

3HDM lepton flavor symmetry of multi-dimensional mass matrices

Joris Vergeest

University of Silesia, Katowice

MTTD 2023, Ustroń, 17-22 September 2023

Based on:

Flavor symmetries in the leptonic Yukawa sector
of the 3HDM

Bartosz Dziewit, Joris Vergeest, Marek Zrałek

3HDM or Three-Higgs-Doublet model

Almost minimal extension of SM

This 3HDM:

SM + 2 HD + 3 RH neutrinos

- SM: EWSB $\rightarrow (SU(3)_F)^5$ gets broken
Remnant flavor symmetries G may survive
- Is G viable?
- G -symmetry of 3HDM \rightarrow constraints on mass matrices
- G viable \rightarrow might help solve the flavor puzzle.

Scope:

- Lepton sector
- Yukawa Lagrangian – (kinetic and Higgs scalar sectors not included)

Yukawa term (charged leptons) of the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}^I = -(h_i^I)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{L}_{\alpha L} \tilde{\Phi}_i I_{\beta R} + \text{H.c.},$$

$i = 1..3$

27 terms + H.c.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}^I &= -(h_i^I)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{L}_{\alpha L} \tilde{\Phi}_i L_{\beta R} + \text{H.c.}, \\
 \mathcal{L}^\nu &= -(h_i^\nu)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{L}_{\alpha L} \Phi_i \nu_{\beta R} + \text{H.c.}, \\
 \mathcal{L}^M &= -\frac{g}{M} (h_{ij}^M)_{\alpha\beta} (\bar{L}_{\alpha L} \Phi_i) (\Phi_j^T L_{\beta R}^c) + \text{H.c.}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mathcal{L}^I + \mathcal{L}^\nu \\
 &\mathcal{L}^I + \mathcal{L}^M
 \end{aligned}$$

for neutrinos Dirac or Majorana particle resp.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^I &\sim \bar{L}_L (\tilde{\Phi}_i h_i^I) I_R \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} \bar{L}_L A_L^\dagger (A_\Phi^* \tilde{\Phi})_i h_i^I A_{IR} I_R\end{aligned}$$

Three (different) group representations A_i .

$A_i(g)$ is a 3×3 unitary matrix for each $g \in G$.

G -symmetry $\iff \mathcal{L}^I + \mathcal{L}^\nu$ invariant for all g

\iff appropriate h_i^I and h_i^ν exist.

Invariance equation:

$$((A_\Phi(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_L(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_{IR}(g))^T) h^I = h^I, \forall g \in G$$

Invariance equation:

$$((A_\Phi(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_L(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_{IR}(g))^T) h^I = h^I, \forall g \in G$$

Solutions h^I, h^ν define the mass matrices

$$\begin{aligned} M^I &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_i^* h_i^I \\ M^\nu &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} v_i h_i^\nu \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathcal{L}^I + \mathcal{L}^\nu$ is G -invariant

- M^I and M^ν as functions of the v_i
- constraints on the lepton masses and (Dirac) neutrino mixing angles
- G viable or not

Earlier results of 2HDM:

- No viable G isomorphic to a subgroup of $U(3)$, for $|G| \leq 1025$ (Chabor *et al.* 2018)

3HDM:

- Charged lepton mass ratios can be accommodated (as could be expected with 3 Higgs doublets)
- Neutrino mass spectrum can be reproduced for some groups
- Charged lepton and neutrino spectrum cannot be obtained so far

Earlier results of 2HDM:

- No viable G isomorphic to a subgroup of $U(3)$, for $|G| \leq 1025$ (Chabor *et al.* 2018)

3HDM:

- Charged lepton mass ratios can be accommodated (as could be expected with 3 Higgs doublets)
- Neutrino mass spectrum can be reproduced for some groups
- Charged lepton and neutrino spectrum cannot be obtained so far
for 1-dimensional solutions h^l and h^ν

Why is it hard to obtain both mass spectra from a flavor symmetry?

