can we construct new symmetric operator forms? Can this be generalized to systems of three or more particles?

If \check{R} is a spatial rotation, we require that operator \check{X} :

$$\check{R}^{-1}\check{X}\check{R}=\check{X}.$$

Ideally we would like to fit the operator into an operator form:

$$\langle \ldots \mid \check{X} \mid \ldots \rangle = \sum x_i \left[\check{O}_i\right].$$

Here x is a scalar function of momenta and O is an operator in spin space and ... are momenta.

If \check{R} is a spatial rotation, we require that operator \check{X} :

$$\check{R}^{-1}\check{X}\check{R}=\check{X}.$$

Ideally we would like to fit the operator into an operator form:

$$\langle \ldots | \check{X} | \ldots \rangle = \sum x_i [\check{O}_i].$$

Here x is a scalar function of momenta and O is an operator in spin space and ... are momenta.

If \check{R} is a spatial rotation, we require that operator \check{X} :

$$\check{R}^{-1}\check{X}\check{R}=\check{X}.$$

Ideally we would like to fit the operator into an operator form:

$$\langle \ldots \mid \check{X} \mid \ldots \rangle = \sum x_i \left[\check{O}_i\right].$$

Here x is a scalar function of momenta and O is an operator in spin space and ... are momenta.

If \check{R} is a spatial rotation, we require that operator \check{X} :

$$\check{R}^{-1}\check{X}\check{R}=\check{X}.$$

Ideally we would like to fit the operator into an operator form:

$$\langle \ldots \mid \check{X} \mid \ldots \rangle = \sum x_i \left[\check{O}_i\right].$$

Here x is a scalar function of momenta and O is an operator in spin space and ... are momenta.

- Let's generalize a little bit and incorporate the dependance on the total momentum K.
- Boulding blocks (actually any number of momenta and spin vectors can be used):

$$\mathbb{T} = \{\check{\boldsymbol{p}}', \check{\boldsymbol{p}}, \check{\boldsymbol{K}}, \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(1), \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(2)\}.$$

In principle we have to consider all scalar combinations of the elements from T. For example if v_i ∈ T we could use:

$$(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7)))$$

or

 $(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6))).$

- Let's generalize a little bit and incorporate the dependance on the total momentum *K*.
- Boulding blocks (actually any number of momenta and spin vectors can be used):

$$\mathbb{T} = \{ \check{\boldsymbol{p}}', \check{\boldsymbol{p}}, \check{\boldsymbol{K}}, \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(1), \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(2) \}.$$

In principle we have to consider all scalar combinations of the elements from \mathbb{T} . For example if $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{T}$ we could use:

$$(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7)))$$

or

 $(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6))).$

- Let's generalize a little bit and incorporate the dependance on the total momentum *K*.
- Boulding blocks (actually any number of momenta and spin vectors can be used):

$$\mathbb{T} = \{\check{\boldsymbol{p}}', \check{\boldsymbol{p}}, \check{\boldsymbol{K}}, \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(1), \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(2)\}.$$

In principle we have to consider all scalar combinations of the elements from T. For example if v_i ∈ T we could use:

$$(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7)))$$

or

 $(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6))).$

- Let's generalize a little bit and incorporate the dependance on the total momentum *K*.
- Boulding blocks (actually any number of momenta and spin vectors can be used):

$$\mathbb{T} = \{\check{\boldsymbol{p}}', \check{\boldsymbol{p}}, \check{\boldsymbol{K}}, \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(1), \check{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}(2)\}.$$

In principle we have to consider all scalar combinations of the elements from \mathbb{T} . For example if $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{T}$ we could use:

$$(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7)))$$

or

$$(\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\mathbf{v}}_3 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_5 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_6))).$$

Simple vector identities lead to:

$$\begin{split} (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)) \cdot (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5 \times (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7))) \\ &= (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7) \\ &- (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7) \\ &+ (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7) \\ &- (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_3)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_5)(\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_2 \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_6 \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_7) \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\mathbf{v}}_3 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_5 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_6))) \\ &= (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5) \\ &- (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5) \\ &- (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6) \\ &+ (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6). \end{aligned}$$

