On the renormalization of neutrinos in the seesaw extended 2HDM

V. Dūdėnas T. Gajdosik

Vilnius University

Dūdėnas, Gajdosik

• We have a general 2HDM and we extend the neutrino sector by one additional neutral Weyl spinor v'_{04} that has a Majorana mass term:

$$-\frac{1}{2}M\left(v_{04}'v_{04}'+h.c.\right),$$

hence we have four neutrinos v'_{0i} .

- After EWSB we get mass terms that are not diagonal. Then we go to mass eigenstates by the seesaw mechanism $v'_{0i} \rightarrow v_{0i}$.
- The seesaw mechanism generates Majorana mass terms for v_{03} and v_{04} , but doesn't distinguish between v_{01} and v_{02} .
- These can be distinguished by the mass term at a loop level that appears due to an interaction with the second Higgs doublet.
- After a loop correction we have 1 massless and three massive Majorana neutrinos, with one mass being large.

· · · · · · · · ·

- The on-shell (OS) renormalization works well for stable particles, however, it is known to give gauge dependent definitions of mass for unstable particles.
- Taking the complex pole as a renormalization point instead of the real part of it solves this problem[GG].
- Hence we try to employ the complex mass scheme(CMS) for renormalizing four Majorana neutrinos at loop level.

[GG] Gambino, Paolo and Grassi, Pietro Antonio, "The Nielsen identities of the SM and the definition of mass", Phys. Rev. D62:076002 (2000) • The renormalized Green's functions:

$$\langle \phi_1 \dots \phi_n \rangle_{1Pl}^{[loop]} = \frac{\delta^n \hat{\Gamma}^{[loop]}}{\delta \phi_1 \dots \delta \phi_n} \equiv \hat{\Gamma}^{[loop]}_{\phi_1 \dots \phi_n}, \quad \hat{\Gamma}^{[loop]}_{\phi_1 \dots \phi_n} \equiv \Gamma^{[loop]}_{\phi_1 \dots \phi_n} + \delta \Gamma^{[loop]}_{\phi_1 \dots \phi_n},$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}$ is the renormalized effective action and $\delta\Gamma$ stands for counterterms.

• v_i is a left handed Weyl spinor with real Majorana mass m_i . Then (with $p\sigma \equiv p^{\mu}\sigma_{\mu}, \ p\bar{\sigma} \equiv p^{\mu}\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}$):

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{v_i v_i}^{[0]} = -m_i, \, \hat{\Gamma}_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i^{\dagger}}^{[0]} = -m_i, \, \hat{\Gamma}_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i}^{[0]} = p\bar{\sigma}, \, \hat{\Gamma}_{v_i v_i^{\dagger}}^{[0]} = p\sigma$$

• The corrected two point functions can be written in terms of scalar functions:

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{v_iv_i} = m_i \hat{\Sigma}_{v_iv_i}, \ \hat{\Gamma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_i^{\dagger}} = m_i \hat{\Sigma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_i^{\dagger}}, \ \hat{\Gamma}_{v_iv_j^{\dagger}} = \rho\sigma\hat{\Sigma}_{v_iv_j^{\dagger}}, \\ \hat{\Gamma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_j} = \rho\bar{\sigma}\hat{\Sigma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_j}$$

• The multiplicative constants:

$$v_{0i} = Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_j, \ v_{0i}^{\dagger} = Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}^{\dagger}} v_j^{\dagger}, \ m_{0i} = m_i Z_{m_i}, \ Z_{m_i} = 1 + \delta_{m_i}, \ Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij},$$

where 1_{ij} is Kronecker delta function.

• The OS condition for mass counterterm reads (here and now, Re stands for taking the real part only of the loop functions and not couplings):

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Re}}\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{v_iv_i}+\hat{\Sigma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_i^{\dagger}}+\hat{\Sigma}_{v_iv_i^{\dagger}}+\hat{\Sigma}_{v_i^{\dagger}v_i}\right)\Big|_{p^2=m_i^2}=0.$$

• The mass counterterm is then:

$$\delta_{m_i} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i} + \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_i} \right) \Big|_{p^2 = m_i^2}$$

If we fix the phases of the fields, that m_{0i} ∈ ℝ, we can just drop the Re to have δ_{mi}, m²_i ∈ ℂ. At one loop level, we evaluate at p² = m²_{0i} + O(g²).