- $\mathcal{L}^I + \mathcal{L}^\nu =$
$$\bar{L}_L A_L^\dagger (A_\Phi^* \tilde{\Phi})_i h_i^I A_{IR} I_R + \bar{L}_L A_L^\dagger (A_\Phi \Phi)_i h_i^\nu A_{\nu R} \nu_R$$
- EWSB \rightarrow the same 3 VEVs for M^I and M^ν

Back to the invariance equation:

$$((A_\Phi(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_L(g))^\dagger \otimes (A_{IR}(g))^T) h^I = h^I, \forall g \in G$$

- Up to 3 inequivalent solutions (h_a^I , h_b^I and h_c^I) depending on G and choice of A
- $\rightarrow M^I = \lambda_a M_a^I + \lambda_b M_b^I + \lambda_c M_c^I$
- $\rightarrow M^\nu = \mu_a M_a^\nu + \mu_b M_b^\nu + \mu_c M_c^\nu$

Example group $\Sigma(81)$ or $(\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$

$$M^I = -\frac{c_I}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_c v_1^* & \lambda_a v_3^* & \lambda_b v_2^* \\ \lambda_b v_3^* & \lambda_c v_2^* & \lambda_a v_1^* \\ \lambda_a v_2^* & \lambda_b v_1^* & \lambda_c v_3^* \end{pmatrix}$$

3D irrep $A_i = \mathbf{3}_1$ gives rise to $\mathbf{3}_1 \times \mathbf{3}_1 = \mathbf{3}_1 + \mathbf{3}_1 + \mathbf{3}_1$

v_i, λ_i, μ_i : 9 complex parameters.

386 groups $|G| \leq 600$ generate 3-dim mass matrices.
Viable G "easily" attainable?

Chuliá *et al.* (2022) identifies $\Sigma(81)$ as viable in 3HDM,
however with different particle content.

386 groups $|G| \leq 600$ generate 3-dim mass matrices.
Viable G "easily" attainable?

Chuliá *et al.* (2022) identifies $\Sigma(81)$ as viable in 3HDM,
however with different particle content.

In our 3HDM 3-dim mass matrices do not occur in joint
solutions of $\Sigma(81)$

They do not occur at all! (for $|G| \leq 600$)

3HDM analysis of groups with $|G| \leq 600$

36 groups provide 27,283 distinct (M^l, M^ν) pairs.

		dim M^ν		
		1	2	3
dim M^l	1	18922	3816	0
	2	3816	729	0
	3	0	0	0

$(1,1) \rightarrow$ correct $\frac{m_\mu}{m_e}, \frac{m_\tau}{m_e}$ only

For dimensionality (1,2), (2,1) and (2,2):

$$M^I = \lambda_a M_a^I + \lambda_b M_b^I, \quad M^\nu = \mu_a M_a^\nu + \mu_b M_b^\nu$$

Fitting strategies depend on pattern types of
 M_a^I , M_b^I , M_a^ν and M_b^ν .

Six patterns of mass matrices M_a^I and M_a^ν

$$1: \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & x \\ y & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & z & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \quad 2: \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & z & y \\ z & \cdot & x \\ y & x & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \quad 3: \begin{pmatrix} y & y & y \\ z & z & z \\ x & x & x \end{pmatrix}$$
$$4: \begin{pmatrix} y & z & x \\ y & z & x \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix} \quad 5: \begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ z & x & y \\ y & z & x \end{pmatrix}$$
$$6: \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & x+y+z & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & x+y+z \\ x+y+z & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

Patterns involved in (1,1) solutions:

		M^ν					
		1	2	3	4	5	6
M'	1	9559	0	238	0	0	0
	2	0	4	0	0	0	0
	3	237	0	108	0	0	0
	4	0	0	0	494	0	0
	5	0	0	0	0	7784	0
	6	0	0	0	0	0	498

Multi-dim $\rightarrow \Sigma$ of patterns.

