# REDUCTION AT NLO AND BEYOND I

### Costas G. Papadopoulos

NCSR "Demokritos", Athens



Krakow 2013

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

Krakow 2013 1 / 57

## The big picture



Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

Krakow 2013 2 / 57

### QCD quantitative description of data





- ∢ ∃ ▶

Image: Image:

# QCD VS EXPERIMENTS

### QCD quantitative description of data



# QCD BEYOND LO

(N)NLO needed in order to properly interpret the data at the LHC



# QCD BEYOND LO

 $(\mathsf{N})\mathsf{NLO}$  corrections: impressive impact on theoretical uncertainties and differential shapes

C. Anastasiou, L. J. Dixon, K. Melnikov and F. Petriello



What do we need for an NLO calculation ?

$$p_1, p_2 \rightarrow p_3, ..., p_{m+2}$$

$$\sigma_{NLO} = \int_{m} d\Phi_{m} |M_{m}^{(0)}|^{2} J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m} d\Phi_{m} 2Re(M_{m}^{(0)*}M_{m}^{(1)}(\epsilon_{UV}, \epsilon_{IR})) J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m+1} d\Phi_{m+1} |M_{m+1}^{(0)}|^{2} J_{m+1}(\Phi)$$

 $J_m(\Phi)$  jet function: Infrared safeness  $J_{m+1} \rightarrow J_m$ 

What do we need for an NLO calculation ?

$$p_1, p_2 \to p_3, ..., p_{m+2}$$

$$\sigma_{NLO} = \int_{m} d\Phi_{m}^{D=4} (|M_{m}^{(0)}|^{2} + 2Re(M_{m}^{(0)*}M_{m}^{(CT)}(\epsilon_{UV})))J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m} d\Phi_{m}^{D=4} 2Re(M_{m}^{(0)*}M_{m}^{(1)}(\epsilon_{UV},\epsilon_{IR}))J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m+1} d\Phi_{m+1}^{D=4-2\epsilon_{IR}} |M_{m+1}^{(0)}|^{2}J_{m+1}(\Phi)$$

IR and UV divergencies, Four-Dimensional-Helicity scheme; scale dependence  $\mu_R$ 

What do we need for an NLO calculation ?

$$p_1, p_2 \rightarrow p_3, ..., p_{m+2}$$

$$\sigma_{NLO} = \int_{m} d\Phi_{m} J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m} d\Phi_{m} 2Re(M_{m}^{(0)*}M_{m}^{(1)}(\epsilon_{UV}, \epsilon_{IR})) J_{m}(\Phi) + \int_{m+1} d\Phi_{m+1} |M_{m+1}^{(0)}|^{2} J_{m+1}(\Phi)$$

QCD factorization  $-\mu_F$  Collinear counter-terms when PDF are involved

## PERTURBATIVE QCD AT NLO

### What do we need for an NLO calculation ?



Problems arising in NLO calculations

- Large Number of Feynman diagrams
- Reduction to Scalar Integrals (or sets of known integrals)
- Numerical Instabilities (inverse Gram determinants, spurious phase-space singularities)
- Extraction of soft and collinear singularities (we need virtual and real corrections)

Any *m*-point one-loop amplitude can be written as



$$\int d^D q A(\bar{q}) = \int d^D q \, \frac{\bar{N}(\bar{q})}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

A bar denotes objects living in  $n = 4 + \epsilon$  dimensions

$$ar{D}_i = (ar{q}+p_i)^2 - m_i^2$$
 $ar{q}^2 = q^2 + ar{q}^2$ 
 $ar{D}_i = D_i + ar{q}^2$ 

### THE OLD "MASTER" FORMULA

$$\int A = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) B_0(i_0 i_1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) A_0(i_0)$$

$$+ \text{ rational terms}$$

 $D_0, C_0, B_0, A_0$ , scalar one-loop integrals: 't Hooft and Veltman QCDLOOP Ellis & Zanderighi ; OneLOop A. van Hameren

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

Krakow 2013 11 / 57

G. Passarino and M. J. G. Veltman, "One Loop Corrections For E+ E- Annihilation Into Mu+ Mu- In The Weinberg Model," Nucl. Phys. B **160** (1979) 151. For a generic one-loop Feynman graph

$$A(\bar{q}) = \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}}$$

Decompose the numerator

$$N(q) 
ightarrow q^{\mu_1} \dots q^{\mu_m} 
ightarrow g^{\mu_1 \mu_2} p_i^{\mu_3} \dots$$

Tensor integrals

$$T^{N}_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{P}}(p_{1},...,p_{N-1},m_{0},...,m_{N-1}) = \frac{(2\pi\mu)^{4-D}}{i\pi^{2}} \int d^{D}q \frac{q_{\mu_{1}}\cdots q_{\mu_{P}}}{D_{0}D_{1}\cdots D_{N-1}}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

### PASSARINO-VELTMAN

Contracting with external momenta and/or metric tensors on both sides

$$\begin{split} qp_k &= \frac{1}{2} [D_k - D_0 - f_k], \qquad f_k = p_k^2 - m_k^2 + m_0^2. \\ R_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{P-1}}^{N,k} &= T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_P}^N p_k^{\mu_P} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{(2\pi\mu)^{4-D}}{i\pi^2} \int d^D q \left[ \frac{q_{\mu_1} \dots q_{\mu_{P-1}}}{D_0 \dots D_{k-1} D_{k+1} \dots D_{N-1}} \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{q_{\mu_1} \dots q_{\mu_{P-1}}}{D_1 \dots D_{N-1}} - f_k \frac{q_{\mu_1} \dots q_{\mu_{P-1}}}{D_0 \dots D_{N-1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{P-1}}^{N-1}(k) - T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{P-1}}^{N-1}(0) - f_k T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{P-1}}^N \right], \end{split}$$

$$g^{\mu\nu}q_{\mu}q_{\nu} = q^2 = D_0 + m_0^2$$

$$\begin{split} R^{N,00}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{P-2}} &= T^{M}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{P}} g^{\mu_{P-1}\mu_{P}} \\ &= \frac{(2\pi\mu)^{4-D}}{i\pi^2} \int d^D q \left[ \frac{q_{\mu_1}\dots q_{\mu_{P-2}}}{D_1\dots D_N} + m_0^2 \frac{q_{\mu_1}\dots q_{\mu_{P-2}}}{D_0\dots D_N} \right] \\ &= \left[ T^{N-1}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{P-2}}(0) + m_0^2 T^{N}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_{P-2}} \right]. \end{split}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

## PASSARINO-VELTMAN

$$T^{N}_{\mu_{1}...\mu_{P}}(p_{1},\ldots,p_{N-1},m_{0},\ldots,m_{N-1}) = \sum_{i_{1},\ldots,i_{P}=0}^{N-1} T^{N}_{i_{1}...i_{P}}p_{i_{1}\mu_{1}}\cdots p_{i_{P}\mu_{P}}.$$

$$\begin{split} D_{\mu} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} p_{i\mu} D_{i}, \\ D_{\mu\nu} &= g_{\mu\nu} D_{00} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} p_{i\mu} p_{j\nu} D_{ij}, \\ D_{\mu\nu\rho} &= \sum_{i=1}^{3} (g_{\mu\nu} p_{i\rho} + g_{\nu\rho} p_{i\mu} + g_{\mu\rho} p_{i\nu}) D_{00i} + \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{3} p_{i\mu} p_{j\nu} p_{k\rho} D_{ijk}, \end{split}$$

$$D_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = (g_{\mu\nu}g_{\rho\sigma} + g_{\mu\rho}g_{\nu\sigma} + g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho})D_{0000}$$
3

$$+\sum_{i,j=1}(g_{\mu\nu}p_{i\rho}p_{j\sigma}+g_{\nu\rho}p_{i\mu}p_{j\sigma}+g_{\mu\rho}p_{i\nu}p_{j\sigma}$$

$$+ g_{\mu\sigma}p_{i\nu}p_{j\rho} + g_{\nu\sigma}p_{i\mu}p_{j\rho} + g_{\rho\sigma}p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu})D_{00ij}$$

$$+\sum_{i,j,k,l=1}^{3}p_{i\mu}p_{j\nu}p_{k\rho}p_{l\sigma}D_{ijkl}.$$

Recursive equations for the tensor coefficient functions

#### The process $\mathrm{pp} \to \mathrm{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}$ in NLO QCD

Bredenstein, Denner, S.D., Pozzorini '08,'09; Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09





