

Quantum information and CP measurement in  $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$  at future high energy lepton colliders (*Phys.Rev.D* 107 (2023) 9, 093002)

Priyanka Lamba

In collaboration with: M. Altakach, F. Maltoni, K. Mawatai, Kazuki Sakurai



UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW Faculty of Physics



### Bohr vs EPR

"Niels Bohr: argued that reality or the state of a particle at the fundamental level was not only unknown but was unknowable until it was measured."

"If, without in any way disturbing a system, we can predict with certainty (i.e. with probability equal to unity) the value of a physical quantity, then there exist an element of physical reality corresponding to this physical quantity" *Einstien, Podolski and Rosen, 1935* 

> QM violates both local and real requirements (i.e. entanglement violate Locality). And QM already tested by Stern Gerlach Experiment.

As per EPR, the QM behavior could be explained by additional variables called Local Hidden variables (LHV). These would restore locality and causality to the theory (and they demonstrated it for the Stern Gerlach experimental observations).

It seems difficult that time to experimentally discriminate QM and general hidden variable theories.

In 1964, John Bell, made a fundamental contribution, showing that no deterministic hidden variable theory can reproduce al the statistical predictions of quantum mechanics(1964) derived simple inequalities that can discriminate QM from any local-real hidden variable theories: Bell inequalities



CHSH inequality

#### [Clauser, Horne, Shimony, Holt, 1969]



4) Repeat (1) but for a' and b'.

#### Finally, we construct

$$R_{\text{CHSH}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle s_a s_b \rangle - \langle s_a s_{b'} \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_b \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_{b'} \rangle \right|$$

# CHSH inequality in LHV theories

$$R_{\text{CHSH}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle s_a s_b \rangle - \langle s_a s_{b'} \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_b \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_{b'} \rangle \right| \leq 1$$

$$|\langle ab\rangle - \langle ab'\rangle| = \left| \int d\lambda (ab - ab') P \right| \qquad \pm aba'b'P - (\pm aba'b'P) = 0$$

$$= \int d\lambda |ab(1 \pm a'b')P - ab'(1 \pm a'b)P| \qquad a = s_a$$

$$b = s_b$$

$$\leq \int d\lambda (|ab||1 \pm a'b'|P + |ab'||1 \pm a'b|P) \qquad \vdots$$

$$= \int d\lambda [(1 \pm a'b')P + (1 \pm a'b)P] \qquad \exists ab| = |ab'| = 1$$

$$|1 \pm a'b'|, |1 \pm a'b| \ge 0$$

$$= 2 \pm (\langle a'b'\rangle + \langle a'b\rangle)$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \tilde{R}_{CHSH} = \frac{1}{2} (|\langle ab\rangle - \langle ab'\rangle| + |\langle a'b\rangle + \langle a'b'\rangle|) \le 1$$

$$\left| \langle ab\rangle = \int a(\lambda)b(\lambda)P(\lambda)d\lambda \right|$$

$$\int P(\lambda)d\lambda = 1$$

CHSH inequality in QM

Lets consider an QM wavefunction of singlet state of two spin ½ particles

$$|\psi^{(0,0)}\rangle = \frac{|+-\rangle_z - |-+\rangle_z}{\sqrt{2}}$$

one can show

$$\langle s_a s_b \rangle = \langle \Psi^{(0,0)} | s_a s_b | \Psi^{(0,0)} \rangle = (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}})$$

therefore

â

violates the upper bound of hidden variable theories!

$$R_{\text{CHSH}} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle s_a s_b \rangle - \langle s_a s_{b'} \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_b \rangle + \langle s_{a'} s_{b'} \rangle \right|$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left| (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) - (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}') + (\hat{\mathbf{a}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) + (\hat{\mathbf{a}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}') \right| = \sqrt{2}$$
$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}$$



### Direction of measurement is play important role.

# We already has been observed Bell inequality violation in low energy experiments

- Entangled photon pairs (from decays of Calcium atoms) Crauser, Horne, Shimony, Holt (1969), Freedman and Clauser (1972), A. Aspect et. al. (1981, 1982), Y. H. Shih, C. O. Alley (1988), L. K. Shalm et al. (2015) [5σ]
- Entangled proton pairs (from decays of 2<sub>He</sub>)
   M. M. Lamehi-Rachti, W. Mitting (1972), H. Sakai (2006)
- K<sup>0</sup>K
  <sup>0</sup>, B<sup>0</sup>B
  <sup>0</sup> flavour oscillation
   CPLEAR (1999), Belle (2004, 2007)