Dim(1,2) solutions of $(M^I, M^\nu) = (1, (1,1))$:

$$M^I \propto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_a v_3^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_a v_1^* \\ \lambda_a v_2^* & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M^\nu \propto \begin{pmatrix} \mu_a v_3 & 0 & \mu_b v_1 \\ \mu_b v_2 & \mu_a v_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_b v_3 & \mu_a v_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$M^I \rightarrow |v_i|$ proportional to CL masses

$M^I \rightarrow |v_i|$ known (proportional to CL masses)

$M^\nu \rightarrow \frac{m_2}{m_1}$ and $\frac{m_3}{m_1}$, (denote by a and b)

$a, b \rightarrow R := \frac{(a^2 - 1)}{(b^2 - a^2)}$

$M^I \rightarrow |v_i|$ known (proportional to CL masses)

$M^\nu \rightarrow \frac{m_2}{m_1}$ and $\frac{m_3}{m_1}$, (denote by a and b)

$a, b \rightarrow R := \frac{(a^2 - 1)}{(b^2 - a^2)}$

$$R_{\text{exp}} = \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2} = 0.0307 \pm 0.0009$$

$$R \stackrel{?}{=} R_{\text{exp}}$$

One free parameter only: $R = R(|\mu_b|)$

Group $\mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3 \rightarrow$ good fit (Normal Ordering)

$$\rightarrow (m_1, m_2, m_3) =$$

$$= (0.05 \times 10^{-4}, 0.86 \times 10^{-2}, 5.03 \times 10^{-2}) \text{ eV}.$$

First successful fit of minimal 3HDM to all lepton masses.

Dim(1,2) solutions of $(M^l, M^\nu) = (3, (1,1))$:
pattern 3 \rightarrow wrong CL masses.

Dim(1,2) solutions of $(M^l, M^\nu) = (3, (1,1))$:
pattern 3 → wrong CL masses.

Further occurring cases:

Dim(2,1) solutions of $(M^l, M^\nu) = ((1,1), 1)$:

Dim(2,1) solutions of $(M^l, M^\nu) = ((1,1), 3)$:

Dim(2,2) solutions of $(M^l, M^\nu) = ((1,1), (1,1))$:

G viable?

Indications only, so far.

Summary and preliminary conclusions:

	Viability of G -symmetry		
	charged lepton masses	Dirac neutrino masses	flavor mixing
2HDM (1,1)	X	X	X
3HDM (1,1)	✓	X	X
3HDM (1,(1,1))	✓	✓	?
3HDM (3,(1,1))	X	X	X
3HDM ((1,1),1)	✓	X	X
3HDM ((1,1),3)	✓	X	X
3HDM ((1,1),(1,1))	?	?	?

Following steps:

Complete 3HDM analysis, analytically, numerically

Scan groups up to $|G|=1032$

Assume neutrinos are Majorana particles

Verify completeness of "effective" group (sub-, factor-, automorphisme-group, $G \not\leq U(3)$)

.....

Evaluate against:

Symmetries known in Higgs sector

FCNC

.....