Simple vector identities lead to:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} & egin{$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_2) \cdot (\check{\mathbf{v}}_3 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \times (\check{\mathbf{v}}_5 \times \check{\mathbf{v}}_6))) \\ &= (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5) \\ &- (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5) \\ &- (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6) \\ &+ (\check{\mathbf{v}}_1 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_3)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_2 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_5)(\check{\mathbf{v}}_4 \cdot \check{\mathbf{v}}_6). \end{aligned}$$

■ A general observation can be made: Any scalar expression constructed from operators in T can be constructed from a combination of operators in the set V:

$$\mathbb{V} = \{\check{1}, \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j , (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j) \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_k \}.$$

For example, from the previous slide, we have the following CHAINS of operators of length 3:

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_1 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2) (\tilde{v}_3 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_3 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_4 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_2 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_5 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_6) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_6 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_6) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_7 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_6), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{C}_8 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_6). \\ \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

■ A general observation can be made: Any scalar expression constructed from operators in T can be constructed from a combination of operators in the set V:

$$\mathbb{V} = \{\check{1}, \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j , (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j) \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_k \}.$$

For example, from the previous slide, we have the following CHAINS of operators of length 3:

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_1 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2) (\tilde{v}_3 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_3 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_2) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_3 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_4 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_2 \times \tilde{v}_6 \cdot \tilde{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_5 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_6) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_6 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_6) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_6 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_6), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\zeta}_8 \end{bmatrix} &= (\tilde{v}_1 \cdot \tilde{v}_3) (\tilde{v}_2 \cdot \tilde{v}_5) (\tilde{v}_4 \cdot \tilde{v}_6). \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

■ A general observation can be made: Any scalar expression constructed from operators in T can be constructed from a combination of operators in the set V:

$$\mathbb{V} = \{\check{1}, \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j , (\check{\boldsymbol{v}}_i \times \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_j) \cdot \check{\boldsymbol{v}}_k \}.$$

For example, from the previous slide, we have the following CHAINS of operators of length 3:

$$\begin{split} \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_1 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_2 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_4 \times \check{v}_6 \cdot \check{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_2 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_2)(\check{v}_3 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_4 \times \check{v}_6 \cdot \check{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_3 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_2)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_3 \times \check{v}_6 \cdot \check{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_4 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_2 \times \check{v}_6 \cdot \check{v}_7), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_5 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_6)(\check{v}_2 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_6 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_2 \cdot \check{v}_6)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_5), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_7 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_2 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_6), \\ \begin{bmatrix} \check{C}_8 \end{bmatrix} &= (\check{v}_1 \cdot \check{v}_3)(\check{v}_2 \cdot \check{v}_5)(\check{v}_4 \cdot \check{v}_6). \end{split} \end{split}$$

- How get from this to the operator form?

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \ldots + \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \left[\check{C}_i\right] + \ldots$$

How get from this to the operator form?

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \ldots + \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \left[\check{C}_i\right] + \ldots$$

- How get from this to the operator form?

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{\kappa} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\kappa} \rangle = \ldots + \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \left[\check{C}_i\right] + \ldots$$

- How get from this to the operator form?

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{\kappa} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\kappa} \rangle = \ldots + \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i \left[\check{C}_i\right] + \ldots$$

- No! [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)]
- The operator form for \check{X} :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i \left[\check{O}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{K})\right].$$

- X could be the potential, transition operator with relativistic corrections ...
- \blacksquare The set $\mathbb T$ can be extended \ldots

- No! [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)]
- The operator form for \check{X} :

$$\langle \mathbf{p}'\mathbf{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \mathbf{p}\mathbf{K} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i \left[\check{O}_i(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{K})\right].$$

- X could be the potential, transition operator with relativistic corrections ...
- \blacksquare The set $\mathbb T$ can be extended \ldots

- No! [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)]
- The operator form for \check{X} :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i \left[\check{O}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{K})\right].$$

X could be the potential, transition operator with relativistic corrections . . .

 \blacksquare The set $\mathbb T$ can be extended \ldots

- No! [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)]
- The operator form for \check{X} :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{\kappa} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{\kappa} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i \left[\check{O}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{\kappa})\right].$$

 X could be the potential, transition operator with relativistic corrections . . .