• The multiplicative constants:

$$v_{0i} = Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_j, \ v_{0i}^{\dagger} = Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}^{\dagger}} v_j^{\dagger}, \ m_{0i} = m_i Z_{m_i}, \ Z_{m_i} = 1 + \delta_{m_i}, \ Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij},$$

where 1_{ij} is Kronecker delta function.

• The OS condition for mass counterterm reads (here and now, Re stands for taking the real part only of the loop functions and not couplings):

$$\left(\hat{\Sigma}_{\nu_i\nu_i}+\hat{\Sigma}_{\nu_i^{\dagger}\nu_i^{\dagger}}+\hat{\Sigma}_{\nu_i\nu_i^{\dagger}}+\hat{\Sigma}_{\nu_i^{\dagger}\nu_i}\right)\Big|_{p^2=m_i^2}=0.$$

• The mass counterterm is then:

$$\delta_{m_i} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \left(\Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i} + \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_i} \right) \Big|_{p^2 = m_i^2}$$

If we fix the phases of the fields, that m_{0i} ∈ ℝ, we can just drop the Re to have δ_{mi}, m²_i ∈ ℂ. At one loop level, we evaluate at p² = m²_{0i} + O(g²).

From OS to CMS Field renormalization constants of OS

• The residue equal to one and particles stay in the same basis:

$$\begin{split} & \left[\operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}} + m_{i}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p^{2}} \operatorname{Re} \left(\Sigma_{v_{i} v_{i}} + \Sigma_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{v_{i} v_{i}^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}} \right) \right] \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = 0, \\ & \operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = \operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = -\operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{i}^{\dagger}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = -\operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i} v_{i}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = -\operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i} v_{i}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = -\operatorname{Re} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i} v_{i}} \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{i}^{2}} = 0, \\ & \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{v_{i} v_{j}} + m_{j} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i} v_{j}^{\dagger}} \right) \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{j}^{2}} = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\Gamma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{j}^{\dagger}} + m_{j} \hat{\Sigma}_{v_{i}^{\dagger} v_{j}} \right) \Big|_{p^{2} = m_{j}^{2}} = 0 \end{split}$$

• The counterterms:

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{ii}^{\dagger} + \delta_{ii} \right) = -\operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i} - m_i^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\Sigma_{v_i v_i} + \Sigma_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{v_i v_i^{\dagger}} + \Sigma_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i} \right) \Big|_{p^2 = m_i^2}$$
$$\delta_{ii}^{\dagger} - \delta_{ii} = \operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{v_i v_i} \left(m_i^2 \right) - \operatorname{Re} \Sigma_{v_i^{\dagger} v_i^{\dagger}} \left(m_i^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{ij}^{\dagger} &= \frac{2}{m_i^2 - m_j^2} \operatorname{Re}\left(m_j \Gamma_{\nu_i \nu_j}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_j^2 \Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_j^{\dagger}}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_i \Gamma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_j^{\dagger}}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_i m_j \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_j}\left(m_j^2\right)\right) \\ \delta_{ij} &= \frac{2}{m_i^2 - m_j^2} \operatorname{Re}\left(m_i \Gamma_{\nu_i \nu_j}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_i m_j \Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_j^{\dagger}}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_j \Gamma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_j^{\dagger}}\left(m_j^2\right) + m_j^2 \Sigma_{\nu_i^{\dagger} \nu_j}\left(m_j^2\right)\right) \end{split}$$

• We cannot simply drop the reality requirement, since the Im part cannot be absorbed into $\delta_{ii}^{\dagger} + \delta_{ii}$.