Thank you

References

- [1] K. Abe et al. “Observation of Electron Neutrino Appearance in a Muon Neutrino Beam”. In: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 112 (2014), p. 061802. URL: <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1311.4750.pdf>.
- [2] K. Abe et al. “Constraint on the matter–antimatter symmetry-violating phase in neutrino oscillations”. In: *Nature* 580.7803 (2020). [Erratum: *Nature* 583, E16 (2020)], pp. 339–344. DOI: [10.1038/s41586-020-2177-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2177-0). arXiv: 1910.03887 [hep-ex].
- [3] Reinier de Adelhart Toorop, Ferruccio Feruglio, and Claudia Hagedorn. “Finite Modular Groups and Lepton Mixing”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 858 (2012), pp. 437–467. DOI: [10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.01.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2012.01.017). arXiv: 1112.1340 [hep-ph].
- [4] D. Adey et al. “Measurement of the Electron Antineutrino Oscillation with 1958 Days of Operation at Daya Bay”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121.24 (2018), p. 241805. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.241805](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.241805). arXiv: 1809.02261 [hep-ex].
- [5] J. K. Ahn et al. “Observation of Reactor Electron Antineutrino Disappearance in the RENO Experiment”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (2012), p. 191802. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.191802). arXiv: 1204.0626 [hep-ex].
- [6] M. Aker et al. “Direct neutrino-mass measurement with sub-electronvolt sensitivity”. In: *Nature Phys.* 18.2 (2022), pp. 160–166. DOI: [10.1038/s41567-021-01463-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-021-01463-1).
- [7] Guido Altarelli and Ferruccio Feruglio. “Discrete Flavor Symmetries and Models of Neutrino Mixing”. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82 (2010), pp. 2701–2729. DOI: [10.1103/RevModPhys.82.2701](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.2701). arXiv: 1002.0211 [hep-ph].
- [8] F. P. An et al. “Observation of electron-antineutrino disappearance at Daya Bay”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (2012), p. 171803. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.171803). arXiv: 1203.1669 [hep-ex].
- [9] G. Bak et al. “Measurement of Reactor Antineutrino Oscillation Amplitude and Frequency at RENO”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 121.20 (2018), p. 201801. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.201801](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.201801). arXiv: 1806.00248 [hep-ex].
- [10] H. U. Besche, B. Eick, and E. O’Brien. *small, The Small Groups library, Version 2.1*. GAP 4.7.6 component. Nov. 2014.
- [11] A. Blum, C. Hagedorn, and M. Lindner. “Fermion Masses and Mixings from Dihedral Flavor Symmetries with Preserved Subgroups”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 77 (2008), p. 076004. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.77.076004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.77.076004). arXiv: 0709.3450 [hep-ph].
- [12] G.C. Branco et al. “Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models”. In: *Physics Reports* 516.1 (2012). Theory and phenomenology of two-Higgs-doublet models, pp. 1–102. ISSN: 0370-1573. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2012.02.002>. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370157312000695>.
- [13] Piotr Chaber et al. “Lepton masses and mixing in a two-Higgs-doublet model”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 98.5 (2018), p. 055007. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.98.055007](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.98.055007). arXiv: 1808.08384 [hep-ph].
- [14] Salvador Chuliá Centelles, Ricardo Cepedello, and Omar Medina. “Absolute neutrino mass scale and dark matter stability from flavour symmetry”. In: *JHEP* 10 (2022), p. 080. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP10\(2022\)080](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP10(2022)080). arXiv: 2204.12517 [hep-ph].
- [15] V. Dabbaghian. *Repsn, A GAP4 Package for constructing representations of finite groups, Version 3.0.2*. Refereed GAP package. Aug. 2011.
- [16] Sacha Davidson and Howard E. Haber. “Basis-independent methods for the two-Higgs-doublet model”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 72 (3 Aug. 2005), p. 035004. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.72.035004](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.035004). URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.035004>.
- [17] Bartosz Dziewit, Sebastian Zajac, and Marek Zralek. “Attempts at Explaining Neutrino Masses and Mixings Using Finite Horizontal Symmetry Groups”. In: *Acta Phys. Polon. B* 44.11 (2013), pp. 2353–2358. DOI: [10.5506/APhysPolB.44.2353](https://doi.org/10.5506/APhysPolB.44.2353).