The set \mathbb{T} can be extended ...
INVARIANCE UNDER SPATIAL ROTATIONS

- No! [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)]
- The operator form for \check{X} :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}'\boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{X} \mid \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{16} x_i \left[\check{O}_i(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{K})\right].$$

- X could be the potential, transition operator with relativistic corrections . . .
- \blacksquare The set $\mathbb T$ can be extended \ldots

- We can use a simple symmetrization procedure.
- Let D be a grup of transformations constructed from **parity**, **time reversal**, **Hermitian conjugate and two particle exchange**.
- A symmetric operator is obtained using:

$$\check{X} \to \sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}.$$

We can use a simple symmetrization procedure.

- Let D be a grup of transformations constructed from **parity**, **time reversal**, **Hermitian conjugate and two particle exchange**.
- A symmetric operator is obtained using:

$$\check{X} \to \sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}.$$

• Applying any $\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}$ to $\sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}$ returns the same operator.

- We can use a simple symmetrization procedure.
- Let D be a grup of transformations constructed from **parity**, **time reversal**, **Hermitian conjugate and two particle exchange**.
- A symmetric operator is obtained using:

$$\check{X} \to \sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}.$$

- We can use a simple symmetrization procedure.
- Let D be a grup of transformations constructed from parity, time reversal, Hermitian conjugate and two particle exchange.
- A symmetric operator is obtained using:

$$\check{X} o \sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}.$$

- We can use a simple symmetrization procedure.
- Let D be a grup of transformations constructed from **parity**, **time reversal**, **Hermitian conjugate and two particle exchange**.
- A symmetric operator is obtained using:

$$\check{X} o \sum_{\check{T} \in \mathbb{D}} \check{T}\check{X}.$$

- If this is done carefully [Eur. Phys. J. A 52:188 (2016)], a new general form for operators that have rotation invariance and are symmetric with respect to D can be constructed.
- Additionall symmetry conditions on the scalar functions appear.
- The problem becomes more complicated if there are three particles involved [*Phys. Rev. C* 87,054007 (2013)] ...

- If this is done carefully [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)], a new general form for operators that have rotation invariance and are symmetric with respect to D can be constructed.
- Additionall symmetry conditions on the scalar functions appear.
- The problem becomes more complicated if there are three particles involved [*Phys. Rev. C* 87,054007 (2013)] ...

- If this is done carefully [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)], a new general form for operators that have rotation invariance and are symmetric with respect to D can be constructed.
- Additionall symmetry conditions on the scalar functions appear.
- The problem becomes more complicated if there are three particles involved [*Phys. Rev. C* 87,054007 (2013)] ...

- If this is done carefully [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)], a new general form for operators that have rotation invariance and are symmetric with respect to D can be constructed.
- Additionall symmetry conditions on the scalar functions appear.
- The problem becomes more complicated if there are three particles involved [*Phys. Rev. C* 87,054007 (2013)] ...

N-d elastic scattering and breakup description via the 3N Faddeev equation

$$\begin{split} \check{T} &= \check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T}.\\ \check{P} &= \check{P}_{12}\check{P}_{23} + \check{P}_{13}\check{P}_{23}. \end{split}$$

Use only first order terms:

$$\check{T}=\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\ldots\approx\check{t}\check{P}.$$

Calculate observables in the breakup channel ($\langle \phi_o |$ - three free nucleons, $| \phi \rangle$ - deuteron and free nucleon):

$$\langle \phi_0 \mid \check{u}_0 \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_0 \mid (1 + \check{P})\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

Calculate observables in the elastic channel:

$$\langle \phi' \mid \check{u} \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \mid \check{P}\check{G}_0^{-1} + \check{P}\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

 N-d elastic scattering and breakup description via the 3N Faddeev equation

$$\begin{split} \check{T} &= \check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T}.\\ \check{P} &= \check{P}_{12}\check{P}_{23} + \check{P}_{13}\check{P}_{23}. \end{split}$$

Use only first order terms:

$$\check{T} = \check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_0\check{P}\check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_0\check{P}\check{t}\check{G}_0\check{P}\check{t}\check{P} + \ldots \approx \check{t}\check{P}.$$

Calculate observables in the breakup channel ($\langle \phi_o |$ - three free nucleons, $| \phi \rangle$ - deuteron and free nucleon):

$$\langle \phi_0 \mid \check{u}_0 \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_0 \mid (1 + \check{P})\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