From OS to CMS Field renormalization constants of CMS

• In order to absorb Im part, we are led to introduce another constant:

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\delta}_{ii} + \delta_{ii} \right) &= -\Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \nu_i} - m_i^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial p^2} \left(\Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i} + \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \bar{\nu}_i} + \Sigma_{\nu_i \bar{\nu}_i} + \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \nu_i} \right)_{m_i^2}, \\ \bar{\delta}_{ii} &= \delta_{ii} = \Sigma_{\nu_i \nu_i} \left(m_i^2 \right) - \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \bar{\nu}_i} \left(m_i^2 \right) \\ \bar{\delta}_{ij} &= \frac{2}{m_i^2 - m_j^2} \left(m_j \Gamma_{\nu_i \nu_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_j^2 \Sigma_{\nu_i \bar{\nu}_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_i \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}_i \bar{\nu}_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_i m_j \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \nu_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) \right) \\ \delta_{ij} &= \frac{2}{m_i^2 - m_j^2} \left(m_i \Gamma_{\nu_i \nu_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_i m_j \Sigma_{\nu_i \bar{\nu}_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_j \Gamma_{\bar{\nu}_i \bar{\nu}_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) + m_j^2 \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i \nu_j} \left(m_j^2 \right) \right) \end{split}$$

• Which leads to a difference between particle and antiparticle:

$$v_{0i} = Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_j, \ v_{0i}^{\dagger} = \bar{Z}_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{v}_j \Rightarrow \left(Z_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} v_j \right)^{\dagger} = \bar{Z}_{ij}^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{v}_j.$$

• At a loop level:

$$\mathbf{v}_{i}^{\dagger} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\delta}_{ii} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ii}^{\dagger}\right)\bar{\mathbf{v}}_{i},$$

Field renormalization in CMS

• A stable Majorana particle has:

$$ar{\delta}_{ij} = \delta^{\dagger}_{ij} \,, \ ar{\delta}_{ii} = \delta^{\dagger}_{ii} \Rightarrow v^{\dagger}_{i} = ar{v}_{i} \,, \ \delta_{m_{i}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

• As an example, consider that all couplings are real, then:

$$\bar{\delta}_{ii} - \delta_{ii} = \Sigma_{v_i v_i} \left(m_i^2 \right) - \Sigma_{\bar{v}_i \bar{v}_i} \left(m_i^2 \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \bar{\delta}_{ii} = \delta_{ii} \,.$$

Meaning that:

$$v_i^{\dagger} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ii} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ii}^{\dagger}\right)\bar{v}_i = (1 - i\mathrm{Im}\delta_{ii})\bar{v}_i = e^{i\mathrm{Im}\delta_{ii}}\bar{v}_i + O\left(\delta^2\right).$$

• So the imaginary part in loop functions give the phase difference for fields:

$$\mathrm{Im}\delta_{ii} = -\mathrm{Im}\Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i\nu_i}(m_i^2) - 2m_i^2\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial}{\partial p^2}\left(\Sigma_{\nu_i\nu_i} + \Sigma_{\bar{\nu}_i\nu_i}\right)\Big|_{p^2 = m_i^2}$$

The model

• Starting from flavor and the Higgs basis, we can rotate the neutrinos in such a way, that v'_{01} has no interactions with neutral scalars at all:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{v} &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} y_{3} v_{03}' \left(v + h' + i \chi^{0} \right) v_{04}' - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d \, v_{02}' \left(H' + i A' \right) v_{04}' \\ &- \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} d' v_{03}' \left(H' + i A' \right) v_{04}' + \frac{1}{2} M \, v_{04}' v_{04}' + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

• The seesaw transformation $(s^2 = \frac{m_{03}}{m_{04}+m_{03}}, c^2 = \frac{m_{04}}{m_{04}+m_{03}})$ gets the neutrinos into their mass eigenstates $V'_{0j} \rightarrow V_{0j}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{L}_{v} &= -\frac{1}{2}m_{03}v_{03}v_{03} - \frac{1}{2}m_{04}v_{04}v_{04} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[y\left(h' + i\chi^{0}\right) - d'\left(H' + iA'\right)\right]\left(csv_{03}v_{03} + i\left(c^{2} - s^{2}\right)v_{03}v_{04} + csv_{04}v_{04}\right) \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}d\left(H' + iA'\right)v_{02}\left(-isv_{03} + cv_{04}\right) + h.c. \end{aligned}$$