- [18] Bartosz Dziewit et al. “A Method to Explore Flavor Symmetries of the 3HDM and Their Implications on Lepton Masses and Mixing”. In: *Symmetry* 14.9 (2022). ISSN: 2073-8994. DOI: 10.3390/sym14091854. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/14/9/1854>.
- [19] Bartosz Dziewit et al. “Family Symmetries and Multi Higgs Doublet Models”. In: *Symmetry* 12.1 (2020). ISSN: 2073-8994. DOI: 10.3390/sym12010156. URL: <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-8994/12/1/156>.
- [20] P. M. Ferreira and Joao P. Silva. “Abelian symmetries in the two-Higgs-doublet model with fermions”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D83 (2011), p. 065026. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.065026. arXiv: 1012.2874 [hep-ph].
- [21] Ferruccio Feruglio and Andrea Romanino. “Lepton flavor symmetries”. In: *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 93.1 (2021), p. 015007. DOI: 10.1103/RevModPhys.93.015007. arXiv: 1912.06028 [hep-ph].
- [22] P. H. Frampton and T. W. Kephart. “Simple nonAbelian finite flavor groups and fermion masses”. In: *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A10 (1995), pp. 4689–4704. DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X95002187. arXiv: hep-ph/9409330 [hep-ph].
- [23] *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*. The GAP Group. 2021. URL: %5Curl%7B<https://www.gap-system.org/>%7D.
- [24] Carlo Giunti and Chung W. Kim. *Fundamentals of Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics*. 2007. ISBN: 9780198508717.
- [25] R. González Felipe, H. Serôdio, and João P. Silva. “Models with three Higgs doublets in the triplet representations of A_4 or S_4 ”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (5 Mar. 2013), p. 055010. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.87.055010. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.87.055010>.
- [26] Andre de Gouvea and Hitoshi Murayama. “Neutrino Mixing Anarchy: Alive and Kicking”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B747 (2015), pp. 479–483. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2015.06.028. arXiv: 1204.1249 [hep-ph].
- [27] Walter Grimus and Patrick Otto Ludl. “Finite flavour groups of fermions”. In: *J. Phys.* A45 (2012), p. 233001. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/45/23/233001. arXiv: 1110.6376 [hep-ph].
- [28] Walter Grimus and Patrick Otto Ludl. “Finite flavour groups of fermions”. In: *J. Phys.* A45 (2012), p. 233001. arXiv: 1110.6376 [hep-ph].
- [29] Yuval Grossman. “Phenomenology of models with more than two Higgs doublets”. In: *Nucl. Phys. B* 426 (1994), pp. 355–384. DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(94)90316-6. arXiv: hep-ph/9401311.
- [30] Claudia Hagedorn, Aurora Meroni, and Lorenzo Vitale. “Mixing patterns from the groups $\Sigma(n\phi)$ ”. In: *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* 47.5 (Jan. 2014), p. 055201. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/47/5/055201. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1088%2F1751-8113%2F47%2F5%2F055201>.
- [31] Lawrence J. Hall, Hitoshi Murayama, and Neal Weiner. “Neutrino mass anarchy”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 (2000), pp. 2572–2575. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2572. arXiv: hep-ph/9911341 [hep-ph].
- [32] Haim Harari. “Three Generations of Quarks and Leptons”. In: *5th International Conference on Meson Spectroscopy*. July 1977, p. 0170.
- [33] P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott. “Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B530 (2002), p. 167. DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(02)01336-9. arXiv: hep-ph/0202074 [hep-ph].
- [34] P. F. Harrison and W. G. Scott. “Permutation symmetry, tri - bimaximal neutrino mixing and the S_3 group characters”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B557 (2003), p. 76. DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00183-7. arXiv: hep-ph/0302025 [hep-ph].
- [35] A. E. Cárcamo Hernández et al. “Fermion mass hierarchy and g - 2 anomalies in an extended 3HDM Model”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2021.10 (Oct. 2021). DOI: 10.1007/jhep10(2021)036. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fjhep10%282021%29036>.