Calculate observables in the elastic channel:

 $\langle \phi' \mid \check{u} \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \mid \check{P}\check{G}_0^{-1} + \check{P}\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$

 N-d elastic scattering and breakup description via the 3N Faddeev equation

$$\begin{split} \check{T} &= \check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T}.\\ \check{P} &= \check{P}_{12}\check{P}_{23} + \check{P}_{13}\check{P}_{23}. \end{split}$$

Use only first order terms:

$$\check{T}=\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\ldots\approx\check{t}\check{P}.$$

Calculate observables in the breakup channel ($\langle \phi_o |$ - three free nucleons, $| \phi \rangle$ - deuteron and free nucleon):

$$\langle \phi_0 \mid \check{u}_0 \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_0 \mid (1 + \check{P})\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

Calculate observables in the elastic channel:

 $\langle \phi' \mid \check{u} \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \mid \check{P}\check{G}_0^{-1} + \check{P}\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$

 N-d elastic scattering and breakup description via the 3N Faddeev equation

$$\begin{split} \check{T} &= \check{t}\check{P} + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T}.\\ \check{P} &= \check{P}_{12}\check{P}_{23} + \check{P}_{13}\check{P}_{23}. \end{split}$$

Use only first order terms:

$$\check{T}=\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{t}\check{P}+\ldots\approx\check{t}\check{P}.$$

Calculate observables in the breakup channel ($\langle \phi_o |$ - three free nucleons, $| \phi \rangle$ - deuteron and free nucleon):

$$\langle \phi_0 \mid \check{u}_0 \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi_0 \mid (1 + \check{P})\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

Calculate observables in the elastic channel:

$$\langle \phi' \mid \check{u} \mid \phi \rangle = \langle \phi' \mid \check{P}\check{G}_0^{-1} + \check{P}\check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle.$$

Compare 3D approach and "battle tested" PWD approach.

ELASTIC SCATTERING:

BREAKUP:





- Initially the neutron: q_i. In the final state, the neutron: q_f.
- Scattering parametrized by θ_{c.m.}.

- In the final state the Jacobi momenta: p^t and q^t.
- Scattering parametrized by the kinematic curve parameter *S*: $p^{f}(S)$, $q^{f}(S)$.

K. Topolnicki

MTTD 2017

Compare 3D approach and "battle tested" PWD approach.

ELASTIC SCATTERING:

BREAKUP:





- **I** Initially the neutron: q_i . In the final state, the neutron: q_f .
- Scattering parametrized by θ_{c.m.}.

- In the final state the Jacobi momenta: p^t and q^t.
- Scattering parametrized by the kinematic curve parameter *S*: $p^{f}(S)$, $q^{f}(S)$.

MTTD 2017

Compare 3D approach and "battle tested" PWD approach.

ELASTIC SCATTERING:

BREAKUP:





- Initially the neutron: q_i. In the final state, the neutron: q_f.
- Scattering parametrized by θ_{c.m.}.

- In the final state the Jacobi momenta: p^f and q^f .
- Scattering parametrized by the kinematic curve parameter *S*: *p*^{*f*}(*S*), *q*^{*f*}(*S*).

K. Topolnicki

MTTD 2017

- Results for breakup [Eur. Phys. J. A 51:132 (2015)].
- Deuteron and nucleon vector analyzing powers (A^d_y, A^N_y) and the deuteron tensor analyzing powers (A_{xx}, A_{yy}, A_{zz}) LAB energy 190 MeV.
- Solid line 3D results.
- The dashed-dotted, dotted and dashed lines PWD results with max. total anguler momentum 21/2, 23/2, 25/2 and max. 2 – 3 angular momentum 8.

Results for breakup [Eur. Phys. J. A 51:132 (2015)].

- Deuteron and nucleon vector analyzing powers (A^d_y, A^N_y) and the deuteron tensor analyzing powers (A_{xx}, A_{yy}, A_{zz}) LAB energy 190 MeV.
- Solid line 3D results.
- The dashed-dotted, dotted and dashed lines PWD results with max. total anguler momentum 21/2, 23/2, 25/2 and max. 2 – 3 angular momentum 8.