15 / 57

#### Our Feynman-diagrammatic approach for virtual 1-loop corrections

 $\mathcal{M}_{1-\mathrm{loop}} = \sum \mathcal{M}_{\Gamma}$  generated with FEYNARTS (Küblbeck et al. '90; Hahn '01) (sub)diagrams Γ  $\mathcal{M}_{\Gamma} = \sum_{n} \underbrace{C^{(\Gamma)}}_{\text{colour factor}} \underbrace{F_{n}^{(\Gamma)}}_{\uparrow} \quad \underbrace{\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{n}}_{\text{spin structures like } [\tilde{u}_{t}(k_{t}) \not \in_{\mathfrak{g}_{1}}(k_{\mathfrak{g}_{1}}) v_{\bar{\iota}}(k_{\bar{\iota}})](\varepsilon_{\mathfrak{g}_{2}}(k_{\mathfrak{g}}) \cdot k_{t}) \dots}$ invariant functions containing 1-loop tensor integrals  $T^{\mu\nu\rho\dots}$  $T^{\mu\nu\rho...} = (p_{L}^{\mu}p_{l}^{\nu}p_{m}^{\rho}...)T_{kl...} + (q^{\mu\nu}p_{m}^{\rho}...)T_{00m...} + ...$  $T_{kl...}$  = linear combination of scalar 1-loop integrals  $A_0, B_0, C_0, D_0$ - recursively calculable à la Passarino/Veltman '79 for regular points - specially designed methods for rescuing cases with small Gram dets. Denner, S.D. '05 - 5-/6-point integrals reduced to 4-point integrals Denner, S.D. '02.'05 Features: - advantage: get all colour/spin channels in one stroke  $\hookrightarrow$  speed:  $\mathcal{M}_{1-\text{loop}}^{q\bar{q}/\text{gg} \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}$  in  $\mathcal{O}(0.2\text{sec/event})$  very fast ! - lengthy algebra  $\rightarrow$  automation (MATHEMATICA) - two independent calculations, one using features of FORMCALC (Hahn) Physikalisches Institut Stefan Dittmaier, NLO QCD corrections to  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ ICHEP, Paris, 2010 - 9 ICHE Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens) Reduction at NLO and beyond I Krakow 2013

16 / 57

### Gluing trees to make loops ?



Started in 90's, mainly QCD, amplitude level (analytical results) Z. Bern, L. J. Dixon, D. C. Dunbar and D. A. Kosower, [arXiv:hep-ph/9403226]. Gluing tree amplitudes plus colinear limits  $\rightarrow$  extract coefficients

### Gluing trees to make loops ?

$$\mathcal{C} * \int A = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \mathcal{C} * D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \mathcal{C} * C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) \mathcal{C} * B_0(i_0 i_1)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

→ < ∃ >

## UNITARITY

Applying the unitarity method:

### From the known behavior of scalar functions

	Integral	Unique Function
a	$I_{4}^{0m}(s,t)$	$\ln(-s)\ln(-t)$
b	$I_{3}^{1m}(s)$	$\ln(-s)^2$
с	$I_{3}^{1m}(t)$	$\ln(-t)^{2}$
d	$I_2(s)$	$\ln(-s)$
е	$I_2(t)$	$\ln(-t)$

 Table 1: The set of integral functions that may appear in a cut-constructible massless four-point amplitude, together with the independent logarithms.

To calculate/guess the rational coefficients + collinear limits

$$\int d\mu A^{\text{tree}}(\ell_1, i, \dots, j, \ell_2) A^{\text{tree}}(-\ell_2, j+1, \dots, i-1, -\ell_1) = \sum \left( \hat{b} \Delta I^{1m} + \hat{c} \Delta I^{2m} + \hat{d} \Delta I^{2m} + \hat{g} \Delta I^{3m} + \hat{f} \Delta I^{4m} \right).$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

## UNITARITY

Cut-construct-ability for arbitrary number of legs (to be compared with PV)



R. Britto, F. Cachazo and B. Feng, [arXiv:hep-th/0412103]. Quadruple cut with complex momenta  $\rightarrow d(i_0i_1i_2i_3)$ 



THE AMAZING FORMULA

$$\widehat{f} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{S}|} \sum_{\mathcal{S},J} n_J (A_1^{\text{tree}} A_2^{\text{tree}} A_3^{\text{tree}} A_4^{\text{tree}}),$$

Familiarize yourself in using complex-valued momenta

< □ > < ---->

### THE OLD "MASTER" FORMULA

$$\int A = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) C_0(i_0 i_1 i_2)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) B_0(i_0 i_1)$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) A_0(i_0)$$

$$+ \text{ rational terms}$$

 $D_0, C_0, B_0, A_0$ , scalar one-loop integrals: 't Hooft and Veltman QCDLOOP Ellis & Zanderighi ; OneLOop A. van Hameren

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

Krakow 2013 21 / 59

$$\int \frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}} = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1} \bar{D}_{i2} \bar{D}_{i2}} \\ + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} c(i_0 i_1 i_2) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1} \bar{D}_{i2}} \\ + \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} b(i_0 i_1) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0} \bar{D}_{i1}} \\ + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} a(i_0) \int \frac{1}{\bar{D}_{i0}} \\ + \text{ rational terms}$$

-

æ

$$\frac{N(q)}{\bar{D}_0\bar{D}_1\cdots\bar{D}_{m-1}} = \sum_{i_0$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Krakow 2013 23 / 59

æ

Image: A match a ma

General expression for the 4-dim N(q) at the integrand level in terms of  $D_i$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

## OPP "master" formula - II

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \bar{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \bar{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1) + \bar{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \bar{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

- The quantities  $d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$  are the coefficients of 4-point functions with denominators labeled by  $i_0$ ,  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ , and  $i_3$ .
- c(i<sub>0</sub>i<sub>1</sub>i<sub>2</sub>), b(i<sub>0</sub>i<sub>1</sub>), a(i<sub>0</sub>) are the coefficients of all possible 3-point, 2-point and 1-point functions, respectively.

What is the explicit expression of the spurious term?

## OPP "MASTER" FORMULA - II

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i \end{split}$$

The quantities  $\tilde{d}$ ,  $\tilde{c}$ ,  $\tilde{b}$ ,  $\tilde{a}$  are the "spurious" terms

- They still depend on *q* (integration momentum)
- They should vanish upon integration

What is the explicit expression of the spurious term?

Following F. del Aguila and R. Pittau, arXiv:hep-ph/0404120

• Express any q in N(q) as

$$q^{\mu} = -p_0^{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^4 G_i \, \ell_i^{\mu} \, , \, \, \ell_i^{\,2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \ell_1 + \alpha_1 \ell_2 \,, \quad k_2 = \ell_2 + \alpha_2 \ell_1 \,, \ k_i = p_i - p_0 \\ \ell_3^{\,\mu} &= < \ell_1 |\gamma^{\mu}| \ell_2 ] \,, \ \ell_4^{\,\mu} = < \ell_2 |\gamma^{\mu}| \ell_1 ] \end{aligned}$$

• The coefficients *G<sub>i</sub>* either reconstruct denominators *D<sub>i</sub>* or vanish upon integration

→ They give rise to *d*, *c*, *b*, *a* coefficients → They form the spurious  $\tilde{d}$ ,  $\tilde{c}$ ,  $\tilde{b}$ ,  $\tilde{a}$  coefficients Following F. del Aguila and R. Pittau, arXiv:hep-ph/0404120

• Express any q in N(q) as

$$q^{\mu} = -p_0^{\mu} + \sum_{i=1}^4 G_i \, \ell_i^{\mu} \, , \, \, \ell_i^{\,2} = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 &= \ell_1 + \alpha_1 \ell_2 \,, \quad k_2 = \ell_2 + \alpha_2 \ell_1 \,, \ k_i = p_i - p_0 \\ \ell_3^{\mu} &= < \ell_1 |\gamma^{\mu}| \ell_2 ] \,, \ \ell_4^{\mu} = < \ell_2 |\gamma^{\mu}| \ell_1 ] \end{aligned}$$

• The coefficients G<sub>i</sub> either reconstruct denominators D<sub>i</sub> or vanish upon integration

 $\rightarrow$  They give rise to *d*, *c*, *b*, *a* coefficients  $\rightarrow$  They form the spurious  $\tilde{d}$ ,  $\tilde{c}$ ,  $\tilde{b}$ ,  $\tilde{a}$  coefficients • *d*(q) term (only 1)

$$\tilde{d}(q) = \tilde{d} T(q),$$

where  $\tilde{d}$  is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \ell_1 \ell_2 k_3 \gamma_5]$$

•  $\tilde{c}(q)$  terms (they are 6)

$$ilde{c}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \left\{ ilde{c}_{1j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_3]^j + ilde{c}_{2j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_4]^j 
ight\}$$

In the renormalizable gauge,  $j_{max} = 3$ 

•  $\tilde{b}(q)$  and  $\tilde{a}(q)$  give rise to 8 and 4 terms, respectively

• *d*(q) term (only 1)

$$\tilde{d}(q) = \tilde{d} T(q),$$

where  $\tilde{d}$  is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \not l_1 \not l_2 \not k_3 \gamma_5]$$

•  $\tilde{c}(q)$  terms (they are 6)

$$ilde{c}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \left\{ ilde{c}_{1j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_3]^j + ilde{c}_{2j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_4]^j 
ight\}$$

In the renormalizable gauge,  $j_{max} = 3$ 

•  $\tilde{b}(q)$  and  $\tilde{a}(q)$  give rise to 8 and 4 terms, respectively

• *d*(q) term (only 1)

$$\tilde{d}(q) = \tilde{d} T(q),$$

where  $\tilde{d}$  is a constant (does not depend on q)

$$T(q) \equiv Tr[(\not q + \not p_0) \not l_1 \not l_2 \not k_3 \gamma_5]$$

•  $\tilde{c}(q)$  terms (they are 6)

$$ilde{c}(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{max}} \left\{ ilde{c}_{1j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_3]^j + ilde{c}_{2j} [(q+p_0) \cdot \ell_4]^j 
ight\}$$