- [36] Martin Holthausen, Kher Sham Lim, and Manfred Lindner. “Lepton Mixing Patterns from a Scan of Finite Discrete Groups”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 721 (2013), pp. 61–67. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2013.02.047. arXiv: 1212.2411 [hep-ph].
- [37] Wei-Shu Hou. “Tree level $t \rightarrow c h$ or $h \rightarrow t \bar{c}$ anti- c decays”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 296 (1992), pp. 179–184. DOI: 10.1016/0370-2693(92)90823-M.
- [38] Masahiro Ibe, Alexander Kusenko, and Tsutomu T. Yanagida. “Why three generations?” In: *Physics Letters B* 758 (2016), pp. 365–369. ISSN: 0370-2693. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2016.05.025>. URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0370269316301721>.
- [39] Wolfram Research Inc. *Mathematica, Version 12.3.1*. Champaign, IL, 2021. URL: <https://www.wolfram.com/mathematica>.
- [40] Hajime Ishimori et al. “Non-Abelian Discrete Symmetries in Particle Physics”. In: *Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl.* 183 (2010), pp. 1–163. DOI: 10.1143/PTPS.183.1. arXiv: 1003.3552 [hep-th].
- [41] I. P. Ivanov and C. C. Nishi. “Abelian symmetries of the N-Higgs-doublet model with Yukawa interactions”. In: *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2013.11 (Nov. 2013). DOI: 10.1007/jhep11(2013)069. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007%2Fjhep11%282013%29069>.
- [42] I. P. Ivanov and C. C. Nishi. “Symmetry breaking patterns in 3HDM”. In: *JHEP* 01 (2015), p. 021. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2015)021. arXiv: 1410.6139 [hep-ph].
- [43] Igor P. Ivanov. “Building and testing models with extended Higgs sectors”. In: *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics* 95 (July 2017), pp. 160–208. DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.03.001. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.ppnp.2017.03.001>.
- [44] Igor P. Ivanov and E. Vdovin. “Classification of finite reparametrization symmetry groups in the three-Higgs-doublet model”. In: *The European Physical Journal C* 73.2 (Feb. 2013). ISSN: 1434-6052. DOI: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2309-x. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-013-2309-x>.
- [45] Darius Jurč iukonis and Luís Lavoura. “GAP listing of the finite subgroups of $U(3)$ of order smaller than 2000”. In: *Progress of Theoretical and Experimental Physics* 2017.5 (May 2017). DOI: 10.1093/ptep/ptx064. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1093%2Fptep%2Fptx064>.
- [46] Venus Keus, Stephen F. King, and Stefano Moretti. “Three-Higgs-doublet models: symmetries, potentials and Higgs boson masses”. In: *JHEP* 01 (2014), p. 052. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP01(2014)052. arXiv: 1310.8253 [hep-ph].
- [47] S. F. King. “Unified Models of Neutrinos, Flavour and CP Violation”. In: *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 94 (2017), pp. 217–256. DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2017.01.003. arXiv: 1701.04413 [hep-ph].
- [48] Stephen F King and Christoph Luhn. “Neutrino mass and mixing with discrete symmetry”. In: *Reports on Progress in Physics* 76.5 (May 2013), p. 056201. ISSN: 1361-6633. DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/76/5/056201. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/76/5/056201>.
- [49] Stephen F. King and Christoph Luhn. “Neutrino Mass and Mixing with Discrete Symmetry”. In: *Rept. Prog. Phys.* 76 (2013), p. 056201. DOI: 10.1088/0034-4885/76/5/056201. arXiv: 1301.1340 [hep-ph].
- [50] Stephen F. King and Christoph Luhn. “On the origin of neutrino flavour symmetry”. In: *JHEP* 10 (2009), p. 093. DOI: 10.1088/1126-6708/2009/10/093. arXiv: 0908.1897 [hep-ph].
- [51] Stephen F. King et al. “Neutrino Mass and Mixing: from Theory to Experiment”. In: *New J. Phys.* 16 (2014), p. 045018. DOI: 10.1088/1367-2630/16/4/045018. arXiv: 1402.4271 [hep-ph].
- [52] J. Kubo et al. “The Flavor symmetry”. In: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 109 (2003). [Erratum: *Prog. Theor. Phys.* 114, 287 (2005)], pp. 795–807. DOI: 10.1143/PTP.109.795. arXiv: hep-ph/0302196 [hep-ph].
- [53] C. S. Lam. “Determining Horizontal Symmetry from Neutrino Mixing”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (12 Sept. 2008), p. 121602. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.121602. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.121602>.