- Results for breakup [Eur. Phys. J. A 51:132 (2015)].
- Deuteron and nucleon vector analyzing powers (A^d_y, A^N_y) and the deuteron tensor analyzing powers (A_{xx}, A_{yy}, A_{zz}) LAB energy 190 MeV.
- Solid line 3D results.
- The dashed-dotted, dotted and dashed lines PWD results with max. total anguler momentum 21/2, 23/2, 25/2 and max. 2 – 3 angular momentum 8.



- Most general approach for $\check{\mathcal{T}}$, no rotational symmetry.
- In general (8 × 8 = 64) × 64 functions of 4 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the initial and final states.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 64 \times 32^{12} \approx 47.2 \times 10^{21}$ complex numbers to represent \check{T} .
- Not practical.

• Most general approach for \check{T} , no rotational symmetry.

- In general (8 × 8 = 64) × 64 functions of 4 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the initial and final states.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 64 \times 32^{12} \approx 47.2 \times 10^{21}$ complex numbers to represent \check{T} .
- Not practical.

- Most general approach for \check{T} , no rotational symmetry.
- In general (8 × 8 = 64) × 64 functions of 4 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the initial and final states.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 64 \times 32^{12} \approx 47.2 \times 10^{21}$ complex numbers to represent \check{T} .
- Not practical.

- Most general approach for \check{T} , no rotational symmetry.
- In general (8 × 8 = 64) × 64 functions of 4 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the initial and final states.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 64 \times 32^{12} \approx 47.2 \times 10^{21}$ complex numbers to represent \check{T} .

Not practical.

- Most general approach for \check{T} , no rotational symmetry.
- In general (8 × 8 = 64) × 64 functions of 4 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the initial and final states.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 64 \times 32^{12} \approx 47.2 \times 10^{21}$ complex numbers to represent \check{T} .
- Not practical.

- Most general approach to $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 8 × 8 functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 32^9 \approx 22.3 \times 10^{14}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- Not practical.

• Most general approach to $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

- 8 × 8 functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 32^9 \approx 22.3 \times 10^{14}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- Not practical.

- Most general approach to $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 8 × 8 functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 32^9 \approx 22.3 \times 10^{14}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

Not practical.

- Most general approach to $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 8 × 8 functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 32^9 \approx 22.3 \times 10^{14}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

Not practical.

- Most general approach to $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 8 × 8 functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 32^9 \approx 22.3 \times 10^{14}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- Not practical.

- **Rotation invariant operator form for** $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 64 × 8 scalar functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 8 \times 32^6 \approx 55.0 \times 10^{10}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- Realistic.

Rotation invariant operator form for $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

- 64 × 8 scalar functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 8 \times 32^6 \approx 55.0 \times 10^{10}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

Realistic.

- **Rotation invariant operator form for** $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 64 × 8 scalar functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 8 \times 32^6 \approx 55.0 \times 10^{10}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

Realistic.

- **Rotation invariant operator form for** $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 64 × 8 scalar functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 8 \times 32^6 \approx 55.0 \times 10^{10}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.

Realistic.

- **Rotation invariant operator form for** $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- 64 × 8 scalar functions of 3 vector arguments the Jacobi momnta in the final state and the free nucleon momentum.
- Every argument as a 32 element list leads to $64 \times 8 \times 32^6 \approx 55.0 \times 10^{10}$ complex numbers to represent $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$.
- Realistic.
Observation, the scattering state in the Faddeev equations has the general form:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi
angle = \left[\check{X}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0)\right] \mid \boldsymbol{s}
angle$$

- Rotation symmetry $\rightarrow \check{X}$ has rotational symmetry.
- We can use the algorithm from [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)].

Observation, the scattering state in the Faddeev equations has the general form:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi
angle = \left[\check{X}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0)\right] \mid \boldsymbol{s}
angle$$

■ Rotation symmetry → X̃ has rotational symmetry.
 ■ We can use the algorithm from [*Eur. Phys. J. A* 52:188 (2016)].

Observation, the scattering state in the Faddeev equations has the general form:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi
angle = \left[\check{X}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0)\right] \mid \boldsymbol{s}
angle$$

Rotation symmetry $ightarrow \check{X}$ has rotational symmetry.

■ We can use the algorithm from [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)].