In the renormalizable gauge,  $j_{max} = 3$ 

•  $\tilde{b}(q)$  and  $\tilde{a}(q)$  give rise to 8 and 4 terms, respectively

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

- Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181
- G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

## A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

- Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181
- G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)
$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

• Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181

• G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

Image: Image:

► < ∃ ►</p>

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

• Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181

• G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

• Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181

• G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 D_4} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^+)} + \frac{1}{D_{i_4}(q^-)} \right)$$

- Melrose, Nuovo Cim. 40 (1965) 181
- G. Källén, J.Toll, J. Math. Phys. 6, 299 (1965)

### To be compared with standard formula (Denner)

which can be solved for  $T_0^5$  if the determinant of the matrix  $Y_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 0, \ldots, 4$  is nonzero. Note that in the integral  $T_0^4(0)$  the momenta have not been shifted. In particular (4.52) yields the scalar five-point function  $T_0^5$  in terms of five scalar four-point functions.

$$Y_{ij} = m_i^2 + m_j^2 - (p_i - p_j)^2.$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

-

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

-

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

æ Krakow 2013

-

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

-

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}}$$

$$1 = \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} \sum \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) \right] D_{i_4} D_{i_5} \dots D_{i_{m-1}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{D_0 D_1 D_2 D_3 \dots D_{m-1}} = \sum d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) D_0(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)$$

$$d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^+)} + \prod_{j \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3} \frac{1}{D_j(q^-)} \right)$$

-

## GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0i_1i_2i_3) + \tilde{d}(q;i_0i_1i_2i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0i_1i_2) + \tilde{c}(q;i_0i_1i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0i_1) + \tilde{b}(q;i_0i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q;i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i$$

#### Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem

Extract all the coefficients by evaluating N(q) for a set of values of the integration momentum q

There is a very good set of such points: Use values of q for which a set of denominators  $D_i$  vanish  $\rightarrow$  The system becomes "triangular": solve first for 4-point functions, then 3-point functions and so on

## GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0i_1i_2i_3) + \tilde{d}(q;i_0i_1i_2i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0i_1i_2) + \tilde{c}(q;i_0i_1i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0i_1) + \tilde{b}(q;i_0i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q;i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i$$

Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem

Extract all the coefficients by evaluating N(q) for a set of values of the integration momentum q

There is a very good set of such points: Use values of q for which a set of denominators  $D_i$  vanish  $\rightarrow$  The system becomes "triangular": solve first for 4-point functions, then 3-point functions and so on

## GENERAL STRATEGY

Now we know the form of the spurious terms:

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0i_1i_2i_3) + \tilde{d}(q;i_0i_1i_2i_3) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0i_1i_2) + \tilde{c}(q;i_0i_1i_2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0i_1) + \tilde{b}(q;i_0i_1) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q;i_0) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} D_i$$

Our calculation is now reduced to an algebraic problem

Extract all the coefficients by evaluating N(q) for a set of values of the integration momentum q

There is a very good set of such points: Use values of q for which a set of denominators  $D_i$  vanish  $\rightarrow$  The system becomes "triangular": solve first for 4-point functions, then 3-point functions and so on

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= d + \tilde{d}(q) + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[ c(i) + \tilde{c}(q;i) \right] D_{i} + \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{3} \left[ b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{0}} D_{i_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}=0}^{3} \left[ a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i \neq i_{0}} D_{j \neq i_{0}} D_{k \neq i_{0}} \end{split}$$

We look for a q of the form  $q^{\mu} = -p_0^{\mu} + x_i \ell_i^{\mu}$  such that

$$D_0 = D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = 0$$

 $\rightarrow$  we get a system of equations in  $x_i$  that has two solutions  $q_0^{\pm}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= d + \tilde{d}(q) + \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[ c(i) + \tilde{c}(q;i) \right] D_{i} + \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{3} \left[ b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{0}} D_{i_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}=0}^{3} \left[ a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i \neq i_{0}} D_{j \neq i_{0}} D_{k \neq i_{0}} \end{split}$$

Our "master formula" for  $q = q_0^{\pm}$  is:

 $N(q_0^{\pm}) = [d + \tilde{d} T(q_0^{\pm})]$ 

ightarrow solve to extract the coefficients d and  $ilde{d}$ 

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) - d - \tilde{d}(q) &= \sum_{i=0}^{3} \left[ c(i) + \tilde{c}(q;i) \right] D_{i} + \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{3} \left[ b(i_{0}i_{1}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1}) \right] D_{i_{0}} D_{i_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}=0}^{3} \left[ a(i_{0}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0}) \right] D_{i \neq i_{0}} D_{j \neq i_{0}} D_{k \neq i_{0}} \end{split}$$

Then we can move to the extraction of *c* coefficients using

$$N'(q) = N(q) - d - \tilde{d}T(q)$$

and setting to zero three denominators (ex:  $D_1 = 0$ ,  $D_2 = 0$ ,  $D_3 = 0$ )

# $N(q) - d - \tilde{d}(q) = [c(0) + \tilde{c}(q; 0)] D_0$

We have infinite values of q for which

$$D_1 = D_2 = D_3 = 0$$
 and  $D_0 \neq 0$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Here we need 7 of them to determine c(0) and  $\tilde{c}(q; 0)$ 

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

▶ ◀ ≣ ▶ ≡ ∽ � < ♂ Krakow 2013 29 / 57

 $R_1$ : the rational terms from the reduction itself

• Let's go back to the integrand

$$\mathcal{A}(ar{q}) = rac{\mathcal{N}(q)}{ar{D}_0 ar{D}_1 \cdots ar{D}_{m-1}}$$

• Insert the expression for  $N(q) \rightarrow$  we know all the coefficients

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d + \tilde{d}(q) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} D_i + \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c + \tilde{c}(q) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} D_i + \cdots$$

Finally rewrite all denominators using

$$rac{D_i}{\overline{D}_i} = ar{Z}_i \,, \quad ext{with} \quad ar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - rac{ ilde{q}^2}{ar{D}_i}
ight)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(\bar{q}) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \frac{d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2} \bar{D}_{i_3}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \frac{c(i_0 i_1 i_2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1} \bar{D}_{i_2}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \frac{b(i_0 i_1) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1)}{\bar{D}_{i_0} \bar{D}_{i_1}} \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \frac{a(i_0) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0)}{\bar{D}_{i_0}} \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{\mathcal{Z}}_i \end{split}$$

The rational part is produced, after integrating over  $d^n q$ , by the  $\tilde{q}^2$  dependence in  $\bar{Z}_i$ 

$$\bar{Z}_i \equiv \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i}\right)$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

The "Extra Integrals" are of the form

$$I^{(n;2\ell)}_{s;\mu_1\cdots\mu_r}\equiv\int d^n q\, {\widetilde q}^{2\ell} rac{q_{\mu_1}\cdots q_{\mu_r}}{{\overline {\widetilde D}}(k_0)\cdots {\overline {\widetilde D}}(k_s)}\,,$$

where

$$\bar{D}(k_i) \equiv (\bar{q} + k_i)^2 - m_i^2, k_i = p_i - p_0$$

These integrals:

- have dimensionality  $\mathcal{D} = 2(1 + \ell s) + r$
- contribute only when  $\mathcal{D} \geq 0$ , otherwise are of  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$

Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\bar{D}_i = D_i + \tilde{q}^2$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2} < i_{3}}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}i_{3};\tilde{q}^{2}) + \tilde{d}(q;i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}i_{3};\tilde{q}^{2}) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2}}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2};\tilde{q}^{2}) + \tilde{c}(q;i_{0}i_{1}i_{2};\tilde{q}^{2}) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_{0}i_{1};\tilde{q}^{2}) + \tilde{b}(q;i_{0}i_{1};\tilde{q}^{2}) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_{0}, i_{1}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_{0};\tilde{q}^{2}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0};\tilde{q}^{2}) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(q) \prod_{i \neq i_{0} < i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} \\ &+ \sum_{i_{0}}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_{0};\tilde{q}^{2}) + \tilde{a}(q;i_{0};\tilde{q}^{2}) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_{0}}^{m-1} \bar{D}_{i} + \tilde{\mathcal{P}}(q) \prod_{i \neq i_{0} < i$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

### Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$N(q) = \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i$$

$$+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i$$

-

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Expand in D-dimensions ?

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{N}(q) &= \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2}^{m-1} \left[ c(i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{c}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0 < i_1}^{m-1} \left[ b(i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{b}(q; i_0 i_1; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ \sum_{i_0}^{m-1} \left[ a(i_0; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{a}(q; i_0; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i + \tilde{P}(q) \prod_i^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \\ &+ m_i^2 \to m_i^2 - \tilde{q}^2 \end{split}$$

► < ∃ ►</p>

Polynomial dependence on  $\tilde{q}^2$ 

$$b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) = b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij), \quad c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) = c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk).$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[ m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) ,$$
  
$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) , \quad \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) .$$

Polynomial dependence on  $\tilde{q}^2$ 

$$b(ij; \tilde{q}^2) = b(ij) + \tilde{q}^2 b^{(2)}(ij), \quad c(ijk; \tilde{q}^2) = c(ijk) + \tilde{q}^2 c^{(2)}(ijk).$$

$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} \left[ m_i^2 + m_j^2 - \frac{(p_i - p_j)^2}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),$$
  
$$\int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad \int d^n \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{q}^4}{\bar{D}_i \bar{D}_j \bar{D}_k \bar{D}_l} = -\frac{i\pi^2}{6} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$$

Furthermore, by defining

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) \equiv \sum_{i_0 < i_1 < i_2 < i_3}^{m-1} \left[ d(i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) + \tilde{d}(q; i_0 i_1 i_2 i_3; \tilde{q}^2) \right] \prod_{i \neq i_0, i_1, i_2, i_3}^{m-1} \bar{D}_i \,,$$

the following expansion holds

$$\mathcal{D}^{(m)}(q, \tilde{q}^2) = \sum_{j=2}^m \tilde{q}^{(2j-4)} d^{(2j-4)}(q) \,,$$

where the last coefficient is independent on q

$$d^{(2m-4)}(q) = d^{(2m-4)}$$
.