- [54] C. S. Lam. “Finite Symmetry of Leptonic Mass Matrices”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 87.1 (2013), p. 013001. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.87.013001. arXiv: 1208.5527 [hep-ph].
- [55] C. S. Lam. “Group Theory and Dynamics of Neutrino Mixing”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 83 (2011), p. 113002. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.113002. arXiv: 1104.0055 [hep-ph].
- [56] C. S. Lam. “The Unique Horizontal Symmetry of Leptons”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 78 (2008), p. 073015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.78.073015. arXiv: 0809.1185 [hep-ph].
- [57] Patrick Otto Ludl. “On the finite subgroups of $U(3)$ of order smaller than 512”. In: *J. Phys.* A43 (2010). [Erratum: *J. Phys.* A44,139501(2011)], p. 395204. DOI: 10.1088/1751-8113/44/13/139501, 10.1088/1751-8113/43/39/395204. arXiv: 1006.1479 [math-ph].
- [58] Patrick Otto Ludl. “Systematic analysis of finite family symmetry groups and their application to the lepton sector”. PhD thesis. Vienna U., 2009-06-27. arXiv: 0907.5587 [hep-ph]. URL: <https://inspirehep.net/record/827598/files/arXiv:0907.5587.pdf>.
- [59] A. C. B. Machado, J. C. Montero, and V. Pleitez. “Three-Higgs-doublet model with A_4 symmetry”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B697 (2011), pp. 318–322. DOI: 10.1016/j.physletb.2011.02.015. arXiv: 1011.5855 [hep-ph].
- [60] Ziro Maki, Masami Nakagawa, and Shoichi Sakata. “Remarks on the Unified Model of Elementary Particles”. In: *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 28.5 (1962), pp. 870–880. DOI: 10.1143/PTP.28.870. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1143/PTP.28.870>.
- [61] G.A. Miller, H.F. Blichfeldt, and L.E. Dickson. *Theory and applications of finite groups*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Chapman & Hall, 1916.
- [62] S. Morisi and E. Peinado. “An $A(4)$ model for lepton masses and mixings”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D80 (2009), p. 113011. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.80.113011. arXiv: 0910.4389 [hep-ph].
- [63] Miguel Nebot. “Constraints on a Class of Two-Higgs Doublet Models with tree level FCNC”. In: *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* 273-275 (2016), pp. 1448–1454. DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysbps.2015.09.234. arXiv: 1410.7759 [hep-ph].
- [64] T Nguyen. “Two Higgs singlets A_4 Flavor Symmetry with Minimal Breaking”. In: 24 (July 2014), p. 113. DOI: 10.15625/0868-3166/24/2/3946.
- [65] Krishna Mohan Parattu and Ak in Wingerter. “Tribimaximal mixing from small groups”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 84 (1 July 2011), p. 013011. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.84.013011. URL: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.013011>.
- [66] Krishna Mohan Parattu and Akin Wingerter. “Tribimaximal Mixing From Small Groups”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D84 (2011), p. 013011. arXiv: 1012.2842 [hep-ph].
- [67] B. Pontecorvo. “Neutrino Experiments and the Problem of Conservation of Leptonic Charge”. In: *Sov. Phys. JETP* 26 (1968). [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 53, 1717(1967)], pp. 984–988.
- [68] X. Qian and P. Vogel. “Neutrino Mass Hierarchy”. In: *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 83 (2015), pp. 1–30. DOI: 10.1016/j.ppnp.2015.05.002. arXiv: 1505.01891 [hep-ex].
- [69] P. F. de Salas et al. “2020 global reassessment of the neutrino oscillation picture”. In: *JHEP* 02 (2021), p. 071. DOI: 10.1007/JHEP02(2021)071. arXiv: 2006.11237 [hep-ph].
- [70] Kim Siyeon. “Ansatz of Leptonic Mixing: The Alliance of Bi-Maximal Mixing with a Single-Angle Rotation”. In: *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* 65.9 (2014), pp. 1347–1355. DOI: 10.3938/jkps.65.1347. arXiv: 1208.2645 [hep-ph].
- [71] Joris Vergeest et al. “Lepton masses and mixing in a three-Higgs doublet model”. In: (Mar. 2022). arXiv: 2203.03514 [hep-ph].
- [72] Steven Weinberg. “A Model of Leptons”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 19 (1967), pp. 1264–1266. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1264.
- [73] Steven Weinberg. “Baryon and Lepton Nonconserving Processes”. In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 43 (1979), pp. 1566–1570. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.43.1566.

- [74] R. L. Workman et al. “Review of Particle Physics”. In: *PTEP* 2022 (2022), p. 083C01. doi: 10.1093/ptep/ptac097.
- [75] Zhi-zhong Xing. “Flavor structures of charged fermions and massive neutrinos”. In: *Physics Reports* 854 (Apr. 2020), pp. 1–147. doi: 10.1016/j.physrep.2020.02.001. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016%2Fj.physrep.2020.02.001>.
- [76] P. A. Zyla et al. “Review of Particle Physics”. In: *PTEP* 2020.8 (2020), p. 083C01. doi: 10.1093/ptep/ptaa104.