Observation, the scattering state in the Faddeev equations has the general form:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi
angle = \left[\check{X}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0)
ight] \mid \boldsymbol{s}
angle$$

- Rotation symmetry $\rightarrow \check{X}$ has rotational symmetry.
- We can use the algorithm from [*Eur. Phys. J.* A 52:188 (2016)].

Finally:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{T} \mid \phi \rangle = \sum_{\gamma^{3N}} \sum_{r=1}^{64}$$
$$\tau_r^{\gamma^{3N}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \gamma^{3N} \rangle \otimes \left(\check{O}_r(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \alpha \rangle\right)$$

 $\bullet \ \check{T} \mid \phi \rangle = \check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle \rightarrow \tau = \tilde{\tau} + \check{Q}\tau \left[\text{ Phys. Rev. C 96 014611 (2017)} \right]$

Finally:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{T} \mid \phi \rangle = \sum_{\gamma^{3N}} \sum_{r=1}^{64}$$
$$\tau_r^{\gamma^{3N}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \gamma^{3N} \rangle \otimes \left(\check{O}_r(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \alpha \rangle\right)$$

 $\bullet \ \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle = \check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle \rightarrow \tau = \tilde{\tau} + \check{Q}\tau \left[\text{ Phys. Rev. C 96 014611 (2017)} \right]$

Finally:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle = \sum_{\gamma^{3N}} \sum_{r=1}^{64}$$
$$\tau_r^{\gamma^{3N}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \gamma^{3N} \rangle \otimes \left(\check{O}_r(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \alpha \right)$$

 $\bullet \ \check{T} \mid \phi \rangle = \check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle \rightarrow \tau = \tilde{\tau} + \check{Q}\tau \left[\text{ Phys. Rev. C 96 014611 (2017)} \right]$

Finally:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{q} \mid \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle = \sum_{\gamma^{3N}} \sum_{r=1}^{64}$$
$$\tau_r^{\gamma^{3N}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \gamma^{3N} \rangle \otimes \left(\check{O}_r(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{q}_0) \mid \alpha \rangle\right)$$

 $\bullet \ \check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle = \check{t}\check{P} \mid \phi \rangle + \check{t}\check{G}_{0}\check{P}\check{\mathcal{T}} \mid \phi \rangle \rightarrow \tau = \tilde{\tau} + \check{Q}\tau \left[\text{ Phys. Rev. C 96 014611 (2017)} \right]$

- Traditional and 3D calculations can benefit from a common template for the 3N force.
- General operator form available for local 3N potentials [*Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26* (2015)].

- Traditional and 3D calculations can benefit from a common template for the 3N force.
- General operator form available for local 3N potentials [*Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26* (2015)].

- Traditional and 3D calculations can benefit from a common template for the 3N force.
- General operator form available for local 3N potentials [*Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26* (2015)].

- Spatial rotations.
- Isospin rotations.

Spatial rotations.

Isospin rotations.

- Spatial rotations.
- Isospin rotations.

Time reflection.

- Parity inversion.
- Hermitian conjugation.
- Particle exchange $(S_3!)$.

Time reflection.

- Parity inversion.
- Hermitian conjugation.
- Particle exchange $(S_3!)$.

Time reflection.

- Parity inversion.
- Hermitian conjugation.
- Particle exchange $(S_3!)$.

- Time reflection.
- Parity inversion.
- Hermitian conjugation.
- Particle exchange $(S_3!)$.

- Time reflection.
- Parity inversion.
- Hermitian conjugation.
- Particle exchange (\$₃!).

- **2**N potential the particle exchange group is \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- General 3N potential the particle exchange group is S_3 .
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - Local 3N potential two momentum transfer vectors.
 - General 3N potential two Jacobi momenta in the initial state, two Jacobi momenta in the final state.

- **2**N potential the particle exchange group is \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- General 3N potential the particle exchange group is S_3 .

- Local 3N potential two momentum transfer vectors.
- General 3N potential two Jacobi momenta in the initial state, two Jacobi momenta in the final state.

- **2**N potential the particle exchange group is \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- General 3N potential the particle exchange group is S_3 .

- Local 3N potential two momentum transfer vectors.
- General 3N potential two Jacobi momenta in the initial state, two Jacobi momenta in the final state.

- 2N potential the particle exchange group is \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- General 3N potential the particle exchange group is S_3 .