Alain Aspect Université Paris-Saclay & École Polytechnique, France



John F. Clauser J.F. Clauser & Assoc., USA



Anton Zeilinger University of Vienna, Austria

"för experiment med sammanflätade fotoner som påvisat brott mot Bell-olikheter och banat väg för kvantinformationsvetenskap"

"for experiments with entangled photons, establishing the violation of Bell inequalities and pioneering quantum information science"



We are interested in Entanglement and Bell-type inequalities in  $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$  at the lepton colliders

- What quantum observables we want to study?
- What is spin correlation matrix for tau pair?
- We don't measure spin polarization at high energy colliders, we observe angular distribution of decay products. How they are related?



We will discuss three different level of quantum corrections

### \* Entanglement

Steerability (first time introduce by the Schrodinger): Alice's ability to affect Bob's state through her choice of measurement basis



Preparing bipartite quantum state

After measurement, Commutating to Alice classically.





To study these quantum correlation, first we have to compute spin correlation matrix

#### **Bell-nonlocality:**

$$R_{\text{CHSH}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle s_a s_b \rangle - \langle s_a s_{b'} \rangle + \langle s_a s_b \rangle + \langle s_a s_{b'} \rangle \right| > 1$$

[Clauser, Horne, Shimony, Holt, 1969]

$$\langle \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \hat{s}_j^{\beta} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \hat{s}_j^{\beta} \hat{\rho} \right] = C_{ij}$$

**Steerability:** (assuming  $B_i = \overline{B}_i = 0$ )

$$\frac{1}{1}$$

$$\mathcal{S}[\rho] \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{n}} \sqrt{\mathbf{n}^T C^T C \mathbf{n}}$$

[Jevtic, Hall, Anderson, Zwierz, Wiseman 2015]

#### **Entanglement:**

E > 1

 $\mathcal{S}[\rho] > 1$ 

 $\mathsf{E} \equiv max_i\{|Tr(\mathcal{C}) - \mathcal{C}_{ii}| - \mathcal{C}_{ii}\}$ 

# Spín ½ bípartícle qubit system

• The spin system of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  particles has 4 independent bases:

$$\left( |e_1\rangle, |e_2\rangle, |e_3\rangle, |e_4\rangle \right) = \left( |++\rangle, |+-\rangle, |-+\rangle, |--\rangle \right)$$

• ==>  $\rho_{ab}$  is a 4 x 4 matrix (hermitian, Tr=1). It can be expanded as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathbf{1}_4 + B_i \cdot \sigma_i \otimes \mathbf{1} + \overline{B}_i \cdot \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_i + C_{ij} \cdot \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \right) \qquad B_i, \overline{B}_i, \overline{C}_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$$

• For the spin operators  $\hat{s}^{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{s}^{\beta}$ ,

$$\langle \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \hat{\rho} \right] = B_i \qquad \langle \hat{s}_i^{\beta} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{s}_i^{\beta} \hat{\rho} \right] = \overline{B}_i$$

spin-spin correlation

3x3 matrix

$$\langle \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \hat{s}_j^{\beta} \rangle = \operatorname{Tr} \left[ \hat{s}_i^{\alpha} \hat{s}_j^{\beta} \hat{\rho} \right] = C_{ij}$$

$$\mathcal{H} \to \tau^+ \tau^-$$
$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = -\frac{m_\tau}{v_{SM}} \kappa H \bar{\psi}_\tau (\cos \delta + i\gamma_5 \sin \delta) \psi_\tau$$