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

In practice, once the 4-dimensional coefficients have been determined, one can redo the fits for different values of  $\tilde{q}^2$ , in order to determine  $b^{(2)}(ij)$ ,  $c^{(2)}(ijk)$  and  $d^{(2m-4)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{1} &= -\frac{i}{96\pi^{2}}d^{(2m-4)} - \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1} < i_{2}}^{m-1}c^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1}i_{2}) \\ &- \frac{i}{32\pi^{2}}\sum_{i_{0} < i_{1}}^{m-1}b^{(2)}(i_{0}i_{1})\left(m_{i_{0}}^{2} + m_{i_{1}}^{2} - \frac{(p_{i_{0}} - p_{i_{1}})^{2}}{3}\right) \end{aligned}$$

G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, arXiv:0802.1876 [hep-ph]

A different source of Rational Terms, called  $R_2$ , can also be generated from the  $\epsilon$ -dimensional part of N(q)

$$\begin{split} \bar{N}(\bar{q}) &= N(q) + \tilde{N}(\tilde{q}^2,\epsilon;q) \\ \mathrm{R}_2 &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, \bar{q} \frac{\tilde{N}(\tilde{q}^2,\epsilon;q)}{\bar{D}_0 \bar{D}_1 \cdots \bar{D}_{m-1}} \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^n \, \bar{q} \, \mathcal{R}_2 \\ &\bar{q} &= q + \tilde{q} \, , \\ &\bar{\eta}_{\bar{\mu}} &= \gamma_{\mu} + \tilde{\gamma}_{\bar{\mu}} \, , \\ &\bar{g}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} &= g^{\mu\nu} + \tilde{g}^{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} \, . \end{split}$$

New vertices/particles or GKMZ-approach

# HELAC R2 TERMS

### Contribution from d-dimensional parts in numerators:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} & \frac{p}{\cos \cos \cos \cos \alpha} & = \frac{ig^2 N_{col}}{48\pi^2} \, \delta_{a_1 a_2} \left[ \frac{p^2}{2} g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} + \lambda_{HV} \left( g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} p^2 - p_{\mu_1} p_{\mu_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{N_f}{N_{col}} \left( p^2 - 6 \, m_q^2 \right) g_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \right] \end{array}$$



$$\begin{split} & \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1}, a_{1} & & \\ & \mu_{2}, a_{2} \\ & & \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{ig^{4}N_{col}}{96\pi^{2}} \sum_{P(234)} \left\{ \left[ \frac{\delta_{a_{1}a_{2}}\delta_{a_{3}a_{4}} + \delta_{a_{1}a_{3}}\delta_{a_{4}a_{2}} + \delta_{a_{1}a_{4}}\delta_{a_{2}a_{3}}}{N_{col}} \right. \right. \\ & & + 4\,Tr(t^{a_{1}}t^{a_{3}}t^{a_{2}}t^{a_{4}} + t^{a_{1}}t^{a_{4}}t^{a_{2}}t^{a_{3}})\,(3+\lambda_{HV}) \\ & & - Tr(\{t^{a_{1}}t^{a_{3}}t^{a_{2}}t^{a_{4}}\})\,(5+2\lambda_{HV})\right]g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{2}}g_{\mu_{3}\mu_{4}} \\ & + 12\frac{N_{f}}{N_{col}}Tr(t^{a_{1}}t^{a_{2}}t^{a_{3}}t^{a_{4}})\left(\frac{5}{3}g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{3}}g_{\mu_{2}\mu_{4}} - g_{\mu_{2}\mu_{3}}g_{\mu_{1}\mu_{4}}\right) \right\} \end{split}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

## HELAC R2 TERMS



Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

Krakow 2013 39 / 57

## GKMZ APPROACH

Cuts in D-dimensions with particles in  $D_s$  dimensions

Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov, Zanderighi

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

The unitarity approach, by Blackhat and Rocket collaborations, with primitive amplitudes



Certain repetition in blobs, but unique cut coefficient

### CONSTRUCTING THE ONE-LOOP AMPLITUDES

The HELAC-1LOOP approach

Dyson-Schwinger equations - reduced to Berends-Giele for ordered amplitudes



First line: tree-order generating

# CONSTRUCTING THE ONE-LOOP AMPLITUDES

#### Numerator functions computed by HELAC and reduced by CuTtools



Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

# CONSTRUCTING THE ONE-LOOP AMPLITUDES

All blobs are tree-order currents, independent of loop-momentum



The  $n \rightarrow n+2$  construction



Reduction at NLO and beyond I

# COLOR TREATMENT

HELAC is using color-connection representation of amplitudes + color-flow Feynman rules (Kanaki & Papadopoulos) - valid also at one loop



Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I
### REAL CORRECTIONS

Real corrections:  $D \rightarrow 4$  dimensions (Catani & Seymour)

$$\int_{m+1} d\sigma^R + \int_m d\sigma^V$$
$$\sigma^{NLO} = \int_{m+1} \left[ \left( d\sigma^R \right)_{\epsilon=0} - \left( d\sigma^A \right)_{\epsilon=0} \right] + \int_m \left[ d\sigma^V + \int_1 d\sigma^A \right]_{\epsilon=0}$$



$$d\phi(p_i, p_j, p_k; Q) = \frac{d^d p_i}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta_+(p_i^2) \frac{d^d p_j}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta_+(p_j^2) \frac{d^d p_k}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \delta_+(p_k^2) (2\pi)^d \, \delta^{(d)}(Q - p_i - p_j - p_k) \delta^{(d)}(Q - p_i - p_k) \delta^{(d)}(Q - p_k) \delta^{(d$$

$$d\phi(p_i, p_j, p_k; Q) = d\phi(\tilde{p}_{ij}, \tilde{p}_k; Q) \ [dp_i(\tilde{p}_{ij}, \tilde{p}_k)]$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

Reduction at NLO and beyond I

### REAL CORRECTIONS

### Dipoles in real life



### REAL CORRECTIONS

### Dipoles in real life: the formulae

$$\begin{split} d\sigma^{A} &= \mathcal{N}_{in} \sum_{\{m+1\}} d\phi_{m+1}(p_{1},...,p_{m+1};Q) \; \frac{1}{S_{\{m+1\}}} \\ &\cdot \sum_{\substack{p \in I_{ij} \\ ij \in K}} \sum_{k \neq i,j} \mathcal{D}_{ij,k}(p_{1},...,p_{m+1}) \; F_{J}^{(m)}(p_{1},..\tilde{p}_{ij},\tilde{p}_{k},...,p_{m+1}) \\ \mathcal{D}_{ij,k} \; (p_{1},...,p_{m+1}) = -\frac{1}{2p_{i} \cdot p_{j}} \\ &\cdot \quad _{m} < 1,..,\tilde{ij},...\tilde{k},..,m+1| \; \frac{T_{k} \cdot T_{ij}}{T_{ij}^{2}} \; \mathbf{V}_{ij,k} \; |1,..,\tilde{ij},...,\tilde{k},..,m+1 >_{m} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} d\sigma^R - d\sigma^A &= \mathcal{N}_{in} \sum_{\substack{\{m+1\}}} d\phi_{m+1}(p_1, ..., p_{m+1}; Q) \; \frac{1}{S_{\{m+1\}}} \\ &\cdot & \left\{ |\mathcal{M}_{m+1}(p_1, ..., p_{m+1})|^2 \; F_j^{(m+1)}(p_1, ..., p_{m+1}) \right. \\ &- \; \sum_{\substack{\text{pairs}\\i,j}} \sum_{\substack{k \neq i,j}} \mathcal{D}_{ij,k}(p_1, ..., p_{m+1}) \; F_j^{(m)}(p_1, ... \bar{p}_{ij}, \tilde{p}_k, ..., p_{m+1}) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{m+1} d\sigma^A = -\int_m \mathcal{N}_{in} \sum_{\{m\}} d\phi_m(p_1, ..., p_m; Q) \; \frac{1}{S_{\{m\}}} \; F_j^{(m)}(p_1, ..., p_m) \\ &\cdot \sum_i \sum_{k \neq i} |\mathcal{M}_m^{i,k}(p_1, ..., p_m)|^2 \; \frac{\alpha_{\rm S}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \left( \frac{4\pi\mu^2}{2p_i \cdot p_k} \right)^\epsilon \; \frac{1}{T_i^2} \; \mathcal{V}_i(\epsilon) \; \; , \end{split}$$