- Local 3N potential two momentum transfer vectors.
- General 3N potential two Jacobi momenta in the initial state, two Jacobi momenta in the final state.

- **2**N potential the particle exchange group is \mathbb{Z}_2 .
- General 3N potential the particle exchange group is S_3 .
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - Local 3N potential two momentum transfer vectors.
 - General 3N potential two Jacobi momenta in the initial state, two Jacobi momenta in the final state.

- Use approach from [Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26 (2015)].
- Construct operators with definite transformation properties.
- Require that the scalar functions have appropriate transformation properties and compensate.
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - 320 operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
 - Adding total momentum dependence does not change the operator structure.
 - The set of operators in the final form is not unique.

- Use approach from [Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26 (2015)].
- Construct operators with definite transformation properties.
- Require that the scalar functions have appropriate transformation properties and compensate.
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - 320 operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
 - Adding total momentum dependence does not change the operator structure.
 - The set of operators in the final form is not unique.

- Use approach from [Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26 (2015)].
- Construct operators with definite transformation properties.
- Require that the scalar functions have appropriate transformation properties and compensate.
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - 320 operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
 - Adding total momentum dependence does not change the operator structure.
 - The set of operators in the final form is not unique.

- Use approach from [Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26 (2015)].
- Construct operators with definite transformation properties.
- Require that the scalar functions have appropriate transformation properties and compensate.

- 320 operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
- Adding total momentum dependence does not change the operator structure.
- The set of operators in the final form is not unique.

- Use approach from [Eur. Phys. J. A 51 26 (2015)].
- Construct operators with definite transformation properties.
- Require that the scalar functions have appropriate transformation properties and compensate.
- Momentum dependence is more complicated.
 - 320 operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
 - Adding total momentum dependence does not change the operator structure.
 - The set of operators in the final form is not unique.

- $= \langle \boldsymbol{p}' \boldsymbol{q}' \boldsymbol{K} \mid \check{V}_{3N} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{K} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{320} h_k(\boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{K}) \left[\check{S}_k(\boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p})\right]$
- p', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h - scalar functions, Š - operators in the isospin - spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- $= \langle \mathbf{p}' \mathbf{q}' \mathbf{K} \mid \check{V}_{3N} \mid \mathbf{p} \mathbf{q} \mathbf{K} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{320} h_k(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{K}) \left[\check{S}_k(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}', \mathbf{p})\right]$
- p', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h - scalar functions, Š - operators in the isospin - spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- $\langle \boldsymbol{p}' \boldsymbol{q}' \mid \check{V}_{3N} \mid \boldsymbol{p} \boldsymbol{q} \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{320} h_k(\boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{q}) \left[\check{S}_k(\boldsymbol{p}', \boldsymbol{q}', \boldsymbol{p})\right]$
- p', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h scalar functions, Š operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- **p**', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h scalar functions, \check{S} operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- **p**', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h scalar functions, \check{S} operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- p', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h - scalar functions, Š - operators in the isospin - spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.

Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.

- **p**', q', p, q Jacobi momenta in the final and initial state, K total momentum of the system, h scalar functions, \check{S} operators in the isospin spin space of the 3N system.
- All the operators are given and have definite transformation properties under the discrete symmetries.
- All the scalar functions are required to have appropriate transformation properties in order to compensate - this can potentially lead to a reduction of memory requirements in the numerical implementation.
- Paper submitted to EPJ A with my choice of the final 320 operators.
- First order results for neutron deuteron scattering suggest that the 3D approach can be used to achieve convergence at higher energies.
- Constructing the general, rotation invariant form of $\check{T} \mid \phi \rangle$ can lead to efficient calculations.
- Including additional symmetries in the operator form of the scattering state is possible and might lead to a further reduction of numerical complexity.
- Including the three nucleon interaciton is also possible ... the general form of the three nucleon force needs to be developed.
- Possibility to add relativistic corrections to the calculations.

THANK YOU

The project was financed from the resources of the National Science Center

(Poland) under grants No. 2016/21/D/ST2/01120,

2016/22/M/ST2/00173, 2013/11/N/ST2/03733 ,and 2013/10/

M/ST2/00420. Some of the numerical work was performed at JSC Jülich.