**SM:** 
$$(\kappa, \delta) = (1,0)$$



$$H \rightarrow \tau^{+}\tau^{-}$$

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{m_{\tau}}{v_{\text{SM}}} \kappa H \bar{\psi}_{\tau} (\cos \delta + i\gamma_{5} \sin \delta) \psi_{\tau} \qquad \text{SM:} \ (\kappa, \delta) = (1,0)$$

$$\rho_{mn,\bar{m}\bar{n}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}^{\ast n\bar{n}} \mathcal{M}^{m\bar{m}}}{\sum_{\bar{m}\bar{n}} |\mathcal{M}^{m\bar{m}}|^{2}} \qquad \rho_{mn,\bar{m}\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & e^{-i2\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i2\delta} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \rho_{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{1}_{4} + B_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{4})$$

$$\rho = \frac{1}{4} \left( \mathbf{1}_4 + B_i \cdot \sigma_i \otimes \mathbf{1} \\ \overline{B_i} \cdot \mathbf{1} \otimes \sigma_i + C_{ij} \cdot \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j \right)$$

$$B_i = \overline{B}_i = 0$$

$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\delta & \sin 2\delta & 0 \\ -\sin 2\delta & \cos 2\delta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H \to \tau^+ \tau^-$$

The second second

-----

------

SM values:
$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $E = 3$ Entanglement  $\implies E > 1$  $\mathcal{S}[\rho] = 2$ Steerablity  $\implies \mathcal{S}[\rho] > 1$  $R_{\text{CHSH}} = \sqrt{2} \simeq 1.414$ Bell-nonlocal  $\implies R_{\text{CHSH}} > 1$ 

Total and the second second

### What we observe at colliders?



Spin correlation of  $\tau^-\tau^+$  in term of angular correlation b/w  $\tau^-\tau^+$  decay product

The conditional probability that the decay product, d, takes the direction u (at the rest frame of  $\tau^{-}$ ), when the tau spin is polarised into s direction, is given by

$$P(u|s)=1 + \alpha_{f,d} \underbrace{u.s}_{f,d} \text{ spin analysing power which is maximum}_{(1 \text{ or } -1) \text{ for } \tau^{\pm} \to \vartheta \pi^{\pm}}$$

Using, join probability  $P(s|\bar{s})$  that  $\tau^-$  and  $\tau^+$  are polarized into s and  $\bar{s}$ , we can write both tau spin correlation and pion momentum correlation as

$$\langle s_a \bar{s}_b \rangle = \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{s}}}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{\bar{s}}}}{4\pi} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{s}) (\mathbf{b} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{s}}) P(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathbf{s}}) \qquad \langle u_a \bar{u}_b \rangle = \int \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{s}}}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{s}}}{4\pi} \frac{d\Omega_{\mathbf{s}}}{4\pi} (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{b} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{u}}) \\ \times P(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{s}) P(\bar{\mathbf{u}}|\bar{\mathbf{s}}) P(\mathbf{s}, \bar{\mathbf{s}}) .$$

$$\langle u_a \bar{u}_b \rangle = \frac{\alpha_{f,d} \alpha_{f',d'}}{9} \langle s_a \bar{s}_b \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Spin-correlation matrix and CHSH in lepton collider} \\ & \text{R}_{\text{CHSH}} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{B}} \rangle - \langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{b}'}^{\mathbf{B}} \rangle + \langle \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{a}'}^{\mathbf{A}} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{\mathbf{b}'}^{\mathbf{B}} \rangle \right| \\ & = \frac{9}{2 |\alpha_{\mathbf{f},\mathbf{d}} \alpha_{\mathbf{f}',\mathbf{d}'}|} \times |\langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{a}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{a}} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}'} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{a}'} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{a}'} \bar{\mathbf{u}}_{\mathbf{b}'} \rangle | \\ & R_{CHSH} \text{ can be directly calculated,} \end{split}$$

once unit vectors  $(\hat{a}, \hat{a}', \hat{b}, \hat{b}')$  are fixed.

✤ we define helicity basis at the Higgs rest frame.

In tau rest frame, we measure direction of pions and compute  $R_{CHSH}$  directly with

$$(\hat{a}, \hat{a}', \hat{b}, \hat{b}') = (\hat{k}, \hat{r}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{k} + \hat{r}), \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\hat{k} - \hat{r}))$$
  