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

э



TNEO		, Ima	× • •		0 10			_			-								
INFO	COL	OR 1	out	of	6	1	1.		1.	13.1	200								
TNEO	1	12	35	7	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	48	35	8	1	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	õ	Õ	õ	Ō	1	2	
INFO	2	14	-3	9	1	1	12	35	7	2	-3	2	Ō	Ó	Ó		1	2	
INFO	2	14	-3	9	0	1	12	35	7	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	28	-8	10	1	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	28	-8	10	0	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	3	44	8	11	1	1	12	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	3	44	8	11	0	1	12	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	50	-3	12	1	1	48	35	8	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	50	-3	12	0	1	48	35	8	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	52	-4	13	1	1	48	35	8	4	-4	3	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	52	-4	13	0	1	48	35	8	4	-4	3	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	3	56	4	14	1	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	3	56	4	14	0	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15		4	4	-4	3	56	4	14	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	2	4	16	-8	5	44	8	11	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	3	4	28	-8	10	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	1	60	35	15	4	4	52	-4	13	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	1	3	12	35	2	50	-3	12	0	0	0		71	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	0	3	12	35	7	50	-3	12	0	0	0	2	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	2	3	48	35	8	14	-3	9	0	0	0	1	1	2	
INFO	2	62	-3	10	U	3	48	35	8	14	-3	9	U	U	U	4		4	
INFO	2	62	-5	10	5	3	60	35	15	4	-5	2	U	U	U		-	4	
INFO	2	62	-3	16	U	3	60	35	15	2	-3	2	U	U	U	2	5	2	
TNEO	COL	AD 2	0114	~ ~ ~	c														
TNEO	LUL	12	25	OT	0 1	1	4	4	2	•	1	4	•	•	0	0	-		
TNEO	-	10	25	6	-	-	16	_0	5	22	4	4	0	0	0	0			
THEO	U.S	40	22	8	2125	1944	10	-8	5	34	8	0	0	U	U	U	100	100	

INFO	===					===						==						
INFO	COL	OR 4	l out	t of	6													
INFO	nun	ber	of I	nums	143													
INFO	NUN	11	of	143	10													
INFO	3	96	8	9	1	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	1	1	2
INFO	3	96	8	9	0	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	2	1	2
INFO	1	112	35	10	1	1	16	-8	5	96	8	9	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	3	120	4	11	1	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1
INFO	3	120	4	11	0	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1
INFO	1	124	35	12	1	1	4	-4	3	120	4	11	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	2	126	-3	13	1	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1
INFO	2	126	-3	13	0	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1
INFO	2	254	-3	14	1	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	1	1	2
INFO	2	254	-3	14	0	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	2	1	2
INFO	6	32	16	8	4	2	1	35	8	35	4	35	-3	0	0	0	3	1
INFOYY	1	1																
INFO	NUN	12	of	143	10													
INFO	3	96	8	9	1	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	1	1	1
INFO	3	96	8	9	0	1	64	35	7	32	8	6	0	0	0	2	1	1
INFO	1	112	35	10	1	1	16	-8	5	96	8	9	0	0	0	0	1	1
INFO	3	120	4	11	1	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1
INFO	3	120	4	11	0	1	112	35	10	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1
INFO	1	124	35	12	1	1	4	-4	3	120	4	11	0	0	0	0	1	2
INFO	2	126	-3	13	1	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	2
INFO	2	126	-3	13	0	1	124	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	2
INFO	2	254	-3	14	1	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	1	1	1
INFO	2	254	-3	14	0	1	128	35	8	126	-3	13	0	0	0	2	1	1
INFO	6	32	16	8	4	2	1	35	8	35	4	35	-3	0	0	0	3	1
INFOYY	1	1																
INFO	NUN	13	of	143	10													
	-		0	-		-	CA	25			0		0	~	0	1000		2

🖾 pap	adop	oo@ a	iolos	:/tmp	- Sh	ell	- Kon	sole												_ 🗆 🔀
<u>.</u>			) 🖪	<b>B B</b>	<u>.</u>															
INFO	NUM	127	of	143	15	197		122	2.22	1994	2020	18180	27.0	12808	120	178	Seres	2.22	States and the states of the states	
INFO	1	48	35	9	1	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	3	112	3	10	1	1	48	35	9	64	3	7	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	3	112	3	10	0	1	48	35	9	64	3	7	0	0	0	2	1	1		
INFO	1	12	35	11	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	1	240	35	12	1	1	128	-3	8	112	3	10	0	0	0	0	-1	1		
INFO	2	242	-3	13	1	1	240	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	2	242	-3	13	0	1	240	35	12	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1			
INFO	3	248	4	14	1	1	240	35	12	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	3	248	4	14	0	1	240	35	12	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1		
INFO		252	35	15	1	4	4	-4	- 5	248	4	14	U	U	0	U				20220203
INFO	4	252	35	15	4	4	12	35	11	240	35	12	0	U U	0	U		1		
TNEO	4	254	-3	16		4	12	33	11	242	-3	13	0	0	0	-				
TNEO	5	254	-2	16	2	2	252	25	12	242	-3	13	0	0	0	4	10	4		
TNEO	2	254	_2	16	6	2	252	25	15	2	-3	2	0	ő	0	2	-			
TNEO	2	48	15	3	3	ñ	232	33	13	ñ	-5	ñ	ň	ñ	ő	ñ	2	÷		
TNEOVO	, -	5				Č		•		~							1.13			
INFO	NUM	128	of	143	11															0.0726503
INFO	1	12	35	7	1	1	4	-4	3	8	4	4	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	i	48	35	8	i	1	16	-8	5	32	8	6	Ō	Ô	Ō	Ō	1	1		
INFO	2	28	-8	9	1	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	2	28	-8	9	0	1	12	35	7	16	-8	5	0	0	0	2	1	1		
INFO	3	56	4	10	1	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	3	56	4	10	0	1	48	35	8	8	4	4	0	0	0	2	1	1		
INFO	1	60	35	11	1	3	4	-4	3	56	4	10	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	4	60	35	11	2	3	12	35	7	48	35	8	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	1	60	35	11	3	3	28	-8	9	32	8	6	0	0	0	0	1	1		
INFO	25	62	-3	12	1	1	60	35	11	2	-3	2	0	0	0	1	1	1		
INFO	25	62	-3	12	0	1	60	35	11	2	-3	2	0	0	0	2	1	1		
INFO	, 0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
TNEO		120		140	12															
TNEO	MON	129	25	743	12		4	4	2		4	4	•	•	•	•				
TNEO	43	10	25	6			16	_0	3	22	4	4	0	0	0	0		-		
INFO		48	22	0			10	-8	2	54	8	0	0	0	0	0	23			ACCESSION NO.

Pro	ocess	<b>6</b> D <sub>i</sub>	5 D <sub>i</sub>	<b>4</b> D <sub>i</sub>	<b>3</b> D <sub>i</sub>	<b>2</b> D <sub>i</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>	СТ	Total
$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} b ar{b}$	non-planar	18	120	268	220	112	51	6	795
	planar	13	32	35	40	48	25	2	195
$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} gg$	non-planar	168	576	480	224	56	50	14	1568
	planar	2	14	40	60	60	34	3	213

A. van Hameren, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 0909 (2009) 106 [arXiv:0903.4665 [hep-ph]].

$pp  ightarrow tar{t}bar{b}$							
$uar{u}  o tar{t}bar{b}$							
	$\epsilon^{-2}$	$\epsilon^{-1}$	$\epsilon^0$				
HELAC-1L	-2.347908989000179E-07	-2.082520105681483E-07	3.909384299635230E-07				
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.347908989000243E-07	-2.082520105665445E-07					
		$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} b ar{b}$					
HELAC-1L	-1.435108168334016E-06	-2.085070773763073E-06	3.616343483497464E-06				
$I(\epsilon)$	-1.435108168334035E-06	-2.085070773651439E-06					

	$p_{x}$	$p_y$	p <sub>z</sub>	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
t	12.99421901255723	-9.591511769543683	75.05543670827210	190.1845561691092
Ŧ	53.73271578143694	-0.2854146459513714	17.68101382654795	182.9642163285034
Ь	-41.57664370692741	3.895531135098977	-91.94931862397770	100.9874727883170
Б	-25.15029108706678	5.981395280396083	-0.7871319108423604	25.86375471407044

$pp  ightarrow VVbar{b}$ and $pp  ightarrow VV+$ 2 jets								
$uar{u}  o W^+W^-bar{b}$								
	$\epsilon^{-2}$ $\epsilon^{-1}$ $\epsilon^{0}$							
HELAC-1L	-2.493916939359002E-07	-4.885901774740355E-07	1.592538533368835E-07					
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.493916939359001E-07	-4.885901774752593E-07						
	$gg  ightarrow W^+W^-bar{b}$							
HELAC-1L	-2.686310592221201E-07	-6.078682316434646E-07	-2.431624440346638E-07					
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.686310592221206E-07	-6.078682340168020E-07						

	p <sub>x</sub>	$p_y$	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
$W^+$	22.40377113462118	-16.53704884550758	129.4056091248114	154.8819879118765
$W^{-}$	92.64238702192333	-0.4920930146078141	30.48443210132545	126.4095336206695
Ь	-71.68369328357026	6.716416578342183	-158.5329205583824	174.1159068988160
Б	-43.36246487297426	10.31272528177322	-1.357120667754454	44.59257156863792

pp  ightarrow V+ 3 jets								
$uar{d}  o W^+$ ggg								
	$\epsilon^{-2}$	$\epsilon^{-1}$	$\epsilon^0$					
HELAC-1L	-1.995636628164684E-05	-5.935610843551600E-05	-5.323285370666314E-05					
$I(\epsilon)$	-1.995636628164686E-05	-5.935610843566534E-05						
	$uar{u}  ightarrow Zggg$							
HELAC-1L	-7.148261887172997E-06	-2.142170009323704E-05	-1.906378375774021E-05					
$I(\epsilon)$	-7.148261887172976E-06	-2.142170009540120E-05						