• We fix the phases of neutrinos so that we have real bare mass parameters. To summarize, in this basis we have:

$$y, m_{03}, m_{04}, d, s \in \mathbb{R}, d' \in \mathbb{C}.$$

• v_1 , v_2 and v_3 are stable at 1 loop level, so the counterterms are the same as we would have in the OS scheme:

$$v_j^{\dagger} = \bar{v}_j, \delta_{m_3} \in \mathbb{R}, \ \delta_{jj}^{\dagger} = \bar{\delta}_{jj}, \ \delta_{ij}^{\dagger} = \bar{\delta}_{ij}, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4; \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

• For an unstable v_4 we have:

$$\delta_{m_4}, \delta_{i4}, \overline{\delta}_{i4}, \delta_{44}, \overline{\delta}_{44} \in \mathbb{C}, \ v_4^{\dagger} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\overline{\delta}_{44} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{44}^{\dagger}\right)\overline{v}_4, \ i = 1, 2, 3.$$

• There is no bare mass, hence no mass counterterms for v_2 and v_1 and no counterterms for mixing:

$$\delta_{m_1} = \delta_{m_2} = \delta_{12} = \bar{\delta}_{12} = \delta_{21} = \bar{\delta}_{21} = 0.$$

• Since the v₁ doesn't interact with the neutral scalar sector at all, we have there is no mass term possible for v₁.

$$\Gamma_{v_1v_1}(p^2)=0.$$

• Also, due to our choice of the basis, we have $\Gamma_{\nu_1\nu_2} = 0$, but note that $\Gamma_{\nu_1\nu_3} \neq 0$ and $\Gamma_{\nu_1\nu_4} \neq 0$.

Mass term for v_2

• Loop level mass for v_2 is finite and gauge invariant:

$$m_{2} = -\hat{\Gamma}_{v_{2}v_{2}}(0) = -\Gamma_{v_{2}v_{2}}(0)$$

 Considering CP conserving case, there is no mixing between CP even and CP odd Higgses. Then loop level mass is:

$$\begin{split} m_2 &= -\frac{d^2}{32\pi (m_3 + m_4)} \left(m_3^2 \left[B_0 \left(0, m_3^2, m_A^2 \right) - c_{12}^2 B_0 \left(0, m_3^2, m_H^2 \right) - s_{12}^2 B_0 \left(0, m_3^2, m_h^2 \right) \right] \\ &- m_4^2 \left[B_0 \left(0, m_4^2, m_A^2 \right) - c_{12}^2 B_0 \left(0, m_4^2, m_H^2 \right) - s_{12}^2 B_0 \left(0, m_4^2, m_h^2 \right) \right] \right], \end{split}$$

where s_{12} and c_{12} describes mixing between SM Higgs *h* and second CP even Higgs *H*.

- The contribution for the SM Higgs goes away when $s_{12} \rightarrow 0$ (and $c_{12} \rightarrow 1$).
- If we also have $m_H = m_A$, $m_2 \rightarrow 0$.

• In the Grimus Neufeld model, assuming multiplicative renormalization constants, there is no counterterm for the mass of the second neutrino, but the loop induced mass is finite and gauge invariant:

$$m_2 \sim \frac{d^2}{m_3 + m_4} f(m_A, m_h, m_H, m_3, m_4, s_{12}, s_{13})$$

- Generalizing the OS to the CMS renormalization scheme for fermions with Majorana mass terms leads to the introduction of an additional phase between particle and antiparticle, when the particle is unstable. This is the case for v_4 .
- Further study on gauge dependence of the neutrino 2 point functions and the effects, caused by the instability of v_4 will follow...

Thank you for listening

◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?