And measure  $C_{ij}$ 



### Símulatíon

	ILC	FCC-ee	* Main background
energy (GeV)	250	240	• Wall background $\gamma^*/Z^*$
luminosity $(ab^{-1})$	3	5	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \tau^+\tau^-$
beam resolution $e^+$ (%)	0.18	$0.83 \times 10^{-4}$	
beam resolution $e^-$ (%)	0.27	$0.83 \times 10^{-4}$	<ul> <li>Event selection</li> </ul>
$\sigma(e^+e^- \to HZ)$ (fb)	240.1	240.3	
# of signal $(\sigma \cdot BR \cdot L \cdot \epsilon)$	385	663	$ m_{recoil} - M_H  < 5 \; GeV$
# of background $(\sigma \cdot BR \cdot L \cdot \epsilon)$	20	36	

Senerate the SM events (κ,  $\delta$ ) = (1,0) with **MadGraph5\_aMC@NLO.** And use **TauDecay** Package for τ decays.

$$e^+e^- \to H Z$$
,  $Z \to f \bar{f}(f \bar{f} = q \bar{q}, e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-)$ ,  $\tau^{\pm} \to \vartheta \pi^{\pm} (Br(\tau^{\pm} \to \vartheta \pi^{\pm}) = 0.109)$ 

Incorporate the detector effects by smearing energies of all visible final state particles with

$$E^{true} \rightarrow E^{obs} = (1 + \sigma_E, \omega). E^{true}$$

random number from the normal distribution.

Energy resolution  $\sigma_E = 0.03$  for both ILC and FCC-ee.

✤ 100 pseudo-experiments to estimate the statistical uncertainties.

- To determine the tau momenta, we have to reconstruct the unobserved neutrino momenta  $(p_x^{\nu}, p_y^{\nu}, p_z^{\nu}), (p_x^{\bar{\nu}}, p_y^{\bar{\nu}}, p_z^{\bar{\nu}}).$ 



- To determine the tau momenta, we have to reconstruct the unobserved neutrino momenta  $(p_x^{\nu}, p_y^{\nu}, p_z^{\nu}), (p_x^{\bar{\nu}}, p_y^{\bar{\nu}}, p_z^{\bar{\nu}}).$
- 6 unknowns can be constrained by 2 massshell conditions and 4 energy-momentum conservation.

$$m_{\tau}^{2} = (p_{\tau^{+}})^{2} = (p_{\pi^{+}} + p_{\bar{\nu}})^{2}$$
$$m_{\tau}^{2} = (p_{\tau^{-}})^{2} = (p_{\pi^{-}} + p_{\nu})^{2}$$
$$(p_{ee} - p_{Z})^{\mu} = p_{H}^{\mu} = \left[(p_{\pi^{-}} + p_{\nu}) + (p_{\pi^{+}} + p_{\bar{\nu}})\right]^{\mu}$$

- With the reconstructed momenta, we define  $(\hat{k}, \hat{r}, \hat{n})$  basis at the Higgs rest frame.





$$E_{\alpha}(\delta_{\alpha}) = (1 + \sigma_{\alpha}^{E} \cdot \delta_{\alpha}) \cdot E_{\alpha}^{\text{obs}}$$

$$\vec{b}_{+} = |\vec{b}_{+}| \left( \sin^{-1} \Theta_{+} \cdot \vec{e}_{\tau^{+}} - \tan^{-1} \Theta_{+} \cdot \vec{e}_{\pi^{+}} \right)$$

$$\vec{\Delta}_{b_{+}}^{i}(\{\delta\}) \equiv \vec{b}_{+} - |\vec{b}_{+}| \left( \sin^{-1}\Theta_{+}^{i}(\{\delta\}) \cdot \vec{e}_{\tau^{+}}^{i}(\{\delta\}) - \tan^{-1}\Theta_{+}^{i}(\{\delta\}) \cdot \vec{e}_{\pi^{+}} \right)$$

$$L_{\pm}^{i}(\{\delta\}) = \frac{[\Delta_{b_{\pm}}^{i}(\{\delta\})]_{x}^{2} + [\Delta_{b_{\pm}}^{i}(\{\delta\})]_{y}^{2}}{\sigma_{b_{T}}^{2}} + \frac{[\Delta_{b_{\pm}}^{i}(\{\delta\})]_{z}^{2}}{\sigma_{b_{z}}^{2}}$$

$$L^{i}(\{\delta\}) = L^{i}_{+}(\{\delta\}) + L^{i}_{-}(\{\delta\})$$

#### Use impact parameter information

- We use the information of impact parameter  $\vec{b}_{\pm}$  measurement of  $\pi^{\pm}$  to "correct" the observed energies of  $\tau^{\pm}$  and Z decay products
- We check whether the reconstructed τ momenta are consistent with the measured impact parameters.
- We construct the likelihood function and search for the most likely  $\tau$  momenta.