	$p_{x}$	$\rho_y$	pz	E
и	0	0	250	250
ā	0	0	-250	250
$W^+$	23.90724239064912	-17.64681636854432	138.0897548661186	162.5391101447744
g	98.85942812363483	-0.5251163702879512	32.53017998659339	104.0753327455388
g	-76.49423931754684	7.167141557113385	-169.1717405928078	185.8004692730082
g	-46.27243119673712	11.00479118171890	-1.448194259904179	47.58508783667868

$ ho  ho  o tar{t}+2$ jets								
$uar{u}  o tar{t}gg$								
	$\epsilon^{-2}$	$\epsilon^{-1}$	$\epsilon^0$					
HELAC-1L	-6.127108113312741E-05	-1.874963444741646E-04	-3.305349683690902E-04					
$I(\epsilon)$	-6.127108113312702E-05	-1.874963445081074E-04						
	$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} gg$							
HELAC-1L	-3.838786514961561E-04	-9.761168899507888E-04	-5.225385984750410E-04					
$I(\epsilon)$	-3.838786514961539E-04	-9.761168898436521E-04						

	p <sub>×</sub>	p <sub>y</sub>	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
t	12.99421901255723	-9.591511769543683	75.05543670827210	190.1845561691092
ī	53.73271578143694	-0.2854146459513714	17.68101382654795	182.9642163285034
g	-41.57664370692741	3.895531135098977	-91.94931862397770	100.9874727883170
g	-25.15029108706678	5.981395280396083	-0.7871319108423604	25.86375471407044

$ ho p  ightarrow b ar{b} b ar{b}$						
$uar{u}  o bar{b}bar{b}$						
	$\epsilon^{-2}$	$\epsilon^{-1}$	$\epsilon^0$			
HELAC-1L	-9.205269484951069E-08	-2.404679886692200E-07	-2.553568662778129E-07			
$I(\epsilon)$	-9.205269484951025E-08	-2.404679886707971E-07				
$gg  ightarrow bar{b}bar{b}$						
HELAC-1L	-2.318436429821683E-05	-6.958360737366907E-05	-7.564212339279291E-05			
$I(\epsilon)$	-2.318436429821662E-05	-6.958360737341511E-05				

	$p_{x}$	$\rho_y$	pz	E
u(g)	0	0	250	250
$\bar{u}(g)$	0	0	-250	250
Ь	24.97040523056789	-18.43157602837212	144.2306511496888	147.5321146846735
Б	103.2557390255471	-0.5484684659584054	33.97680766420219	108.7035966213640
Ь	-79.89596300367462	7.485866671764871	-176.6948628845280	194.0630765341365
Б	-48.33018125244035	11.49417782256567	-1.512595929362970	49.70121215982584

### HELAC-DIPOLES

 $\mathcal{E}_0$  - massless emitter,  $\mathcal{S}_0$  - massless spectator,  $\mathcal{E}_M$  - massive emitter,  $\mathcal{S}_M$  - massive spectator,  $\mathcal{E}_I$  - initial state emitter,  $\mathcal{E}_F$  - final state emitter,  $\mathcal{S}_I$  - initial state spectator,  $\mathcal{S}_F$  - final state spectator,  $\checkmark$  - check,  $\blacksquare$  - does not occur.

	$\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}_0$	$\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}_M$	$\mathcal{E}_M/\mathcal{S}_0$	$\mathcal{E}_M/\mathcal{S}_M$		$\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}_0$	$\mathcal{E}_0/\mathcal{S}_M$	$\mathcal{E}_M/\mathcal{S}_0$	$\mathcal{E}_M/\mathcal{S}_M$
$\mathcal{E}_I/\mathcal{S}_I$					$\mathcal{E}_F/\mathcal{S}_I$				
$\begin{array}{c} g  ightarrow gg \ g  ightarrow qq \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow gq \end{array}$	\$ \$ \$		i		$\begin{array}{c} g  ightarrow gg \ g  ightarrow qq \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow gq \end{array}$	$\langle \langle \rangle \rangle$	ł		
$\mathcal{E}_I/\mathcal{S}_F$					$\mathcal{E}_F/\mathcal{S}_F$				
$\begin{array}{c} g  ightarrow gg \ g  ightarrow qq \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow gq \end{array}$	\$ \$ \$ \$				$\begin{array}{c} g  ightarrow gg \ g  ightarrow qq \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow qg \ q  ightarrow gq \end{array}$	\$ \$ \$ \$	$\checkmark$ $\checkmark$ $\checkmark$		✓ ✓ ✓

Table 1: Independent dipole splitting formulae, which need to be tested in order to ensure the correctness of the code. In the splitting description, e.g.  $g \rightarrow gg$ , the left hand side particle always denotes the virtual state.

### HELAC-DIPOLES

Process	Real Emission + Dipoles [msec]	REAL EMISSION [msec]	NR OF DIPOLES
gg  ightarrow ggg gg  ightarrow gggg gg  ightarrow ggggg	3.8 8.5 300	1.0 2.6 42	27 56 100
$u\bar{d}  ightarrow W^+ gggg$	9.3	2.4	56
$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} b ar{b} g$	12	2.9	55

Table 2: The CPU time needed to evaluate the real emission matrix element together with all of the dipole subtraction terms per phase-space point (this corresponds to  $\alpha_{max} = 1$ ). All numbers have been obtained on an Intel 2.53 GHz Core 2 Duo processor with the Intel Fortran compiler using the -fast option. • Arbitrary processes QCD+EW

- Arbitrary processes QCD+EW
- Massive and massless external states

- Arbitrary processes QCD+EW
- Massive and massless external states
- Helicity (& color) sampling for partons

- Arbitrary processes QCD+EW
- Massive and massless external states
- Helicity (& color) sampling for partons
- Random helicities for non-partons

- Arbitrary processes QCD+EW
- Massive and massless external states
- Helicity (& color) sampling for partons
- Random helicities for non-partons
- Restrictions on PS  $\alpha_{max}$

### HELAC-DIPOLES

- Arbitrary processes QCD+EW
- Massive and massless external states
- Helicity (& color) sampling for partons
- Random helicities for non-partons
- Restrictions on PS  $\alpha_{max}$

Dipole Subtraction Configuration only real emission: only last particle soft/collinear: only divergent dipoles: random polarization for non-partons: sign mode (0-both.1-positive.2-negative): helicity sum (0-fast.1-slow.2-flat MC): 1 events with polarization sum= 100000 events for sampling optimization= 20000 event increment for sampling update= 10000 alphaMinCut= 1.00000000000000F-006 alphaMaxII= alphaMaxIF= 1.000000000000000 alphaMaxFI= alphaMaxFF= kappa= 0.00000000000000000E+000 jet veto included: pt of vetoing iet= 50.0000000000000 color sampling: F Number of Dipoles: Number of Processes: 7

Generate w = 1 events (Les Houches format) using HELAC at tree order. Information included: LH + color assignment, helicity. Optimization!

### HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS-VIRTUAL

Generate w = 1 events (Les Houches format) using HELAC at tree order. Information included: LH + color assignment, helicity. Optimization!

#### <event>

6 81 1.000000E+00 1.726000E+02 7.546772E-03 1.180000E-01

21 -1 0 0 103 101 0.0000000000000E+00 0.0000000000E+00 4.885658920243087E+02 4.885658920243087E+02 0.000000000000000E+00 0.000000E+00 9.0000E+00

21 -1 0 0 104 102 0.0000000000000E+00 0.0000000000E+00 -4.885658920243087E+02 4.885658920243087E+02 0.00000000000000E+00 0.000000E+00 9.0000E+00

6 1 1 2 103 0 1.648551153938704E+02 -2.128833463956879E+01 1.563411288268662E+01 2.401366022681282E+02 1.7260000000000E+02 0.00000E+00 9.0000E+00

-6 1 1 2 0 102 -6.677109609683933E+01 6.109017946596872E+01 -3.256227583127494E+02 3.794882475549945E+02 1.726000000000000E+02 0.00000E+00 9.0000E+00

5 1 1 2 104 0 4.725480269309031E+00 2.281431584259000E+01 1.753945210216305E+02 1.769351891952198E+02 0.00000000000000E+00 0.00000E+00 9.0000E+00

# 1.86386755542288EF-01 -3.562491121497572E+00 -9.077135267012881E+00 6.153194387677511E+00 -1.970622777463714E+01 -1.717507312227297E+00 -6.433090024792207E+00 -6.899515402964241E+00

# -6.500432295308123E+00 -2.321633716694498E-01 2.264652765353805E+01 1.423921666814779E+01 -2.316151832172334E+01 1.257559440674845E+01 4.439749203374159E+00 6.68304453003276E+00 6.68304453003276E+00