### Results

	ILC	FCC-ee		
C <sub>ij</sub>	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0.830 \pm 0.176 & 0.020 \pm 0.146 & -0.019 \pm 0.159 \\ -0.034 \pm 0.160 & 0.981 \pm 0.1527 & -0.029 \pm 0.156 \\ -0.001 \pm 0.158 & -0.021 \pm 0.155 & -0.729 \pm 0.140 \end{pmatrix} $	$ \begin{pmatrix} 0.925 \pm 0.109 & -0.011 \pm 0.110 & 0.038 \pm 0.095 \\ -0.009 \pm 0.110 & 0.929 \pm 0.113 & 0.001 \pm 0.115 \\ -0.026 \pm 0.122 & -0.019 \pm 0.110 & -0.879 \pm 0.098 \end{pmatrix} $		
$E_k$	$2.567 \pm 0.279 \sim 5\sigma$	$2.696 \pm 0.215 \sim 5\sigma$		
$\mathcal{S}[ ho]$	$1.760 \pm 0.161 \sim 4\sigma$	$1.851 \pm 0.111 \sim 5\sigma$		
$R^*_{\text{CHSH}}$	$1.103 \pm 0.163$	$1.276 \pm 0.094 \sim 3\sigma$		

SM values:
$$C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $E = 3$ Entanglement  $\Longrightarrow E > 1$  $\mathcal{S}[\rho] = 2$ Steerablity  $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{S}[\rho] > 1$  $R_{\text{CHSH}} = \sqrt{2} \simeq 1.414$ Bell-nonlocal  $\Longrightarrow R_{\text{CHSH}} > 1$ 

And the second se

Contraction of the local division of the loc

# Superiority of FCC-ee over ILC is due to a better beam resolution

	ILC	FCC-ee
energy (GeV)	250	240
luminosity $(ab^{-1})$	3	5
beam resolution $e^+$ (%)	0.18	$0.83\cdot10^{-4}$
beam resolution $e^-$ (%)	0.27	$0.83\cdot 10^{-4}$

### CP measurement

- Under CP, the spin correlation matrix transforms:  $C \xrightarrow{CP} C^T$
- This can be used for a *model-independent* test of CP violation. We define:

$$A \equiv (C_{rn} - C_{nr})^2 + (C_{nk} - C_{kn})^2 + (C_{kr} - C_{rk})^2 \ge 0$$

- Observation of  $A \neq 0$  immediately confirms CP violation.
- · From our simulation, we observe

$$A = \begin{cases} 0.168 \pm 0.131 & \text{(ILC)} \\ 0.081 \pm 0.060 & \text{(FCC-ee)} \end{cases} \longleftarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{consistent with} \\ \text{absence of CPV} \end{cases}$$

- This model independent bounds can be translated to the constraint on the CP-phase  $\delta$ 

$$\mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} \propto H \bar{\psi}_{\tau} (\cos \delta + i\gamma_5 \sin \delta) \psi_{\tau} \longrightarrow C_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\delta & \sin 2\delta & 0\\ -\sin 2\delta & \cos 2\delta & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow A(\delta) = 4 \sin^2 2\delta$$

### CP measurement

• Focusing on the region near  $|\delta| = 0$ , we find the 1- $\sigma$  bounds:

$$|\delta| < \begin{cases} 7.9^o & (\text{ILC}) \\ 5.4^o & (\text{FCC-ee}) \end{cases}$$

Other studies:

 $\Delta \delta \sim 11.5^{o}$  (HL-LHC) [Hagiwara, Ma, Mori 2016]  $\Delta \delta \sim 4.3^{o}$  (ILC) [Jeans and G. W. Wilson 2018]

# Summary

- → High energy tests of entanglement and Bell-nonlocality has recently attracted an attention.
- $\succ$  τ<sup>+</sup>τ<sup>−</sup> pairs from  $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$  form the EPR state, which is maximally entangled.
- > We investigated feasibility of quantum property tests @ ILC and FCC-ee.
- Quantum test requires to a precise reconstruction of the tau rest frames and impact parameter (IP) information is crucial to achieve this.
- Spin correlation is sensitive to CP-phase and we can measure the CP-phase as a byproduct of the quantum property measurement.

	Entanglement	Steering	<b>Bell-nonlocality</b>	CP-phase
ILC	~ 5o	~ 4o		7.9°
FCC-ee	~ 5 <b>σ</b>	~ 5 <b>σ</b>	~ 3 <del>0</del>	5.4°



- Tau life time  $c\tau = 87.11 \, \mu m$
- $| \sim b\pm | \sim 100 \ \mu m$  for  $E\tau\pm \sim mH/2$ , which is significantly larger than the experimental resolutions
- we take constant values  $\sigma bT = 2 \mu m$  (transverse) and  $\sigma bz = 5 \mu m$  (longitudinal) for the impact parameter resolutions, although the actual resolutions are functions of the track momentum and the polar angle  $\theta *$  from the beam direction. The above modeling with the constant parameters gives a reasonable approximation for the track momentum ~ 100 GeV and  $\theta * \& 20^{\circ}$

## $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ at lepton colliders

- At LHC, main production mode is  $g \ g \to H \to \tau^- \tau^+$ , which is loop-induced.
- Final state  $\tau^-\tau^+$  have large background due to tree-level  $q \ \overline{q} \to Z^* \to \tau^-\tau^+$ .
- The main handle for signal/background is the invariant mass of the visible decay products of two taus, due to neutrinos in tau decays, invariant mass have long tails and therefore signal and background overleap.
- At Lepton colliders, main production channel near threshold is  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow ZH$ , and main background is  $e^-e^+ \rightarrow Z \tau^- \tau^+$ , where pair of taus comes from an offshell photon.
- We know initial 4-momentum, can reconstruct Higgs momentum, independent from Higgs decay mode.



**Separable state** (compliment of entangled state):

$$0 \le p_k \le 1 \qquad \sum_k p_k = 1$$

$$P(a, b | A, B) = \sum_{k} p_{k} \langle a | \rho_{k}^{\alpha} | a \rangle \cdot \langle b | \rho_{k}^{\beta} | b \rangle \quad \longleftarrow \quad \rho = \sum_{k} p_{k} \rho_{k}^{\alpha} \otimes \rho_{k}^{\beta}$$

**Un-steerable state** (not-steerable by Alice):

$$P(a, b | A, B) = \sum_{k} p_{k} P_{\alpha}(a | A, k) \cdot \langle b | \rho_{k}^{\beta} | b \rangle$$

 $- \rho = \sum_{k} p_{k} \rho_{k}^{\alpha} \otimes \rho_{k}^{p}$ [Jones, Wiseman, Doherty 2007]

If this description is possible, Alice cannot influence (`steer") Bob's local state

Hidden Variable state (complement of Bell nonlocal state):

$$P(a, b | A, B) = \sum_{\lambda} p(\lambda) P_{a}(a | A, \lambda) \cdot P_{\beta}(b | B, \lambda)$$
  
arbitrary conditional probabilities  
$$\hat{A} | a \rangle = a | a \rangle$$
  
$$P(a | \hat{A}, \hat{\rho}) = \langle a | \rho | a \rangle$$
 Probability for outcome *a* when  $\hat{A}$  is measured on the state  $\hat{\rho}$ 



- Alice and Bob receive particles *a* and *β*, respectively, and measure the spin *z*component of their particles. Repeat the process many times.
- Alice and Bob will find their results are completely random (+1 and -1 50-50%)
- Nevertheless, their result is 100% anti-correlated due to the angular momentum conservation. If Alice's result is +1, Bon's result is always -1 and vice versa.





- Particles have a definite spin-component regardless/prior to the measurement (realism)
- Alice's measurement has no influence on Bob's particle (locality)

The explanation in QM is very different.

Although their outcomes are different in each decay, QM says the state of the particles are exactly the same for all decays:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi^{(0,0)}\rangle &\doteq \frac{\overset{\alpha}{\searrow} \checkmark \overset{\checkmark}{\swarrow} \overset{\beta}{|+-\rangle_z - |-+\rangle_z}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ \text{up to a phase } e^{i\theta} \end{split}$$

• Before the measurements, particles have no definite spin. Outcomes are undetermined.