# -5.099188841333641E-01 +1.133454593457765E+00 1.835599061114253E+01 -4.015116252979888E+00 1.833599061114253E+01 4.015116252979888E+00 5.059138841333641E-01 +1.133454593457765E+00

# 2.672004287479594E+00 1.755067698199695E+01 2.615285048432793E+00 -6.256029470621641E+00 -2.615285048432793E+00 -6.256029470621641E+00 2.672004287479594E+00 -1.755067698199695E+01

# pdf 3.605966723564206E-02 1.350916463377768E-01 </events

### HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS-VIRTUAL

{

Do this sum by MC (sample a configuration  $\{i\} = 1, 2, 3 \ \{j\} = 1, 2, 3$ )

$$\sum_{i\},\{j\}} |\mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k}|^2$$

Express in terms of color connections  $A_{\sigma}$ 

$$\mathcal{M}_{j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_k}^{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} = \sum_{\sigma} \delta_{i_{\sigma_1},j_1} \delta_{i_{\sigma_2},j_2} \ldots \delta_{i_{\sigma_k},j_k} \mathcal{A}_{\sigma}$$

Very significant reduction in CPU-time

Process	n <sub>conn</sub>	$\langle n_{conn} \rangle_{MC}$	Ratio
$gg  ightarrow b ar{b}  W^+ W^-$	6	1.74	3.5
$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} b ar{b}$	24	3.04	7.9
$gg  ightarrow t ar{t} gg$	120	6.27	19.1

Generate w = 1 events (Les Houches format) using HELAC at tree order. Information included: LH + color assignment, helicity. Optimization!

Calculate using HELAC-1L virtual part for each w = 1 event. Produce a new LH file including virtual corrections. Includes UV renormlization

Generate w = 1 events (Les Houches format) using HELAC at tree order. Information included: LH + color assignment, helicity. Optimization!

Calculate using HELAC-1L virtual part for each w = 1 event. Produce a new LH file including virtual corrections. Includes UV renormlization

The final LH file can now be used to produce any kinematical distribution !

# HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS - STABILITY



# HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS - STABILITY



# HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS-REAL

HELAC-DIPOLES

Generate CS Dipoles and calculate R - D, jet-algorithm, histograms

# HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS-REAL

HELAC-DIPOLES

Generate CS Dipoles and calculate R - D, jet-algorithm, histograms

Calculate *I* operator contributions, histograms

# HOW HELAC-NLO WORKS-REAL

HELAC-DIPOLES

Generate CS Dipoles and calculate R - D, jet-algorithm, histograms

Calculate *I* operator contributions, histograms

Calculate KP operator contributions, histograms

Real Emíssion



- Reduced missed binning problem
- Large cancellations between subtracted real radiation and integrated dipoles

Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09

 $10^{-1}$ 

o 100 200 300 400

m<sub>bb</sub> [GeV]

T. Binoth, G. Ossola, C. G. Papadopoulos and R. Pittau, JHEP 0806 (2008) 082 [arXiv:0804.0350 [hep-ph]].

Process	scale $\mu$	Born cross section [fb]	NLO cross section [fb]
ZZZ	$3M_Z$	9.7(1)	15.3(1)
WZZ	$2M_Z + M_W$	20.2(1)	40.4(2)
WWZ	$M_Z + 2M_W$	96.8(6)	181.7(8)
WWW	$3M_W$	82.5(5)	146.2(6)

Table 1: Cross section for the four processes, corresponding to the distributions in Fig 4. Different values of the factorization(renormalization) scale are used for the different processes.



Figure 4: Transverse momentum distribution, as defined in the text, for the four processes  $pp \rightarrow VVV$ : NLO (solid line) compared with the LO contribution (dashed line).

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

NLO

Motivations for ttbb and ttjj

- $\label{eq:pp_state} \begin{array}{ll} & \mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}\rightarrow t\bar{t}\mathbf{H} & \text{potential discovery channel} \\ & \succ \,\mathbf{H}\rightarrow \mathbf{b}\bar{\mathbf{b}} \end{array}$ 
  - $ightarrow \, \mathbf{m_H} \leq \mathbf{135} \, \, \mathbf{GeV}$
- □ top & bottom Yukawa coupling
- Large QCD backgrounds: ttbb & ttjj
- Description Problem 1: combinatorial background of b-jets:
  - bb pair can be chosen incorrectly, lack of distinctive kinematic feature of Higgs decay jets
- Problem 2: b-tagging efficiency:
   > two b-jets for Higgs candidate can arise from mistagged QCD light jets
- Goal: Backgrounds need to be controlled

#### ATLAS TDR, CERN-OPEN-2008-020



Summary table	Significance loose/tight	Luminosity
ATLAS (Lepton+jets)	2.2	30 fb <sup>-1</sup>
CMS (Lepton+jets)	2.5/1.9	60 fb <sup>-1</sup>
CMS(Combined)	3.9/3.3	60 fb <sup>-1</sup>

G. Aad, J. Steggemann, ATLAS & CMS @ TOP 2008



Bevilacqua, Czakon, Garzelli, Hameren, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek 10 (Les Houches 2009)
*~pp -> ttH -> ttbb @ LHC* 

Differential cross section, bb pair, single bottom & top kinematics, LO & NLO



*`pp -> ttbb @ LHC* 

□ Integrated cross sections and scale dependence, **Permílle level agreement**!

Process	$\sigma_{[23, 24]}^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\text{LO}}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm NLO}_{[23, 24]}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\alpha_{\max}=1}^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [fb]}$	$\sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\alpha_{\rm max}=0.01}~[{\rm fb}]$
$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	85.522(26)	85.489(46)	87.698(56)	87.545(91)	87.581(134)
$pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	1488.8(1.2)	1489.2(0.9)	2638(6)	2642(3)	2636(3)

$\xi \cdot m_t$	$1/8 \cdot m_t$	$1/2 \cdot m_t$	$1 \cdot m_t$	$2 \cdot m_t$	$8 \cdot m_t$
$\sigma^{\text{LO}}$ [fb]	8885(36)	2526(10)	1489.2(0.9)	923.4(3.8)	388.8(1.4)
$\sigma^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	4213(65)	3498(11)	2636(3)	1933.0(3.8)	1044.7(1.7)

 $\sigma_{LO} = (1489.2 \pm 0.9) \text{ fb}$ 

 $\sigma_{\rm NLO} = ({\bf 2636 \pm 3})~{\rm fb}$ 

Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09 Bredenstein, Denner, Dittmaier, Pozzorini '08, '09 Scale dependence reduced: **70% @ LO** down to **33% @ NLO** K factor of K = 1.77for quarks initial states only K = 1.03With jet veto of 50 GeV K = 1.20

*~pp -> ttbb @ LHC* 

□ Scale dependence graphically



Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09

Varying scale up or down by a factor two changes cross section by 70% @ LO and by 33% @ NLO



*~pp -> ttbb @ LHC* 

- Differential cross sections
- b-jet pair kinematics
  - Invariant mass
  - Transverse momentum
  - Rapidity distribution
- □ single b-jet kinematics
   > Transverse momentum

#### LO & NLO

Relatively small variation compared to the size but shape change important

Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek '09



7pp -> ttjj @ LHC



$$\begin{split} \sigma_{\rm LO} &= (120.17\pm0.08)~{\rm pb} \\ \sigma_{\rm NLO} &= (106.94\pm0.17)~{\rm pb} \\ \sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\rm veto} &= (76.58\pm0.17)~{\rm pb} \end{split}$$

Scale dependence reduced: 72% @ LO down to 13% @ NLO 54% @ NLO with jet veto of 50 GeV

K factor of **K** = **0.89** (**K** = **0.64**) Negative shift of **11%** (**36%**)

7pp -> ttjj @ LHC

Differential cross section

#### 10 & NLO

my size of the corrections transmitted to distributions for low p<sub>T</sub>, shapes change for hight p<sub>T</sub>

p<sub>T</sub> of 1<sup>st</sup> hardest & 2<sup>nd</sup> hardest fet (ordered in p<sub>T</sub>) altered shapes up to 39% & 28% in tails



Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek 40

## $pp \text{ or } p\bar{p} \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \nu_{\ell_1} \ell_2^- \bar{\nu}_{\ell_2} b\bar{b}$



Figure 1: Representative Feynman diagrams contributing to the leading order process  $gg \rightarrow e^+ v_{eff} - v_{ph}b$  at  $O(\alpha_s^2 \alpha^4)$ , with different off-shell intermediate states: double-, single-, and non-resonant top quark contributions.

# $pp \text{ or } p\bar{p} \rightarrow \ell_1^+ \nu_{\ell_1} \ell_2^- \bar{\nu}_{\ell_2} b\bar{b}$



Figure 2: Representative Feynman diagrams contributing to the virtual corrections to the partonic subprocess  $gg \rightarrow e^+\nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_e b\bar{b}$  at  $O(\alpha_s^3 \alpha^4)$ .