(no realism)

The explanation in QM is very different.

measurement

Although their outcomes are different in each decay, QM says the state of the particles are exactly the same for all decays:

$$\begin{split} |\Psi^{(0,0)}\rangle &\doteq \frac{\overset{\alpha}{\searrow} \checkmark \overset{\varphi}{\swarrow} \overset{\beta}{|+-\rangle_z - |-+\rangle_z}}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ \text{up to a phase } e^{i\theta} \end{split}$$

• Before the measurements, particles have no definite spin. Outcomes are undetermined.

#### (no realism)

• At the moment when Alice makes her measurement, the state collapses into:

$$|\Psi\rangle \xrightarrow{} \begin{cases} |+-\rangle_z & \cdots \text{ Alice finds } S_z[\alpha] = (+1) \\ |-(+)_z & \cdots \text{ Alice finds } S_z[\alpha] = (-1) \end{cases}$$
Alice's Bob's outcome is now determined by Alice's measurement

(non-local)

#### The origin of this bizarre feature is *entanglement*.

general: 
$$|\Psi\rangle \doteq c_{11}|++\rangle_{z} + c_{12}|+-\rangle_{z} + c_{21}|-+\rangle_{z} + c_{22}|--\rangle_{z}$$
  
separable:  $|\Psi_{sep}\rangle \doteq \boxed{[c_{1}^{\alpha}|+\rangle_{z} + c_{2}^{\alpha}|-\rangle_{z}]}$   
Alice's  
measurement  
 $|+\rangle_{z}$   
 $(|-\rangle_{z})$   
Bob's local state is intact  
entangled  $|\Psi_{ent}\rangle$   
separable  
 $|\Psi_{sep}\rangle$ 

# Entanglement

general: 
$$|\Psi\rangle \doteq c_{11}|++\rangle_z + c_{12}|+-\rangle_z + c_{21}|-+\rangle_z + c_{22}|--\rangle_z$$

separable: 
$$|\Psi_{sep}\rangle \doteq [c_1^{\alpha}|+\rangle_z + c_2^{\alpha}|-\rangle_z] \otimes [c_1^{\beta}|+\rangle_z + c_2^{\beta}|-\rangle_z]$$

entangled: 
$$|\Psi_{\text{ent}}\rangle \not\cong \left[c_1^{\alpha}|+\rangle_z + c_2^{\alpha}|-\rangle_z\right] \otimes \left[c_1^{\beta}|+\rangle_z + c_2^{\beta}|-\rangle_z\right]$$



$$\begin{split} |\Psi_{H \to \tau\tau}(\delta)\rangle &\propto |+-\rangle + e^{i2\delta}|-+\rangle \\ &\delta = 0 \\ \delta = 0 \\ \downarrow (1,m) & \left( \begin{array}{c} |++\rangle & (CP) \text{ even} \\ |+-\rangle + |-+\rangle \\ |--\rangle \end{array} \right) |\Psi^{(0,0)}\rangle &\propto |+-\rangle - |-+\rangle \end{split} \\ \text{Parity: } P = (\eta_f \eta_{\bar{f}}) \cdot (-1)^l \text{ with } \eta_f \eta_{\bar{f}} = -1: \\ J^P = \begin{cases} 0^+ \Longrightarrow -l = s = 1 \\ 0^- \Longrightarrow \quad l = s = 0 \end{cases} \end{split}$$

### What about Hígh energy collíders?



J.J,Barr, P. Caban, J. Rembielinski, J. A. Aguilar-Saavedra,, A, Bernal, J.A. Casas, J.M. moreno, M, Fabbrichesi, R. Floreanini, E. Gabrielli, R. Aoude, E. Madge, F. Maltoni, L. Mantani, F. Fabbri, J. Howarth, T. Maurin at. all Correlation in fermion pair Top pair, tau pair.....

Y. Afik, J. R. M de nova, M. Fabbrichesi,
R. Floreanini, G. Panizzo, C. Severi, CD.
E. Boschi, F. Malton, M Sioli, J. A.
Aguilar-Saavedra, J. A. Casas,, R. AshbyPickering, A. Gabrielli, C. Severi, E.
Vryonidou, R. Aoude, E. Madge, F.
Maltoni, L. Mantani at all