#### Real radiation sub-processes

$$\begin{split} gg &\to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} g \\ qg &\to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} q \\ gq &\to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} q \\ q \bar{q} &\to e^+ \nu_e \mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu b \bar{b} g \end{split}$$

Algorithm	$\sigma_{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\alpha_{\rm max}=1}~[{\rm fb}]$	$\sigma_{\rm NLO}^{\alpha_{\rm max}=0.01} \; [{\rm fb}]$
anti- $k_T$	$34.922 \pm 0.014$	$35.705 \pm 0.047$	$35.697 \pm 0.049$
$k_T$	$34.922 \pm 0.014$	$35.727 \pm 0.047$	$35.723 \pm 0.049$
C/A	$34.922 \pm 0.014$	$35.724 \pm 0.047$	$35.746 \pm 0.050$

Table 1: Integrated cross section at LO and NLO for  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b} + X$  production at the TeVatron run II with  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV, for three different jet algorithms, the anti- $k_{P,r}$ ,  $k_T$  and the Cambridge/Aachen jet algorithm. The two NLO results refer to different values of the dipole phase space cutoff  $\alpha_{max}$ . The scale choice is  $\mu_R = \mu_F = m_t$ .



Figure 3: Scale dependence of the LO cross section with the individual contributions of the partonic channels (left panel) and scale dependence of the LO and NLO cross sections (right panel) for the  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b} + X$  process at the TeVatron run II with  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, where renormalization and factorization scales are set to the common value  $\mu = \mu_R = \mu_F = \xi m_t$ .



Figure 4: Dependence of the NLO cross section,  $\sigma_{T}$ , (red solid line) and the individual contributions, the real emission part,  $\sigma_{R}$ , (green dashed line) and the LO plus virtual part,  $\sigma_{V}$ , (blue dotted line), on the rescaling parameter  $\zeta$  defined as  $\Gamma_{rescaled} = \zeta \Gamma_t$  for the  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu b\bar{b}$  + X process at the TeVatron run II with  $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$  TeV.  $\Delta\sigma$  is defined as follows:  $\Delta\sigma_i(\zeta) = (\sigma_i(\zeta) - \sigma_i(\zeta = 1))/\sigma_T(\zeta = 1)$  with i = V, R, T.



Figure 6: Differential cross section distributions as a function of the invariant mass m<sub>tt</sub>

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

NLO

SLAC 38 / 54



Figure 7: Differential cross section distributions as a function of the averaged transverse

Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)

NLO

#### Asymmetries

### $A_{FB}^{t} = 0.051 \pm 0.0013$

O. Antunano, J. H. Kuhn and G. Rodrigo, Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 014003, [arXiv:0709.1652 [hep-ph]].

$$A^b_{FB} = 0.033 \pm 0.0013$$
  $A^\ell_{FB} = 0.033 \pm 0.0013$ 

W. Bernreuther, A. Brandenburg, Z. G. Si and P. Uwer, Nucl. Phys. B690(2004) 81, [hep-ph/0403035].

#### Interface HELAC-NLO with POWHEG

P. Nason, JHEP 0411 (2004) 040, hep-ph/0409146; S. Frixione, P. Nason and C. Oleari, JHEP 0711 (2007) 070, arXiv:0709.2092; S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, JHEP 1006 (2010) 043, arXiv:1002.2581

- POWHEG plays the role of the driver (phase-space) and HELAC-NLO of the provider for all needed ingredients, namely all matrix elements
- Complexity of the process  $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}+jet$

$qg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q$	$gq \rightarrow t\bar{t}q$	$\bar{q}g \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}$	$g\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}$
$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}g$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}g$	$\bar{q}q \rightarrow t\bar{t}g$	

Table 1: Flavour structures of the Born processes, q = u, d, c, s, b.

$qg \rightarrow t\bar{t}qg$	$qq \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$
$gq \rightarrow t\bar{t}qg$	$\bar{q}\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}\bar{q}$	$\bar{q}q \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$
$\bar{q}g \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}g$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}gg$	$q\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}q'\bar{q}'$
$g\bar{q} \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}g$	$\bar{q}q \rightarrow t\bar{t}gg$	$\bar{q}q \rightarrow t\bar{t}q'\bar{q}'$
$qq' \rightarrow t\bar{t}qq'$	$q\bar{q}' \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}'$	$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}gg$
$\bar{q}q' \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}q'$	$\bar{q}\bar{q}' \rightarrow t\bar{t}\bar{q}\bar{q}'$	$gg \rightarrow t\bar{t}q\bar{q}$

Table 2: Flavour structures of the real-emission processes, q, q' = u, d, c, s, b.

- Agreement with MADGRAPH :  $\sigma^{LO} = 631.6 \pm 1.1 \ \sigma^{LO} = 630.5 \pm 0.8$
- Agreement with

Melnikov and Schulze(2010), Nucl. Phys. B840 (2010)129-159.

• Technical cuts independence

$p_{\perp}^{\text{t.c.}}[\text{GeV}]$	$\sigma^{ m LO}$ [pb]	$\sigma^{ ext{NLO}}$ [pb]
20	1.583	$1.773 \pm 0.003$
5	1.583	$1.780\pm0.006$
1	1.583	$1.780\pm0.010$

Table 3: Dependence of the NLO cross section on the technical cut  $p_{\perp}^{\text{Lc.}}$ .

### Results



Figure 2: Rapidity distribution of the antilepton.



• More results



Figure 5: Distribution of the scalar sum of transverse momenta.



Figure 6: Transverse momentum distributions of the first, second and third hardest jet.

## The Les Houches Wish List (2010)

	2010	
process wanted at NLO	background to	
1. $pp  ightarrow VV + jet$	$tar{t}H$ , new physics Dittmaier, Kallweit, Uwer; Campbell, Ellis, Zanderighi	Feynman
2. $pp \rightarrow H + 2$ jets	H in VBF Campbell, Ellis, Zanderighi; Ciccolini, Denner Dittmaier	methods
<b>3.</b> $pp \rightarrow t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$	t $ar{t}H$ Bredenstein, Denner Dittmaier, Pozzorini; Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Pittau, Worek	now joined
4. $pp  ightarrow tar{t} + 2$ jets	$tar{t}H$ Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek	by
5. $pp  ightarrow VV bar{b}$	$VBF  o H  o VV, tar{t}H$ , new physics	
6. $pp  ightarrow VV + 2$ jets	$VBF \to H \to VV$	unitarity
	VBF: Bozzi, Jäger, Oleari, Zeppenfeld	based
7. $pp \rightarrow V + 3$ jets	new physics	methods
	Berger Bern, Dixon, Febres Cordero, Forde, Gleisberg, Ita, Kosower, Maitre; Ellis, Melnikov, Zanderighi	
8. $pp  ightarrow VVV$	SUSY trilepton	
	Lazopoulos, Melnikov, Petriello; Hankele, Zeppenfeld; Binoth, Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau	
9. $pp  ightarrow b ar{b} b ar{b}$	Higgs, new physics GOLEM	
. Dixon	CERN HO10	

ŢI	ne NL	0 revo	lution					
	1980	1985	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	J

Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30	<b>_</b>
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and beyond I	Krakow 2013	50 / 57



1979: NLO Drell-Yan [Altarelli, Ellis & Martinelli]

Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30	৩ ৩৫৫
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and beyond I	Krakow 2013	51 / 57



1987: NLO high- $p_t$  photoproduction [Aurenche et al] 1988: NLO  $b\bar{b}$ ,  $t\bar{t}$  [Nason et al] 1993: dijets, Vj [JETRAD, Giele, Glover & Kosower]

Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30	• ৩৫৫
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and beyond I	Krakow 2013	52 / 57



```
1998: NLO Wb\bar{b} [MCFM: Ellis & Veseli]
2000: NLO Zb\bar{b} [MCFM: Campbell & Ellis]
2001: NLO 3j [NLOJet++: Nagy]
...
2007: NLO t\bar{t}j [Dittmaier, Uwer & Weinzierl '07]
```

Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30	500
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and bevond I	Krakow 2013	53 / 57



Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30	୬ୡଡ଼
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and beyond I	Krakow 2013	54 / 57



2009: NLO  $t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$  [HELAC-NLO: Bevilacqua et al] 2009: NLO  $q\bar{q} \rightarrow b\bar{b}b\bar{b}$  [Golem: Binoth et al] 2010: NLO  $t\bar{t}jj$  [HELAC-NLO: Bevilacqua et al] 2010: NLO Z+3j [BlackHat: Berger et al] [unitarity] [traditional] [unitarity] [traditional] [unitarity] [unitarity]

 Gavin Salam
 (LPTHE, Paris)
 pQCD for LHC
 ICHEP 2010, July 27
 13 / 30
 Coccessor

 Costas G. Papadopoulos
 (Athens)
 Reduction at NLO and beyond I
 Krakow 2013
 55 / 57



2010: NLO W+4j [BlackHat: Berger et al, preliminary]

[unitarity]

Gavin Salam (LPTHE, Paris)	pQCD for LHC	ICHEP 2010, July 27 13 / 30 🗸 🔿 <
Costas G. Papadopoulos (Athens)	Reduction at NLO and beyond I	Krakow 2013 56 / 57

Automatizing NLO calculations

- PV and in-house codes, based on FORMCALC, FEYNARTS, LOOPTOOLS and GOLEM
- BLACKHAT+SHERPA collaboration: QCD+EWK bosons, massless color partons; CS-dipole
- Rockect QCD processes, basically gluons + in-house real radiation corrections MCFM
- HELAC-NLO: CuTtools, HELAC-1LOOP, HELAC-DIPOLES, OneLOop, PHEGAS, KALEU: all NLO-QCD
- Newcomers: GoSam, OpenLoops, RECOLA, MadLoop, MadFKS, aMC@NLO, ...